BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

HEARINGS: DAY 50

(TUESDAY 19 OCTOBER 2021)

International Arbitration Centre 3rd floor Ritter House Wickhams Cay II Road Town, Tortola

Before:

Commissioner Rt Hon Sir Gary Hickinbottom

Sir Geoffrey Cox QC, Mr Edward Risso-Gill and Ms Sara-Jane Knock of Withers (instructed by the Attorney General) appeared for various BVI Government Ministers and public officials.

Counsel to the Commission Mr Bilal Rawat also appeared.

HE John Rankin CMG gave evidence.

Court Reporter:

MR. DAVID A. KASDAN
 Registered Diplomate Reporter (RDR)
 Certified Realtime Reporter (CRR)
Worldwide Reporting, LLP
529 14th Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
United States of America
david.kasdan@wwreporting.com

Those present:

```
Session 1
Sir Geoffrey Cox QC, Withers LLP
Mr Edward Risso-Gill, Withers LLP
Sara-Jane Knock, Withers LLP
Mr Bilal Rawat
Ms Juienna Tasaddiq, Assistant Secretary to the Commission
Mr Andrew King, Senior Solicitor to the Commission
Ms Rhea Harrikissoon, Solicitor to the Commission
Mr Dame Peters, Audio-Visual Technician
Session 2
Sir Geoffrey Cox QC, Withers LLP
Mr Edward Risso-Gill, Withers LLP
Ms Lauren Peaty, Withers LLP
Mr Bilal Rawat
HE John Rankin CMG
Mr Steven Chandler, Secretary to the Commission
Ms Juienna Tasaddiq, Assistant Secretary to the Commission
Mr Andrew King, Senior Solicitor to the Commission
Ms Rhea Harrikissoon, Solicitor to the Commission
Mr Dame Peters, Audio-Visual Technician
Officer Denver Prince, Royal Virgin Islands Police
Force
```

1 PROCEEDINGS Session 1 2 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good morning, everyone. 4 We're ready to begin. 5 Sir Geoffrey, I think there are four matters of law 6 that we are going to deal with this morning. Hopefully, most of 7 them will be quite short, I think. Firstly, Section 67. 8 9 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Secondly, there is at 11 least "standard of proof" upon which you've given submissions in 12 relation to paragraph 1. 13 Third was the application for permission to question 14 three witnesses. 15 And fourth, there is the application for redactions in 16 relation to the radar barges. 17 Can we just deal with those in turn, Sir Geoffrey. 18 In terms of Section 67, firstly, thank you for your 19 written submissions which were helpful. I think it's right to 20 say that the issue turns upon a narrow and quite a simple 21 point--I mean, a simple point at least to put, even if not to 22 answer, and that is in Section 67(7) of the Constitution, 23 whether the words "as they're described" pertain to such 24 contract or pertain to becoming a party to such contract, and 25 you say it's the former.

Page | 3

1 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can I just raise two 2 3 questions in relation to that before we ask Mr Rawat if he has 4 anything to add. First, as I understand your construction, which I 5 6 think I do understand, if an individual Member enters into a 7 contract with the BVI Government, then unless he obtains an exemption under Section 67(7) before the event, he then has to 8 9 vacate his seat on your instruction. 10 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But if the Member in any 12 corporate--I'm sorry, just pausing there for a moment, and that, 13 I assume, includes a d/b/a, which is really the individual 14 simply trading as a particular name. 15 But if the Member trades in corporate form or as a 16 partner in a firm or as a director or manager of a company, then 17 he doesn't have to vacate his seat if that firm or company 18 entered into a contract with the BVI Government, if, as soon as 19 practicable, after becoming otherwise interested in that 20 contract, he obtains--he discloses to the House and that 21 interest and thereafter obtains an exemption, so there is a 2.2 difference between an individual acting as the Party to the 23 Contract and the individual not being a party but merely being a 24 partner in a firm or a Directorial Manager of a company; is that 25 correct?

SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.

1

2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And can you help me with
3	this, and what is the purpose behind that distinction which
4	means that if a Member enters into, I would have thought even a
5	Works Order, but certainly a Petty Contract with the BVI
6	Government in his or her own name for anythingfor
7	sandwichesand doesn't obtain the exemption, then he or she is
8	out, has to vacate the seat. But if that Member literally in
9	corporate form enters into a contract, he or she is not
10	necessarily out. And that, of course, could be a very large
11	contract.
12	So, what's the reasoning behind that? What's the
13	possible reasoning behind that?
14	SIR GEOFFREY: Well, exactly.
15	I think the important point is the words in Section 67
	I CHINK CHE IMPOICANC POINC IS CHE WOLdS IN SECCION 07
16	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party,
16 17	
	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party,
17	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in
17 18	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts.
17 18 19	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts. So, plainly in our submission, in the Attorney's
17 18 19 20	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts. So, plainly in our submission, in the Attorney's submission, the draftsmen of this Section of the Constitution
17 18 19 20 21	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts. So, plainly in our submission, in the Attorney's submission, the draftsmen of this Section of the Constitution considered that there was an important distinction between
17 18 19 20 21 22	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts. So, plainly in our submission, in the Attorney's submission, the draftsmen of this Section of the Constitution considered that there was an important distinction between somebody who became a party in their own rightthat is to say
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the Constitution, 67(7), which is before becoming a party, you do not become a party to a contract if you are a partner in a firm that contracts or a director in a company that contracts. So, plainly in our submission, in the Attorney's submission, the draftsmen of this Section of the Constitution considered that there was an important distinction between somebody who became a party in their own rightthat is to say they had to contract in their nameas themselves, and somebody

Page | 6

Now, the only practical thought that I can submit to you is that in some cases that would make perfect sense because were a manager or a director in a business that was incorporated where managers were entrusted beneath you to contract, it may be more likely in that sort of structure that you would not find out about it at the time.

7 But whether or not that is a practical--a sufficient, practical basis for the distinction that the draftsman draws it, 8 9 we submit, is compellingly clear. You simply cannot say--and 10 our argument continues as you will have seen--you simply cannot 11 apply the meaning that was put to me some weeks ago, if I may 12 respectfully submit, that otherwise interested must mean 13 interested in a matter that isn't described in Section 67(3)(e)14 because, of course, that would not be disqualifiable. You are 15 only to be disqualified if you are to become interested in the 16 contract either by contracting in your own right when you're a 17 party or if you become interested because you are--and it's a 18 closed-ended description, a partner, a Director, or a manager.

So, we submit that it's quite plain that the draftsmen is drawing this essential distinction between somebody who contracts in their own right, in their own name, and somebody who doesn't, and we submit it's a compelling construction. It's the long-standing one that's been applied it doesn't make sense to apply the construction, that, in my respectful submission and in the Attorney's, that somehow or other, the other words

1	"otherwise interested" must indicate some other form of interest
2	other than that contained in Section 67(3)(e).
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that your
4	submission is that the words on their face are plain. I
5	understand that.
6	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I just wondered, and
8	you've answered the point as well as you can.
9	SIR GEOFFREY: As well as we can.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We have to look at the
11	words.
12	SIR GEOFFREY: You do.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Looking at it in a
14	purposive way, what that dichotomy might be based on.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: Exactly.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The second point is this,
17	and I assume that this has been done, but on the basis of on the
18	construction that the Attorney favors which you say is clear, as
19	I say, a Member who has become a party to a contract in his or
20	her own name or as a $d/b/a$, has to vacate his or her seat, and I
21	assume that a check has been made of the current Members as to
22	whether any fall into that category.
23	SIR GEOFFREY: I would have to take instructions on
24	the latter point as to whether there is a check been made, but
25	I'm not aware that it's thought that there are any into that

1	category.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay.
3	SIR GEOFFREY: I've obviously held discussions with
4	the Attorney. We haven't addressed this point precisely, but
5	clearly we have been inquiring into the history so that we can
6	assist you with what's happened in the past, and I'm afraid
7	you've got the results of those researches.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, I understand
9	that.
10	And I knowand I see that the force in the
11	submission, your submission, that the words are clear. That's
12	something I'm going to have to think about, but I think probably
13	this point has not been focused upon necessarily in the past and
14	that's why I raise that question.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: I don't understand, sir, why you do.
16	One thing one should add is that we've endeavored to
17	look at comparative jurisdictions. There's a similar wording in
18	the Cayman Islands, but we've been unable to find any authority
19	on the point; otherwise, I would have put it before you.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much, Sir
21	Geoffrey. Just to see if Mr Rawat has something to add on to
22	Section 67.
23	MR RAWAT: Firstly, that I would agree with Sir
24	Geoffrey that there isn't any useful law out there. My searches
25	didn't reveal anything.

ſ	
1	In terms of the operationand Commissioner, I don't
2	know whether you have the Constitution and Law Bundles.
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
4	MR RAWAT: But the provisions are at Pages 36 and 37
5	of that bundle. Perhaps it's helpful just to start by reminding
6	ourselves how we got here.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
8	MR RAWAT: And so our starting point was Section 66(f)
9	which deals with those who seek election to the House of
10	Assembly, but that Section obviously prompts two important
11	points:
12	The first is that, if it is complied with, it gives
13	the electorate full detail of the extent of any contracts that
14	the candidate may have with the Government of the Virgin
15	Islands; and
16	Secondly, we see that the wording, the reference to "a
17	party to or a partner in a firm or a director or manager of a
18	company which is a party to" is replicated in 67(3)(e) and
19	67(7). When we turn to Section 67, we are, of course, dealing
20	with the position of someone who is already elected to the House
21	of Assembly. As you observed on the last occasion, 67(3)(e) is
22	draconian. If you fall within its scope, and the House of
23	Assembly won't grant an exemption, then you are out
24	straightaway.
25	But in my submission I would contend for the

alternative construction, which I've put forward in oral 1 submissions on the last occasion. At that time, it was a 2 3 provisional view, but what I would say is this: That if one 4 looks at 67(7), it has two limbs: The first, which operate independent of each other, 5 6 the first would be, if, in the circumstances it appears just to 7 the House of Assembly to do so, the House may exempt any elected Member from vacating his or her seat under subsection (3)(e). 8 9 If such a Member, before becoming a party to such contract as 10 there described, discloses to the nature of such contract and 11 his or her interest or the interest of any such firm or company 12 in it. 13 The second limb would then be if, in the circumstances 14 be it just to the House of Assembly to do so, the House may 15 exempt any elected Member from vacating his or her seat under 16 subsection (3)(e), if such Member, before or as soon as 17 practicable after becoming otherwise interested in such 18 contract, whether as a partner in a firm or director or manager 19 of a company, disclose to the House the nature of such contract 20 and his or her interest or the interest of any such firm or 21 company in it. I make that submission for the following reasons: 2.2 23 Firstly, that the words "as they're described" should 24 not be read narrowly. What you should look to is the phrase--if 25 I could have a moment.

(Pause.)

1

3 4 5	That is a direct link back to Section 67(3)(e) and to all the
5	circumstances set out there, and that provision (3)(e) covers
	not only where an elected Member becomes a party to a contract
6	in his or her own name but where a firm in which he or she is a
7	partner or a company in which he or she is a director or manager
8	entered into a contract, so it's not limited to a direct
9	interest that the individual has in a contract with Government.
10	Second, if one wanted just to capture the
11	circumstances in (3)(e) in Section 67(7), because, as Sir
12	Geoffrey points out, the purpose of 67(7) must be to afford an
13	opportunity to be exempted from having to vacate your seat. It
14	is difficult to know what the words "after becoming otherwise
15	interested in such contract" add because they, taking them away
16	won't actually undermine the force of 67(7).
17	The third is that I question why the need then for
18	before appearing twice. I say that because if I take you,
19	Commissioner, back to the submissions that were originally made
	on behalf of the Attorney on 13th of September 2021, one of the
20	
20 21	questions that was askedand this is at page 5was, "as a
	questions that was askedand this is at page 5was, "as a matter of law, what is the purpose or intention behind Section
21	
21 22	matter of law, what is the purpose or intention behind Section
17 18	The third is that I question why the need then for before appearing twice. I say that because if I take you, Commissioner, back to the submissions that were originally ma

Page | 12

1 to be as just, to the rule which would require the Member of the 2 House of Assembly to vacate his or her seat upon becoming party 3 to or interested in a contract for the Government of the Virgin 4 Islands provided that the relevant Member has disclosed his 5 interest as soon as practicable."

6 So, the Attorney at that point was not making the 7 distinction which I understand Sir Geoffrey now makes, which it that if you have a direct--you are the direct contractor, the 8 9 effect is that, if you haven't declared the Contract or declared 10 the interest before entering into the Contract, you will have to 11 vacate, whereas if you become associated with the Contract in a 12 different way, you would have as either before or as soon as 13 practicable, so you have a further opportunity to declare your 14 interest.

The fourth point I would make is that when one looks at what I call the "second limb" it does link the reader back to Section 67(3)(e) because it makes reference to such contract, and that reference can only be directing the reader back to Section 67(3)(e).

The final point I would make, and it's the point that you've made, Commissioner, is that it is difficult to understand the rationale, or to even come up with one, which would justify the approach that the Attorney's now putting forward because the sole trader, if you like, who might be a relatively small entrepreneur would be caught by the analysis or by the

1 submission that Sir Geoffrey makes on behalf of the Attorney and would, if they only make a declaration after the event that they 2 3 would not be able to rely on Section 67(7), whereas someone who 4 is involved with a large multinational company that has a number of contracts with Government and that person knew that their 5 6 Company had contracts with the Government before becoming a 7 Member would be able to rely on "as soon as practicable", and we 8 have seen--and you certainly you've heard the submissions that 9 are made on behalf of The Honourable Neville Smith in that, that 10 "as soon as practicable" has a subjective element to it; that 11 the effect is that you would only need to take steps to seek an 12 exemption, once you became aware of the Contract, which could 13 mean that you become aware some considerable time into your 14 tenure as a Member of the House of Assembly.

So, for those reasons, I would argue for the
alternative construction, as I've called it, to Section 67(7).
COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.

18 Sir Geoffrey, firstly, I reiterate that I see the 19 force in the submissions you make in respect of the construction 20 except two points do concern me, which make it less clear than 21 it might otherwise be, and neither of which I suspect you can 22 give any further help. One is the point we've already discussed 23 and Mr Rawat referred to it, and that is the question why, why 24 is this a differentiation? And not all of us can go too far on 25 that because we just have to construe the words that are there.

1 And the second thing is--and this is a point of construction--that the words that we're looking at "or before as 2 3 soon as practicable after becoming otherwise interested in such 4 contract (whether as a partner in a firm or director or manager of a company)" reflect 67(3)(e), but they do not replicate them. 5 6 And, of course, the tentative construction where different words 7 are used in the same statute, it is a tentative construction 8 that they usually mean different things but that may simply be a 9 conundrum in relation to this particular subsection.

I think--an overarching point, of course, is that it's not my brief to construe the whole of the BVI Constitution, and it may not be necessary for me to resolve this issue if I conclude that there is an issue to be resolved, and so I think that we can--unless you've got anything else to say, Sir Geoffrey.

SIR GEOFFREY: No, you don't want to hear me in answer to that.

18 The fundamental problem with Mr Rawat's 19 interpretation, of course, is that he simply offers no serious 20 or credible answer to why the phrase is becoming before becoming 21 a party as there described simply means becoming a party as 22 there described. The only way Section 67(3)(e) provides that 23 somebody becomes a party is by contracting in his own name. 24 Really, I don't want to be impolite, but the truth is the words 25 here are pretty clear, but they do--they do--they do, of course,

give rise to the interesting conundrums that you've expressed, and I can see why one might look for another meaning but the literal and ordinary meaning of this is the one that's been adopted.

5 And I quite understand the point about the odd use of 6 the expression "otherwise interested", one rather suspects the 7 draftsman simply adopted it as a shorthand, and the compelling 8 nature of the other factors that I have drawn to your attention, 9 I would submit, are clearly--clearly demonstrate that this 10 should be construed in the manner the Attorney submits is 11 correct.

But may I move on from there because I know we've got much more to deal with today, and it may be better if that one is--I agree entirely with your analysis, it will be a matter for you to decide whether you really need to come to a view on this. There's plenty else for you to come to a view on. This may not be one of the things you need to.

18 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, I agree.
19 Can we move on then to what's referred to as
20 "standards of proof", at least in inverted commas.
21 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And this is the construction of paragraph 1 of the Terms of Reference, and I've had, and we've discussed the meaning of corruption of use of office or the serious dishonesty in relation to officials. We've dealt with that. This is another aspect of the same paragraph, and that is really the proper approach to the establishment of whether there is information that corruption, et cetera, may have taken place in recent years and again, thank you for your short and focused submissions on this.

6 Can I just raise one or two questions just to clarify. 7 The word "information" is used in paragraph 1, and what you say that, in this context, the term "information" means evidence of 8 9 facts. I mean, it seems to me that that's right in the sense 10 that it at least includes evidence. It may possibly be wider, 11 but it certainly focuses on evidence. And if we say that 12 information equals evidence, that seems to me to be fine, and 13 that's your first proposition at paragraph 8.1.

14 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. The first proposition is what 15 meaning do you give to information. That's what we've had to 16 grapple with and, no doubt that with which you are grappling, I 17 suspect in a good deal more advanced way because it would have 18 no doubt troubled you from the outset how you would look at this 19 question of information and what it means.

Essentially, what we submit, but we fully accept that in an inquiry of the nature you're conducting, this is a matter for you and, furthermore, it's got to be flexible. There's not a question here of tying you to precise forensic, probative standards.

25

But given the emphasis on "there is" information, what

we would submit, I think, is that there has to be facts. It's not enough just to say, well, I've had a piece of information in a bottle that's arrived anonymously in a bottle in the sea. This information has to be robust. It has to be--live up to appropriate standards upon which you could make and reasonably draw conclusions.

Now, we've offered a thesis here that it is effectively tantamount to saying are there facts? Are you in the presence of facts? Which you can comfortably find on varying scales of probative standards before you then ask yourself the main question is from which you can deduce that certain conduct may have happened.

So, what I'm anxious to clarify for you is that we don't say it's enough just to say, well, I've got some evidence here. You've got to be satisfied--we would submit it would be appropriate for you to be satisfied--on some objective scale, which we've indicated in writing that those facts genuinely exist. Thus there is information.

19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, we really have to 20 separate out because they're conceptually very different 21 evidence from facts. "Information" is the word that's used, and 22 what you say is that information means evidence. Evidence, of 23 course, is all facts. You can't have any other sort of 24 evidence.

25

SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But information means evidence. 2 3 So, I understandably, I can only act on evidence. 4 SIR GEOFFREY: Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And there has to be 6 evidence. 7 SIR GEOFFREY: Exactly. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But once I have evidence, 8 then we move on to the second part of the phrase whether 9 10 it--whether corruption, et cetera, may have taken place in 11 recent years, and you say in, I think, paragraph 9 that there 12 has to be at least, you say, a real possibility that paragraph 1 13 conduct has taken place on the basis of the evidence. 14 SIR GEOFFREY: Yeah. 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I mean, lots of other phrases could have been used but the real possibility seems to 16 17 me to be fine. 18 So that, on the evidence, there has to be a real 19 possibility that corruption, et cetera, may have taken 20 place--has taken place, but that's it, isn't it? 21 SIR GEOFFREY: Well, we've tried to go a little 22 further than that, as you'll probably detect. What we say, I 23 think, is that evidence must have some standard applied to it. 24 In other words, you would have to find--you would have to find 25 that the evidence was to your satisfaction and did establish the

1 fact on which you concluded that it may have happened or may 2 not. 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But the evidence has to 4 establish whether corruption, et cetera, may have taken place. That's what the evidence has to do. 5 6 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, but there may be facts from which 7 you would infer that. The question is to what standard do those facts from which you might draw an inference that certain 8 9 conduct may have taken place have to be established? 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But this is not--this is 11 not an Inquiry, as many other Inquiries are, which seek to 12 establish facts in that sense. You refer to some of the 13 Inquiries. This Inquiry, paragraph 1 seems to me to be very 14 clear. This Inquiry has to establish whether there is evidence, 15 information, evidence that corruption, et cetera, may have taken 16 place in recent years. 17 To make that conceptually simple task, it's not 18 simply, in practice, but conceptually simple task that more 19 difficult by bringing in concepts from other Inquiries with very 20 different Terms of Reference as to whether what happened, which 21 these Inquiries are investigating normally, did take place 2.2 doesn't seem to me to be very helpful. 23 SIR GEOFFREY: It's not an intention to be helpful. Ι 24 think what we felt and what is clearly a finding that there is 25 evidence that somebody may have taken place does require you to

be satisfied in some way that the evidence is capable of belief, reliable. You simply couldn't--I mean, if you didn't believe the evidence, for example, or you found--you felt that the evidence was inconsistent with other evidence and, therefore, raised real questions as to whether you should rely on it, what then?

7 I mean, is it really the case that you've come here for nine months as it now turns out to be, and all you're being 8 9 asked is is there some evidence upon which something might have Surely, we must have a more--if that's all you're 10 happened? 11 being asked to do, with respect, I'm not sure how far it takes 12 us. Evidence that something might have happened. Of course, 13 there may be some evidence that something might have happened, 14 but where does it take us?

15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, that leads 16 us to other questions. It doesn't--it doesn't affect the proper 17 construction of paragraph 1. That goes off then to other 18 questions, such as Recommendations.

19

SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I fully accept that--let's 21 just take for the moment that paragraph 1 means what it says and 22 that my task is to establish whether there is information, that 23 is evidence, that corruption, et cetera, may have taken place in 24 recent years, and I determine in respect of something that that 25 has been established.

1	But Recommendations, then, that flow from that may be
2	dependent upon likelihood.
3	SIR GEOFFREY: Right.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: If you said "real
5	possibility", I have no great argument with that as a phrase
6	with regard to the word "may", but if there is merely a real
7	possibility that it happened, then the Recommendations may be
8	different.
9	SIR GEOFFREY: I agree.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Highly likely to have
11	happened.
12	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, I agree.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm not saying that those
14	questions are irrelevant to the Terms of Reference because they
15	may be highly relevant to other aspects of it, but simply in
16	respect of paragraph 1, I find that parsing out between
17	paragraphs 8.1 and 8.2 of your submissions difficult because 8.1
18	says the term "information" means evidence. 8.2 starts "if
19	finding such facts exist". And there's aI don't say this
20	unkindly, but there seems to me to be an incongruity between
21	evidence and facts. But as I say, I fully accept that gradating
22	the possibility that chance, as you've suggested, in 8.2, 8.3
23	and 8.4, in the context of these Terms of Reference, may be
24	necessarymay certainly be helpful in determining the
25	

SIR GEOFFREY: I think, with respect, that's what was
on our mind.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.

3

SIR GEOFFREY: How you then move to making Recommendations will depend upon a flexible scale, which will depend on the nature of the Recommendation in your minds, its substance, its weight, its reach, and so on. And so, we felt perhaps we should have done it in stages and looking back on it, I think you're, if I may say so with respect, right, it is pertinent to the question of what you then go on to recommend.

11 Our concern was simply that if you were simply basing 12 it upon so slender a footing as whether or not there might be 13 information that something might have happened--because what 14 you're postulating, it seems to me--and I may be wrong, and you 15 will tell me obviously, sir, if I am, but essentially what 16 you're postulating is something akin to that which might afford 17 reasonable grounds to suspect to a Police Officer. What 18 effectively you're saying is that paragraph 1 simply invites you 19 to say--because I don't think one can say that information--I 20 don't think you're saying, as I understand it, that 21 "information" means that something that doesn't have standards 22 which can reasonably be capable of belief. The Police Officer 23 who was arresting must believe, and the facts stated to him must 24 be capable of being believed before he has reasonable grounds. 25 But if that's what you're saying to me at that point,

1 do I have information, evidence that is capable of being believed and which I think by definition, sir, this may be an 2 3 open question, but you believe, yourself, to be likely to be 4 true, then thereafter you move forward to seeing on a higher scale or a flexible scale as to where that leads you in terms of 5 6 Recommendations. 7 I don't think we differ from that. I think the concern we've got is, as I say, when you reach the second and 8 9 third stages and ask yourself what should be done, as far as--10 (Overlapping speakers.) 11 SIR GEOFFREY: --clauses are far-reaching. 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Absolutely, but that's a 13 sort of distinct exercise in a sense. 14 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Once I've made relevant 15 16 findings in relation to paragraph 1, I will need to go on to 17 consider things like Recommendations. 18 But also you can't look at any particular finding 19 under paragraph 1 in isolation. Just for example--and this is 20 entirely hypothetical; this doesn't relate to anything in 21 relation to this Inquiry--but the Recommendations are going to 2.2 be very different. If there is one instance of a mere 23 possibility that may not be any more than mere possibility, then 24 if there were findings in respect of lots of incidents which may 25 be greater than a mere possibility. So, it's a matter of taking

1 the whole thing into account at that stage on Recommendations. But none of that, in my view, at the moment, bears upon the 2 3 construction of paragraph 1 where the hurdle is -- the hurdle is a 4 modest one. Having said that, I also take into account this: 5 That 6 one would not leap over even that modest hurdle, I don't think, 7 in respect of public officials, particularly senior public 8 officials, without some very considerable thought and some 9 anxious scrutiny. 10 SIR GEOFFREY: If I may say so, yes, that again was in 11 our minds. The threshold for the kinds of behavior that you're 12 being asked to look at on paragraph 1 do admit of again a 13 sliding scale of anxiety--anxious scrutiny, and possibly even 14 the approach that Lord Hoffmann took, the famous approach of 15 whether it's a lion or an Alsatian in Hyde Park. 16 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, I agree with 17 all of that. 18 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 19 And I say, if by was of a COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: 20 hypothetical example where there is one instance where I would 21 be minded to make a finding under paragraph 1, then for the reasons Lord Hoffmann set out I might not be minded to make 2.2 23 those findings because it may be inherently unlikely. 24 SIR GEOFFREY: That's broadly the principle that we 25 wanted to draw to your attention.

1 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's Lord Hoffmann's 2 view. 3 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: None of the--all of the examples I've used are hypothetical because I've got here the 5 6 reminder of the evidence and think long and hard about 7 everything I have heard. But that's very helpful. Just before I ask Mr Rawat if he's got anything to 8 9 add, is there anything that you want to add at the moment, Sir 10 Geoffrey? 11 SIR GEOFFREY: No, thank you, sir. 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat, anything to add? MR RAWAT: Very briefly, Commissioner. 13 14 I think the position we've reached is that, Sir 15 Geoffrey is not pursuing the apparent sort of two-stage approach 16 that was set out in his written submissions which was that first 17 you make the findings of fact to the civil standard, and then 18 you may go on to consider whether there has been behavior that 19 comes within paragraph 1 to a lower standard. I think, in my 20 submission that is, he's right not to pursue that approach. 21 What we have to remind ourselves is that you are 22 conducting an Inquiry bound by your Terms of Reference. What 23 you are asked to do is not to determine civil or criminal 24 liability, not to adjudicate between competing cases but rather 25 to answer the question in the Terms of Reference and, to do so

where necessary and only where necessary making findings of fact.

3 And you are also entitled to make statements of 4 opinion and to make comments, so I think we shouldn't conflate evidence with the standard of proof. The evidence is, is it 5 6 what it is, it is a bulk of information that you will have to have. You are in a different situation from the English 7 Inquiries, and I know Sir Geoffrey in his submission refers to 8 9 Al Sweady. I think the better and proper starting point is the 10 ruling of Dame Janet Smith in Shiffman--

11

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.

MR RAWAT: --which then led on to rulings by Lord Saville in Bloody Sunday and Sir William Gage in Baha Moussa, all of which establish one point, which is that there is no set standard of proof in an Inquiry. But in my submission you are in a different position because your Terms of Reference set your starting point, which is may, and whether you say reasonable grounds to suspect, reason for suspicion--

19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Real possibility.
20 MR RAWAT: --may not matter, but that is the starting
21 point.

And the reason I say it's a starting point is that depending on your assessment of the evidence, you too can adopt a variable standard, there may be circumstances in which you may say that I am satisfied or it is likely. You are not fixed to

1 that starting point, but it is--you can go as low as that if 2 necessary. 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that. 4 Good. Thank you. Sir Geoffrey, the third matter is the application for 5 6 permission to question witnesses, and I've got your application. Thank you very much. 7 Just before we come on to the particular witness--8 9 SIR GEOFFREY: I'm not sure which applica--you have a 10 letter I think written by those instructing me; is that right? 11 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, I have an application 12 by the Attorney General dated the 30th of September. 13 SIR GEOFFREY: Right, okay, thank you. I'm afraid 14 that it's something that I've fairly relatively recently come 15 into, but I can make the submission this morning if you wish it 16 to be made. 17 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay. No, no, I do, 18 please. 19 Just before we come on to the particular individuals, 20 there are three of them, the Auditor General, the Governor, and 21 the former Governor, we've heard evidence from the Auditor General. We're about to hear evidence from the Governor. 2.2 23 But just looking at the Act and the principles--24 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The application focuses on 1 two things, really, Section 12 of the Commissions of Inquiry 2 Act, and the requirements of natural justice, what we would say 3 now is the requirements of procedural fairness to those who may 4 be accused or criticized in some way.

In relation to the Act, Sir Geoffrey, the relevant 5 6 section is not, of course, Section 12, it's Section 13. Section 7 13 deals with examination of witness by counsel because that's 8 the side note, and that says that omitting irrelevant words for 9 these purposes. A Barrister or Solicitor authorized by the 10 Commissioner as to appear before them for the purpose of 11 representing any person so far as the Commissioners think 12 proper, examine or cross-examine any witness on any matter which 13 the Commissioner has deemed relevant to the Inquiry, and a 14 witness so examined or cross-examined shall have the same 15 privileges, et cetera, as if you were to be examined by a 16 Commissioner. So that's the relevant Section.

17 So that gives me a discretion as to whether to allow 18 any questioning of witnesses on the basis that any questioning 19 may be helpful, may be relevant to the Inquiry, and also helpful 20 to me. That's the first point.

And the second point in relation to procedural fairness, which you raise, in respect of the matters that you wish to question the three witnesses about, these are matters in which the Elected Ministers whom you represent, the Attorney represent, the Elected Ministers criticized them, the Auditor

1 General, the Governor and the former Governor are the object of criticisms made by the Elected Ministers. 2 3 So procedural fairness in ensuring that I am fair to 4 witnesses applies to the Auditor General, the Governor and the former Governor. It doesn't apply to the accuser. It applies 5 6 to the individuals that are criticized. 7 So, in respect of general principles, any further submissions on either of those? 8 9 SIR GEOFFREY: I don't think I agree with you insofar 10 as your analysis goes, but I don't think you can, with respect, 11 ignore the fact that your Inquiry is playing out in public in a 12 very clear political context which the Minister's statements 13 have drawn to your attention, or sought to do so. And while 14 there may be from the point of view of your procedures the 15 points you raised of procedural fairness to the witnesses, there 16 is a broader sense, in my submission, in which fairness to the 17 Elected Ministers to have--to be effectively represented in your 18 Inquiry, and Section 12 clearly means effectively represented, 19 it means an adequate opportunity to--the word "representation" doesn't mean simply sitting passively unable to influence your 20 21 thinking, unable to affect your judgment on matters of acute 22 concern to the participant.

And in our submission, to be effectively participating in your Inquiry must at some point mean having the opportunity to address questions to witnesses whose evidence of is critical

importance to aspects of your Inquiry which touch upon the 1 Ministers, their concerns and their interests. 2 3 Now, as you know, the central focus of the Ministers' 4 concern before you, which it goes back to almost every aspect of the Inquiry that you have recently been conducting, is the -- is 5 6 the condition--conditions of service, training, capacity, 7 resourcing of the Public Service. What the Ministers say to you 8 is that if you ask why there is no proper policy for something, 9 no proper written policy that has been published and is 10 transparent to the public, their answer to that is because the 11 Public Service has not been in the habit, say, sporadically in 12 following those kinds of practices. 13 If you ask why was it not possible to design and 14 execute pandemic relief schemes in the time scale that the 15 emergency required with greater precision, accountability, 16 transparency, the answer comes back to the diagnosis that the 17 Ministers have made in their lengthy statements to you in 18 writing that the Policy formulation function of the Public

19 Service while, indeed, all four aspects of critical

20 policy-making, policy formulation, policy development,

21 monitoring and evaluation, all of those four critical aspects of 22 the Policy function were diagnosed by the Ministers on the basis 23 of reports before you disclosed to you as having been 24 chronically neglected. This is something which is the center of

25 the Ministers' submission to you.

If you ask why a Minister doesn't follow appropriate policy in a policy environment that guides his decision-making, it's because that environment doesn't exist. Now, why doesn't it exist? The Ministers say not because of the elected institutions into which a great deal of attention has been devoted, but because those responsible for the conduct, stewardship, training, discipline, modernisation and reform of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 the Public Service have not entertained it for years and didn't 9 until 2017.

Now, that is so fundamental not only to your
paragraphs 2, 3, and 4, but it's a relevant factor to your
considerations on paragraph 1. What may be evidence of
something in a UK context may well not be evidence of something
in this jurisdiction because in a UK context your expectations
will be conditioned by certain basic expectations of how matters
would run.

17 Let me give you another example. It's a fundamental 18 fact that the Attorney has attested to you in her evidence that 19 the record keeping of the Public Service isn't methodical, isn't scientific, and at times isn't even coherent. You've suffered 20 21 from that, we've all suffered from that, and in the course of 22 your Inquiry. Now, again, the absence of records might well in 23 a different jurisdiction context give you to have some anxiety, 24 but in this jurisdiction, with respect, it's not unusual. Now, 25 there have been exacerbating factors.

1 So, we say that this fundamental question of the condition in which the apparatus and infrastructure surrounding 2 3 democratically Elected Ministers are able or forced to do their 4 jobs is of critical relevance, and the Ministers should be permitted to ask questions on these central questions that go to 5 6 all parts in paragraphs in order to demonstrate that it is--this 7 is what I mean by the wider context, but it isn't only the elected and local institutions that you are here to inquire 8 9 into, but faithful to the terms of your oath and your mandate 10 into all aspects of the governance of these islands.

We submit--yes.

12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But that's right. So, 13 when the Auditor General gave evidence on Friday, I, lodging 14 through Mr Rawat, of course, but I raised questions about VINPP 15 and the publication of that Report, and I raised criticisms because these had been raised by the Ministers, that she took a 16 17 stance on the issues which you've raised, which is not an 18 objective stance, and it's a political stance. Those matters 19 were put to the Auditor General.

20

11

SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, they were.

21 And let me deal with that straightaway because I need 22 to--I need to deal with that immediately.

Of course, the questions were put, or at least some of them were put, but if your Inquiry is to have the public have confidence of the public that it is genuinely looking into

1 matters connected with the governance of these islands that lie at the door, not at the elected institutions but others as well, 2 3 it would be (a) enhanced by allowing the Minister's 4 representatives to ask the questions, and (b) it is extremely difficult when your Inquiry has been per force no doubt centered 5 6 so much upon the public officials of this Territory, it does 7 look as though the cross-examination or the examination of those 8 has been pursued with a vigor and persistence that was not 9 always apparent in the questions asked of the Auditor General. 10 Let me be candid--and let me explain why.

11 There were five broad areas that I wished to ask 12 questions of the Auditor General:

13 First, the language. My learned friend Mr Rawat 14 canvassed the question of the language used in the Auditor 15 General's Reports, and he put to the Auditor General but one 16 word of that language in a manner that drew the sting of the 17 real criticism. I mean no disrespect to Mr Rawat. I know he 18 knows that, he has a difficult job, being counsel for the 19 Inquiry is exceedingly difficult. But the fact is that the real 20 word which the Ministers deplored and which is difficult, I 21 would respectfully submit, to justify in an Auditor General's 22 Report is the word "falsify".

Now, the criticism made in the Minister's response--that is to say the Premier's Office's Response--is that the various adjectival and verbal expressions used by the Auditor General leave no doubt in the mind of the reader that what she at least is leaving open as a possibility is that there was a quite deliberate attempt to falsify documents and claims.

And the word "falsify" and the conjunction of the language that she used, which is the point made in the Premier's Office's Response, namely inflate alongside "falsify" the expression that is used in the document on the Premier's Office's behalf is there can be no doubt about the deplorable pejorative intention of that language when the word "inflate" is then used alongside the word "falsify".

11 Now, if one was putting--and I mean, as I say, no 12 intent here to suggest that my learned friend's efforts were not 13 entirely genuine to be balanced, and to some extent perspective 14 was given by his question as was yours, but the real sting of 15 that criticism is that an Auditor General would suggest of 16 senior Public Officers in this Territory that they had falsified 17 claims, that, in our respectful submission is worthy of further 18 exploration.

And the Ministers watching on and those who had witnessed this--and there are many--knowing of that language would have wondered why it hadn't been put. Now, it wasn't put because counsel and you, sir, are overwhelmed--well, not overwhelmed, but you are facing an enormous and complex task. But that is why to deprive yourself of the opportunity, the tool that cross-examination can give, properly conducted of course, 1 is, in our submission, a mistake, and it's a mistake, if I may 2 say so, that we've noted--I've mentioned before. I realize your 3 time constraints are tough, but frankly a tool--a 4 cross-examination by another party or by participants is a tool 5 that other Inquiries use from time to time, and we would invite 6 you on this occasion.

7 And let me just enumerate the other areas if I may for8 the Auditor General.

9 The question of the publication of her Report which, indeed, both you, sir, and Mr Rawat did address, but it's not 10 11 clear from a review of the evidence she gave whether, in fact, 12 she is now saying her Report was published or was not. One 13 might deduce from the fact that she said that she originally in 14 answering Mr Rawat, she said she publishes her Report on the 15 website when the--if the Minister doesn't do it, when the Annual 16 Statements are published--you may recall this exchange of 17 questioning between you, sir, and the witness--she publish it on 18 the website, but it only emerged a little later in response to 19 Mr Rawat's questioning that, in fact, the website had only been 20 up since early this year.

So, the real question is: Was it published or wasn't it published? Now, that is important because, if it wasn't published there is a question as to why the Auditor General said to you--and we say--and I would be putting it to the Auditor General--the effect of her evidence was clear beyond doubt that 1 it had been published. She went as far as to say not only it 2 had been published but that a member of the public had come up 3 to congratulate her upon it.

4 So, we want to know--and this leads to a much more 5 serious point, with respect, because the point is this: She 6 told you, the Auditor General, quite understandably that she was most concerned that reports of that kind should reach the public 7 domain. Indeed, she used the expression "it shouldn't simply 8 9 sit on somebody's desk". And she went on to say that particularly with "value for money" reports it was important the 10 11 public knew of it.

The question, therefore, arises, sir, what did she do about it? If the Minister didn't publish it--and we now pretty certainly know he didn't--why did the Auditor General--first, what did the Auditor General do? Did she discuss it with the Permanent Secretary who she said at the time to be, I think, Dr Potter? Did she raise it with the Ministry, given the importance of these matters reaching the public domain.

And let's not forget that the nature of her findingswere very serious.

And then that leads on to a further question. If the Minister doesn't publish, and if at that time she wasn't--she didn't have a website, why did she not escalate the matter, as she told you on the 28th of June she could, by treating it as a Section 20 Report?
'n	
1	She told you on the 28th of June that she had a
2	perfect discretion to decide that a Section 12 Report should be
3	treated as a Section 20 Report. But it would appear that the
4	Auditor General did nothing to bring that Report to public
5	attention.
6	Now, we arethe Ministers are anxious to understand
7	why not because the Premier, as you saw, was confronted with
8	that Report and had not seen it.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey
10	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm so sorry.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry to interrupt.
12	There are three other matters you say you want to
13	raise with
14	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Could you briefly
16	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, I can.
17	The next broad heading is the timing of the Report.
18	Questions were asked about the urgency and the rush by you, sir,
19	and by Mr Rawat, but I think the Ministers who instruct me are
20	keen to understand the dates and times on which matters actually
21	unfolded.
22	For example, when did the Governor ask her to audit
23	these pandemic schemes? Was she first awaredid she have
24	discussions with the Governor or anybody else about the
25	timetable in which she should deliver her Report to him? When

1 was he first aware that you, sir, wished to hear her evidence on 2 the 28th of June? And was she informed--to some extent she may 3 have answered this question--of the ground her evidence was 4 required to cover?

These are relevant. Let me explain why, if I need to. 5 6 The Ministers believe that there is a possibility that 7 these reports were commissioned--and there may be nothing wrong with this--directly in anticipation of your Commission of 8 9 Inquiry, and that the purpose of the Governor in making these 10 requests was to ensure that at the time, that you, sir, were 11 going to hear the evidence from the Auditor General, those 12 Reports would be available to be adduced by counsel to the 13 Inquiry on the record of the Inquiry.

14 Now, if that is so--and it may not be, but those 15 instructing me may wish to explore the matter--if that were so, 16 and if it did play a part in the reason why the Permanent Secretary was declined until the 28th of June to respond, albeit 17 18 that the Response may have been in effectual, what we say about 19 that is that, if it were a part of her consideration, it would 20 have been fair for the Auditor General to tell the Permanent 21 Secretary that she was governed by a timetable that essentially 22 was looking towards the evidence she was to give before you on 23 the 28th. Why? Because the Premier had made it abundantly 24 plain to the whole of his Government that the priority was to 25 facilitate your Inquiry. It would have almost certainly led to

Page | 39

1 a change of conduct on Dr O'Neal-Morton's behalf, certainly if she'd carried out the Premier's instructions, and it was if it 2 3 were the case--and I'm not saying as though it was, but the 4 Ministers are obviously, through me, expressing where their concerns lie, if it was not disclosed, then there may be a 5 6 certain want of candor there, which needs to be canvassed 7 because it may affect your view of the Public Officers' concerned. 8

9 Now, that's one area. That's that way. I also would 10 like to ask you about the Financial Secretary's view, the former 11 Financial Secretary, Mr Forbes, who you may recall telling you 12 that, in his view, the Auditor General should not generally be 13 involved during the execution of a programme because the risk is 14 that, in making Recommendations, she comes later to audit a 15 programme that she's influenced by those Recommendations.

16 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Is that the fourth?17 SIR GEOFFREY: That's the next one.

18 The next one, sir, is Cabinet policy. The Auditor has 19 consistently given evidence before you that a change of policy, 20 once approved by the Cabinet, could only properly be affected by 21 the Cabinet. We don't accept that, we say to you--and it may be that you to want hear submissions on it in due course, but it is 22 23 open to a Minister on good ground to alter aspects of a Policy 24 approved by the Cabinet where, particularly if he believes, and 25 where he believes his colleagues will support the change, and as

a political matter, of course, it's appropriate to return to the
Cabinet at some stage, we say Section 56 should be interpreted
flexibly; otherwise, the business of government just couldn't
get done. There are many cases, many cases where a Minister
takes a view. We may well discuss it informally with the
Premier, the Prime Minister, that a Cabinet policy needs
adjusting.

Now, in this particular case, how would--if that were 8 9 so, we would like to explore, sir, with her how it might affect 10 her Report. Because while it's quite understandable that she 11 would look at the Cabinet policy, in this case there was clear 12 that the ministerial conduct -- conduct of the Ministry was 13 departing from that policy. In our submission, and certainly at 14 the moment prima facie, we want to ask questions about how she 15 regarded the change in policy, why she felt that it was only the 16 Cabinet could approve a change in policy, and also about 17 churches, that there was a change on the 28th of September.

18 So, the final area relates to some assumptions in the 19 report. Those instructing me found puzzling some of the answers 20 about the schemes themselves. For example, she told you that 21 she would have to--you would have to start such a programme by 22 building up the Department of Agriculture, so her starting point 23 was that the proper way to go about running such a scheme would 24 have been to equip the Department of Agriculture with the 25 infrastructure apparatus and equipment to deliver it. Now, that 1 raises questions about whether or not the assumptions she's 2 applied to what are effectively emergency relief and stimulus 3 schemes are really the opposite in the circumstances of the 4 times.

There were other questions that, broadly speaking, 5 6 there would be an area to do with the evidence of how she had 7 applied assumptions in the produce--production of her Report, and those are the areas I want to cover. Had I been 8 9 present--and I regret I wasn't--I'm afraid, sir, that on that 10 day I wasn't available and certainly not to rearrange my 11 commitments as late as Friday morning--it may have been 12 difficult in any event at the time you concluded for me to 13 conclude. I would say that probably I have an hour to an 14 hour-and-a-half of questions for the Auditor General.

15 So, that is--that is the Auditor General. And in our 16 submission, they are important to show that the Ministers and 17 the participants that a proper opportunity has been afforded to 18 them to put their concerns and criticisms. It certainly 19 wouldn't have been what would have been necessary had you, sir, 20 and Mr Rawat not embarked on the exercise, which in this case it 21 would have involved substantially longer. But I would invite 2.2 you for those reasons to permit the cross-examination insofar as 23 the Governors are concerned, of course, I shall have to wait and 24 see how the questioning goes.

25

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.

1 Can I just deal with the Governors first, Sir 2 Geoffrey. Yes. 3 SIR GEOFFREY: 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because I think that's easier or at least short. 5 6 I agree with that. It seems to me that the 7 appropriate time to hear the application in relation to the Governor and no doubt the former Governor tomorrow is at the end 8 9 of Mr Rawat's questions, and then you can make submissions as 10 you have now in relation to the--11 SIR GEOFFREY: The Auditor General. 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And we can see, as it 13 were, where we are. So, in terms of the Governor, we will 14 simply park that issue until sometime later today. 15 In relation to the Auditor General--and I will hear 16 Mr Rawat on this, but I'm hoping that we can deal with it fairly 17 shortly. One point I would make but I don't want to enter into 18 a lengthy debate about it, it was very unfortunate that no one 19 from the Attorney General's team was able to deal with this 20 application as an application on Friday because it would have 21 been very helpful to have done it then. Even if--even if--we had not been able to conclude the Auditor General's 2.2 23 evidence--hopefully we could have done, but even if we couldn't 24 have done because of the hour--it would have been helpful to 25 know where we were with the Auditor General.

Secondly, given where we are in relation to the evidence looked at as a whole, I would be minded to allow you to ask your questions in relation to the matters you've identified. I would restrict that to an hour-and-a-half, and that what I'd propose to do, subject to anything that Mr Rawat wants to say, is to make inquiries of the Auditor General as to when she can come back, and when she's able to deal with your questions, Sir

Geoffrey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SIR GEOFFREY: Thank you.

10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The notice for that may be 11 short, but we will do what we can today to try and identify with 12 the Auditor General when she can come back.

SIR GEOFFREY: I wonder, I'm most conscious of your timetable needs to be observed, so if it's not helpful now, but I wondered if I might just raise two ancillary points to give me an idea because it may help me in judging whether I need to ask questions of both Governors.

18 First, is it your intention--it may not be, and I'm 19 not seeking to load this question in any way; it's a genuine 20 inquiry--is it your intention to seek in some form or other 21 final submissions dealing with the Inquiry as a whole and its--the evidence before you either writing or orally or both? 22 23 In other words, what opportunities will the Attorney have and 24 those representing to me to submit to you their reflections and 25 focused observations on the evidence that you've heard?

If you said to me you were minded to do that, of course, it might make a difference to how my judgment about how I should conduct matters over the next day or two because these points may be able to be raised and I may be able to be shorter and I may not, but I'm thinking aloud.

6 Secondly, sir, clearly my decision as to whether or 7 not you were to give me the opportunity to examine the 8 Governors, the Governor and the former Governor, may well depend 9 on how much time is available to me. This is a theme of such central importance to the Elected Ministers that it will be no 10 11 use my simply being given the opportunity to ask 10 or 15 12 minutes' questions, when I may have for them is considerable 13 longer. But we can address that later, but it would be helpful 14 for me to know if you had--and it may be you haven't reached a 15 decision on this, if you had any mind to how you might, so to 16 speak, round up or complete your Inquiry.

17 I know that the Attorney in particular is conscious 18 that she's simply not had the time but would like to assist you 19 further, you asked her a little time ago on questions of 20 governance whether she had any Recommendations or suggestions as 21 to how matters could be improved, and I think as inevitably as time has marched on and the evidence has become clearer before 2.2 23 you, she may well have reflections on that that she would like 24 to submit.

25

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can I still, before we do

1 that, hear from Mr Rawat.

2	Firstly, in relation to the Governor today and the
3	former Governor tomorrow, I think, the Protocol still applies.
4	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And then, in relation to
6	any questions that you have for the Governor, I would urge you
7	at the end of each section thatof questions that Mr Rawat puts
8	to the Governor, that you raise any questions for the Governor
9	then, through him, through the Protocol, which is still open to
10	you. That's the first point.
11	The second point is this: The Governor has indicated
12	a willingness to be asked questions by me through Mr Rawat in
13	the public interest. He's volunteered to respond to those
14	questions. As you know, the Governor is not compellable.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: True.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: He'sbecause of
17	Section 16 of the Evidence Act, I can't require him. I think
18	uniquely in the Inquiry, I can't require him as a witness to
19	answer any questions, and we'll have to deal with that at the
20	end of his questions. That may bethat may or may not be an
21	issue in respect to any further questions that you may want to
22	put to him.
23	In termsthirdly, in terms of final submissions, I
24	haven't come to a final view, but I've obviously given this some
25	thought. We've dealt with some of the "final submissions" as

we've gone along, and many of them have been very helpful, but we've dealt with certain submissions on certain aspects of the law and evidence as we've gone along. In respect of other aspects, I'm sure that I will request assistance on them, and it may will be that I'll need a little time to consider which areas, but those I can let you know about.

7 What I'm less sure of is what I understand you--the Attorney wants to do, as it were, to make freestyle submissions. 8 9 And what would be helpful in the course of the next day or so is 10 if you were to let me know, Sir Geoffrey, of the very broad 11 areas that the Attorney may wish to make some submissions on, 12 and it may be simply as broad as she'd like to make submissions 13 on, I don't know, the evidence on governance given by X or 14 something, but that would help me focus on where final 15 submissions could most usefully be made. And as it were, in the 16 usual way, I would expect final submissions to be largely in 17 writing, then with a fallback to oral submission if required, 18 which could then be relatively short.

19

20

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Does that help?

21 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, indeed, it does. It helps a lot.
22 Thank you.

SIR GEOFFREY: Thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We've kept Mr Rawat out of this, Sir Geoffrey, but I'll just ask Mr Rawat if he's got any comments on where we are in relation to these witnesses.

1 MR RAWAT: Can I deal with them in three parts. In terms of the Governors, I agree that we cannot take 2 3 those any further until my questions, but I would, as you have 4 done, Commissioner, encourage the submission of questions through me. We've done it twice before. It works quite well. 5 6 A question can be e-mailed to those who sit behind me, and we 7 can then consider, and if need be, there need to be the discussions, then we can--I can take five minutes and discuss it 8 9 with Sir Geoffrey, if necessary.

10 Taking the Auditor General next, but making the 11 general point, important is that representation and effective 12 representation is not determined by Section 12 of the Act alone. 13 We must always go back to Section 13, because Section 13 14 actually is the foundation for the rules that we have issued in 15 the Protocols that we had issued in terms of--particularly the 16 rules--in terms of the process for questioning witnesses. It is 17 not an unfettered right either to examine or cross-examine, and, 18 in fact, I am bound by it as much as any other counsel involved 19 in this process. It is only so far as you consider proper and on any matter that you deem relevant. 20

Now, in terms of the Auditor General, there are now five matters that Sir Geoffrey wishes to canvass with her. The first is the use of language; the second is the VINPP Report; the third is the timing of the COVID Reports; the fourth is her knowledge of Cabinet policy; and the fifth is certain assumptions in her Report.

1

2	I'm bound observe that in relation to three of those,
3	they do not form part of the criticisms that we asked others to
4	submit to a witness, and I would be concerned that she's not
5	placed particularly because the Auditor General is not
6	represented particularly because she might have very well, and
7	have been entitled to, have expected that when she came on
8	Friday her evidence would be concluded there and then, and she
9	wouldn't be, as now, is now emerging to be summoned back for
10	more. And there needs to be a way found to make sure that she
11	is alerted to what criticisms are being made of her.
12	The lengthdifficulty with that, of course, is that
13	she does not know who it is that is making those accusations

because they are based upon a report, which we have been calling the "Premier's Office's Report" which I could not tell who the authors are. They are a mix of Officers within the Premier's Office, and to borrow from Dr O'Neal-Morton, the many Members of the IRU.

19 They--it is also a report from, at least in one 20 instance, Dr O'Neal-Morton has resigned, and I am concerned that 21 the Auditor General be treated fairly, which I'm sure you will 22 ensure, Commissioner.

But in terms of the ambit of further questioning, what I would explain or set out is this. On the date of the VINPP publication, the evidence that was put in canvass for the Auditor General on the use of language, that was canvassed in
 two ways: Firstly, in the specific in that the specific
 criticisms that have been advanced on the--by the Attorney
 General were put to the Auditor General, but also more widely in
 terms of her use of language, that was canvassed with her.

6 What I also canvassed with her, not because it was a--it had been advanced by the Attorney General, although I'm 7 8 bound to say it could well have been and should have been 9 matters, was matters such as the timing of the Report, as I 10 understood it to be being put forward by The Honourable Premier 11 in his evidence. I canvassed with the Auditor General the 12 reason as to why she could not wait before issuing her Report. 13 I put to her Glenroy Forbes' evidence as to the--and 14 Dr O'Neal-Morton's evidence--as to the sequence of auditing that 15 should occur, in his view, and in Dr O'Neal-Morton's view.

16 I caveat that, although we did not put it to the Auditor General for good reason, is that it was accepted by 17 18 Mr Forbes, and, as I understood it, it was accepted by The 19 Honourable Premier that the Auditor General has a right to 20 initiate a report when she chooses or to embark on a report when 21 she chooses. And the advice of the Attorney to Dr O'Neal-Morton 22 was that there was no basis not to collaborate or cooperate with 23 Auditor General.

And so, what the position we've reached, not least because there wasn't anyone here to put questions to her on the 1 Attorney's behalf on Friday, is that--the question is whether it is necessary and proportionate to ask her questions. I hear 2 3 what you say, Commissioner, as to what you're minded to do, and 4 so I will only add this: That what I hope is the questions don't go over ground that has already been covered and seek to 5 6 unpick answers that have already been given. What you would be 7 best be assisted by are questions that are intended to further 8 your understanding of a particular issue insofar as you deem it 9 to be relevant to your Inquiry.

Mr--Sir Geoffrey, could I just ask two points that I hope you'll be able to answer to alleviate some of those concerns of Mr Rawat has:

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you, Mr Rawat.

Firstly, my understanding from your submission today is that the areas, let's call them "areas of concern" that the Elected Ministers have are those that you've set out today. And I understand Mr Rawat's point that these are not criticisms that have been--not all criticisms that had been previously made, but those are the areas of concern.

You're on mute, Sir Geoffrey.

10

20

21 SIR GEOFFREY: I do beg your pardon.

Yes, exactly, those are the areas I wish to cover. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And in relation to the point Mr Rawat makes about who is making these criticisms of the Auditor General, and the point he makes about a number of the

documents which have been lodged by the IRU or otherwise on 1 behalf of the Attorney are not named, which has made life 2 3 slightly difficult, but my understanding is that these concerns 4 and criticisms are effectively made; they're made by the elected Ministers, et cetera, the "et cetera" being the other 5 6 individuals, the other--7 SIR GEOFFREY: Well, as you know, Sir Gary, it's not uncommon in the United Kingdom, for a Ministry to publish its 8 9 response either to a report, an Inquiry, a select committee 10 report. This represents, in effect, Ministry's response to the 11 Auditor General's Reports. 12 Now, the Premier, as I understand it--I was not, I'm 13 afraid, present for his evidence as I can recall--perhaps I 14 was--but has adopted it and said it represents the Response 15 with--which he has approved as the Minister of that Department. 16 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, no, I'm trying to make 17 life easier rather than more difficult. 18 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, yes. 19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: These terms of criticisms 20 are effectively those of the Elected Ministers.

SIR GEOFFREY: Well, they're those of the Public
Officers and the Ministry, which the Premier presides over, but
yes, they are agreed with by the Elected Ministers.

24 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay. I mean, A problem,25 although hopefully it's not going to be--but it isn't going to

1	be insuperablethe problem is that these submissions contain
2	evidence, they contain legal submissions, and it's not always
3	SIR GEOFFREY: Always legal, I think, with respect,
4	not legal. They are points in answer to. I don't think there's
5	any submissions of law in them, but what there are is
6	expressions of opinion, expressions of view and observations of
7	argument, but they're not necessarily legal argument.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I accept that, but they
9	contain evidence, and they contain, as you say, opinion evidence
10	and views
11	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:but without any
13	indication as to whose opinion and whose views that they are
14	which makes it
15	SIR GEOFFREY: Well, ultimately the Minister is
16	responsible.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well, that's correct.
18	That is correct under Section 56, and that's the way that I've
19	been proceeding in any event. So
20	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:the short answer is that
22	these concerns and criticisms are effectively those of the
23	Elected Ministers, and where documents have been prepared by
24	Ministries, the Ministers are responsible for those documents in
25	any event.

SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.

1

2	And as you know, Ministers will always be assisted,
3	even if they make an Affidavit in their own name. It's most
4	unlikely that the Minister will visit the filing cabinets or
5	otherwise ascertained for himself the facts. They'll be
6	presented to him by his Public Officers.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But it's
8	SIR GEOFFREY: So, just as in this case, it was the
9	work of many Public Officers, as the Permanent Secretary said.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm not sure that a
11	Minister has actually provided us with an Affidavit, but if a
12	Minister were to provide an Affidavit in respect of the COI,
13	then we could ask him questions of that Affidavit because it
14	would be in his name.
14	would be in mis name.
15	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it
15	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it
15 16	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's
15 16 17	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we
15 16 17 18	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we haven't known who to ask questionsto whom to ask questions.
15 16 17 18 19	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we haven't known who to ask questionsto whom to ask questions. SIR GEOFFREY: I see, yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we haven't known who to ask questionsto whom to ask questions. SIR GEOFFREY: I see, yeah. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We know where these
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we haven't known who to ask questionsto whom to ask questions. SIR GEOFFREY: I see, yeah. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We know where these criticisms of the Attorney are comingthe Auditor General are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Our problem, and I don't really want to debate it because it's not at the moment helpful or constructive, but it's been a problem where we've had very lengthy documents and we haven't known who to ask questionsto whom to ask questions. SIR GEOFFREY: I see, yeah. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We know where these criticisms of the Attorney are comingthe Auditor General are coming from.

1	Auditor General in this case on matters in respect of which they
2	criticise her. That's how the application is made.
3	But my understanding of the application really is that
4	it's in respect of matters which you've outlined today,
5	helpfully, where they see, really, potential criticisms of them
6	and, therefore, they're attempting to defend their position, and
7	that, as I understand it, was another plank of your submission
8	as to why these questions should be
9	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. Yes, and, of course, the
10	necessarily cumbersome procedure of criticisms, I mean, one
11	could put them better in some cases at least, certainly with the
12	Internal Auditor's disagreements, not so much criticisms.
13	People can honestly disagree on matters without necessarily
14	wanting to make personal criticism.
15	Now, in connection with the Auditor General, they can
16	be legitimately described, some of them, as criticisms, and yes,
17	there may be criticisms of criticisms made of the Minister, so
18	you're right. To some extent they are defensive.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well, we'll proceed, Sir
20	Geoffrey, in respect to the Auditor General as I've outlined.
21	I'm quite sure that the Attorney, through you, will deal with
22	his cross-examination responsibly.
23	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Not go over ground that is
25	repetitious.

ſ

1	SIR GEOFFREY: No.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We'll deal with the
3	questions in a helpful way, that is helpful to me. And we'll
4	make inquiries now of the Auditor General
5	(Overlapping speakers.)
6	SIR GEOFFREY:for
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:may get to last.
8	SIR GEOFFREY: Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat?
10	MR RAWAT: I raise a
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
12	MR RAWAT:matter of practicality. I quite may be
13	wrong, but I believe that Sir Geoffrey is presently outside the
14	jurisdiction.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: Right.
16	MR RAWAT: That may affect timing to some extent but
17	we will try our best to accommodate him.
18	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm grateful.
19	MR RAWAT: It seems to me that want the Auditorif
20	the Auditor General is going to have to look at documents, then
21	we would be assisted by some indication of what documents she'll
22	be drawn to because we will have to bring her to the hearing
23	room, make sure that the bundles are here. If, for example,
24	she's going to be directed to an answer that she gave which is
25	on the Transcript, we'll need to make sure that's available to

1 her. 2 And I wonder if we could have that information as soon 3 as because it may be that we can try and fit the Auditor General in--4 5 SIR GEOFFREY: Quite soon. 6 MR RAWAT: -- as soon as we--soonish that so we can get her dealt with. 7 8 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. 9 Sir Geoff, that's clearly sensible. So, if you can 10 identify really as soon as possible or the IRU can identify as 11 soon as possible those documents --12 SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. 13 I don't think it'll be necessary to go to many, and 14 chiefly the Transcripts of her evidence, but we'll send a list. 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But the Transcripts of--16 SIR GEOFFREY: Of evidence. 17 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, no. SIR GEOFFREY: Her evidence. 18 19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But of which days? Just 20 Friday or when she gave--21 SIR GEOFFREY: No, the 28th of June and Friday. 22 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. Well, that, in itself, Sir Geoffrey, is helpful. As I say, we'll make--23 24 SIR GEOFFREY: May I make another suggestion? 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.

1	SIR GEOFFREY: The transcripts available of my remarks
2	this morning, one possible way of alerting the Witness to the
3	potential criticisms would be to send her a copy of that.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
5	MR RAWAT: Yes. I mean, we can draw her attention to
6	it. It depends on she may have other commitments. I think what
7	would help, though, is if they were formulated in written form
8	so that they can be given to her in advance so she can have some
9	sense of what she might need to prepare for.
10	In terms ofI mean, it's helpful that Sir Geoffrey
11	has indicated that he may need to take the Auditor General to
12	Transcripts. We have a Neighborhood Partnership Project bundle.
13	We have the COVID stimulus bundles. I'm sure at least one of
14	those will contain the Transcript from the 28th of June, and so
15	we canwe'll just need to make arrangement in relation to the
16	Transcripts.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And that also includes the
18	VINPP Report, the Auditor's Report,
19	MR RAWAT: That's another also, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:that include the various
21	reports on the COVID stimulus grants.
22	MR RAWAT: That's all there.
23	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good.
24	Finally, Sir Geoffrey, and I think we can deal with
25	this very shortly, with some regret we can go it shortly, and

1	
1	that's the PR application concerning radar barges.
2	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. This is a matter on which I am
3	going to confess shamelessly that I'm going to ask my learned
4	junior Mr Risso-Gill to deal with, who has had the opportunity
5	to look at the detail on it. I'm afraid I'm not conversant with
6	it. May I invite you to hear from him?
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Of course.
8	Can I say just this, Mr Risso-Gill, because I think
9	MR RISSO-GILL: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:this very shortly.
11	For one reason or another, and let me make it quite
12	clear that I don't accept that the reason is the fault of the
13	COI, the redactions in respect of the radar barges are simply
14	not available. The application was madethe Attorney General's
15	application for PII, which was made on yesterday is in a form
16	which is incapable of being determined because it doesn't set
17	out the reasons for any of the proposed redactions. The
18	redactions only came in yesterday. And as I understand it, not
19	even the Attorney nor the IRU know the reasons why any
20	redactions are being made and, therefore, the PII
21	applicationand this is not the first in relation to this
22	subject matterthese go back to requests made in August, but in
23	any event, the application simply cannot be determined.
24	And, therefore, what I would propose to, do with great
25	regret, is to deal with radar barges in private session. I

Page | 59

don't think we have any choice. But I can say this: There will come a point when these issues concerning PII and proposed redactions will have to be dealt with by the Attorney and will have to be dealt with properly. The application for redaction in relation to radar barges has been hanging around for a couple of months. I have never had an application in a form which has been capable of being determined.

But there will come a point that notably when I want 8 9 to put in information from these documents into the Report where 10 the Attorney is going to have to make a proper PII application. 11 In relation to the application for this application, as soon as 12 you are properly instructed, I would ask that that application 13 be made so that I can deal with it promptly and properly. But 14 for today's purpose, and no doubt for the purposes of tomorrow 15 and Thursday, I would propose that going into private session to deal with the radar barge issues because, in my view, we simply 16 17 have no choice.

18 Mr Rawat, do you want add anything before I ask 19 Mr Risso-Gill to respond?

20 MR RAWAT: No, Commissioner. It's safe to say that in 21 my submission, if there is going to be an application for PII, 22 we've now have had to in a form that a count be determined. If 23 there is going to be an application, it has to be made sooner 24 because its--the effect of the PII application as relevant 25 material is not relied upon, and that will inform what use you

1	
1	can make of the material, so I would urge that it be done as
2	soon as it possibly can be.
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. Well, Mr Risso-Gill,
4	that simply underscores the importance of getting this
5	application in properly as soon as you can.
6	MR RISSO-GILL: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Anything else to add,
8	Mr Risso-Gill for
9	MR RISSO-GILL: The question isSir, you've dealt
10	with the first point I was going to make, which was how to go
11	forward, and that makes sense; your proposal that you have made
12	makes perfect sense rather than attempting to deal with the
13	sensitivity of particular items as they arise, which would not
14	be practicable. The second and third things I was going to do
15	was to explain how we'd reached the position and what the
16	position currently is, but it sounds like that wouldthis would
17	not necessarily be a helpful moment to do that for you.
18	I hear whatand those that instruct me will have
19	heard what you said. Just one point to make.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
21	SIR GEOFFREY: As I think you know, Sir, this matter
22	is with the National Security Council. We'd hoped to have their
23	decision before now; we don't have it yet, in respect of
24	thetheir current approach to redactions, so that's one thing
25	we're waiting for.

Page | 61

The second thing is that you may have perceived this, 1 although I notice it has come to you at fairly short notice, 2 there is a difference between the redactions that were sought in 3 4 September and those that are being sought now. It appears that the National Security Council are able to be less restrictive or 5 6 feel they are able to be less restrictive, but we--those 7 instructed by the Attorney General and the IRU have not yet had an opportunity to unpick the potential inconsistencies. And 8 9 really, we take the view that it would be dangerous for us to 10 extrapolate or infer what the National Security Council might 11 have done with the September redactions had they been applying 12 the approach they apply now.

So, there's that inconsistency mattered, which I think 13 14 my learned friend Mr Rawat raised with dealing with this with Mr Haeri in September that it needs to be "ironed out", if I can 15 16 use that phrase. So, there is both the need for the decision 17 and an indication of the reasons on which the National Security 18 Council wished for redactions, and then--and I underestimate the 19 size of the task. This is a 4,000-page bundle with numerous 20 duplications within it. There needs to be a substantial job of 21 ironing out to be--that must be done to ensure that we have a 2.2 consistent approach to this.

23 So, I simply outline what needs to be done so that 24 you're aware of that, Sir, in case any of that wasn't apparent, 25 but I shall say no more for the moment, and obviously we'll

1	proceed as you've suggested.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And over and above that
3	workload, I need a properly formulated application.
4	MR RISSO-GILL: Of course, sir, I didn'tyes, I
5	didn't miss that.
6	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That is also an
7	important
8	MR RISSO-GILL: Of course.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good.
10	Anything else, Mr Rawat?
11	MR RAWAT: No, Commissioner. We've gone much longer
12	than we expected, but I can ask that you rise for five minutes
13	so that we can set up the room and also give the Stenographer a
14	break.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. We'll have a break
16	now for five minutes, and then we'll move on to the evidence.
17	Thank you very much.
18	(Recess.)

1 Session 2 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. We are 2 3 ready to proceed. Just before we come to the evidence, Sir Geoffrey 4 in relation to the cross-examination of the Auditor 5 6 General, that will take place tomorrow at 4:00, maximum 7 time an hour-and-a-half. What I'll ask to be done, and I think this is technically possible--I will ask the 8 9 Stenographer to expedite the part of this morning's 10 proceedings when you outline the areas because that will then save everyone time, and Auditor General will know the 11 12 focus of the questions. If you could let us have by 13 4:00 p.m. today any direction in terms of documents that 14 you want to rely on, that, too, would be helpful. 15 But that the Auditor General has indicated that she will be able to come here at 4:00 tomorrow. 16 17 SIR GEOFFREY: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much. 18 Next, Your Excellency, Governor, could I just 19 20 apologise for the delay. I'm afraid we do have delays from 21 time to time, legal submissions, they took a lot longer 22 than we hoped, expected, but we are now ready to proceed.

r	
1	Thank you very much.
2	MR RAWAT: Thank you, Commissioner. Our witness
3	today is the current Governor of the Virgin Islands, John
4	Rankin.
5	BY MR RAWAT:
6	Q. Governor, I understand that you wish to take an
7	oath.
8	A. Yes, I'm happy to do so.
9	Q. Do you have a Bible with you?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. And you have the words of the oath there?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. Could you take the Bible in your hand and read out
14	the words of the oath, please.
15	A. I swear by Almighty God that the evidence I shall
16	give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
17	the truth.
18	Q. Thank you.
19	And for the record, could you give the Commissioner your
20	full name, please.
21	A. My name is John James Rankin.
22	Q. And your professional address?

1	A. Government House, Road Town, Tortola.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	You will see that on the table in front of you there are
4	some bundles. I should warn you, they're not the only
5	bundles that I might take you to today, but we willas we
6	go through your reference, we may need to look at some of
7	the documents contained there.
8	Can I ask you, as I have done with every witness, just
9	to remember to keep your voice up and to speak slowly. It
10	is obviously important that we keep an accurate record of
11	your evidence.
12	Could I start by asking you just to outline your
13	professional background to the Commissioner before you took
14	up your current role as Governor.
15	A. Thank you, yes. I have a bachelor of laws degree
16	from the University Glasgow and a master's degree in
17	international law from McGill University in Montreal.
18	After finishing university studies, I qualified as
19	a Solicitor in Scotland and briefly practiced law there,
20	and taught public law and international law at the
21	University of Aberdeen.
22	I joined what was then the Foreign Commonwealth

1	
1	Office in 1988 and have served in a variety of roles both
2	in London and overseas, including the UK mission to the
3	United Nations in Geneva; the British Embassy in Dublin, as
4	Cultural General in Boston, New England; as British High
5	Commissioner to Sri Lanka; briefly as acting Ambassador in
6	Nepal; and then before coming here, as Governor of Bermuda
7	between 2016 and 2020. And I was honoured to be sworn in
8	as Governor of the Virgin Islands on the 29th of
9	January 2021.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	Before we turn to the role of Governor but dealing with
12	one aspect of it, you chair the National Security Council;
13	is that right?
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. I understand that you may have been able to hear
16	the earlier proceedings this morning. Is that right?
17	A. That's correct. Yes, I was listening to the
18	proceedings.
19	Q. Can you assist the Commissioner at all in relation
20	to the present state of play in relation to the public
21	interest application that may be made?
22	A. I can provide some information to the

1	Commissioner.
2	I heard the comment that was made from the
3	colleague from, I believe, from Withers.
4	The position is that as regards the latest papers,
5	which I understand may be for consideration by the
6	Commission, I was contacted by the Attorney General late on
7	Friday afternoon with those papers and suggested
8	redactions. I replied to the Attorney General with my
9	view, which was that certain redactions might not be
10	required on Saturday morning, and that is where the matter
11	was left as far as I'm concerned. So, with respect to what
12	was said, there is no paper on this subject in redactions
13	currently before the National Security Council.
14	Let me also may I say with respect that I am
15	concerned about the comments that were made by the IRU in
16	relation to National Security Council because the National
17	Security Council has not authorised the IRU to act on its
18	behalf. The IRU is there to act on behalf of the elected
19	Government, so the advice I take on this matter will be
20	with the Attorney General who, of course, is an ex officio
21	member of the National Security Council rather than with
22	respect with the IRU.

1	
1	Q. Just so that we can clear, is it a concern for you
2	that unredacted material isfrom the National Security
3	Council is being shared with the IRU?
4	A. It is certainly the case that the National
5	Security Council has made no decision that that material
6	can be shared with the IRU, and that is not to cast any
7	wrongful aspersion on the IRU, but I am concerned that if
8	that is to be done, it should be done within the Authority
9	of the National Security Council.
10	Q. I don't think I can take that any further at the
11	moment, but can I turn to the role of Governor.
12	You should see, and you may have a copy with you, but
13	there is a bundle, I think, labeled "Constitutional and
14	Legislation Bundle", which possibility is on the other side
15	of the desk.
16	A. It is. Thank you.
17	Q. Yes. And if we turn that up, that contains the
18	Virgin Islands Constitution, and it may be that by
19	reference to that, you could explain the role of Governor.
20	A. Thank you.
21	As Governor, I am empowered and constrained by the
22	Constitution.

So, under Section 46(2), subject to the
 Constitution, the Executive authority in the Territory I'm
 empowered to exercise as executive authority on behalf of
 Her Majesty The Queen. That's a general executive
 authority, but thereafter the functions of the Governor are
 defined, and therefore, constrained by the Constitution.

Section 60, in particular, provides areas for which
the Governor has special responsibilities, and they are
external affairs; defence, including the armed forces;
internal security, including the Police Force; the terms
and conditions service of persons holding or acting in
public offices, and the Administration of the courts.

13 There are other provisions of the Constitution 14 which are relevant to the Governor's powers, including, for example, under Section 92 of the Constitution, power to 15 make appointments to public offices and to remove and to 16 17 exercise disciplinary control over persons holding or acting as such officers; vest in the Governor generally, 18 19 actually in accordance with the advice of the Public Service Commission. 20

And under the Constitution, Section 49, I alsoChair a Cabinet.

I think in that last context, it's important I
 should make clear, that although the Governor chairs
 Cabinet, the Governor is not a Member of Cabinet and does
 not have a vote in Cabinet, so no decision-making power in
 Cabinet.

So, the consequence is that, even in Cabinet I 6 7 express a concern over a particular decision which may be proposed, whether it be in relation to appointment to 8 9 Statutory Board or a procurement or tender waiver issued, 10 some of the issues which I know have been discussed in the Commission, I can advise on such issues. I can caution or 11 12 encourage on such issue, but I do not have a say in the 13 final decision taken.

14 Two other points, if I may, in line with what's stated in the 2012 White Paper, the good governance of the 15 Territory and supporting and promoting the good governance 16 17 of the Territory is at the forefront of all that I seek to do as Governor; and so, for example, I seek to support the 18 19 independent institutions under the Constitution such as the 20 Auditor General or the Director of Public Prosecutions or the Complaints Commissioner so that they can carry out 21 22 their accountability functions. I certainly, in respect of

Page | 71

Section 60 of the Constitution, always seek to support the role of the Virgin Islands Police Force and their work in tackling--crime in the Territory. And, of course, in my work in Cabinet on the soft issue which is I described, I work in Cabinet on the sort of issues which I described as will give those issues as best can to try to provide advice on good governance matters.

8 And then lastly, I also, through my office, 9 administer funds which are provided by the UK Government 10 for programmes and projects which we fund here in the 11 Territory, and the Governor's Office funds a number of 12 programmes in relation to security issues, justice issues, 13 environmental issues, and work to support good governance 14 and to support, indeed, the Public Service.

In broad-brush terms and in some specific terms, those are my functions under the Constitution, as I see them, and I, in carrying out those functions, I, as I believe my predecessors would have done, will always seek to work cooperatively with the Government of the day, setting out areas when we disagree but also seeking common ground wherever I can.

22

Q. You've mentioned that you cannot vote in Cabinet.

1	
1	That's a limitation on your role as Governor, but are there
2	any other limitations on that role?
3	A. To give you one example, I am not responsible for
4	the main financial decisions made by Central Government and
5	by the Ministry of Finance. So, the funding which is
6	provided to the work of the Public Service and the
7	budgetary provision is decided by the Government of the
8	day, with the Budget which is approved in the House of
9	Assembly rather than determined by myself as Governor
10	subject to the exception of power under Section 103 of the
11	Constitution toward the payments out of the consolidated
12	fund for certain specified purposes.
13	Q. Can I come back to 103 in due course. But you say
14	you don't have a vote. But can you bring upwe've seen
15	that different Ministers and Ministries will bring a paper
16	to Cabinet. Can the Governor bring a paper to Cabinet?
17	A. Yes, indeed. Yes. So, much as which fall within
18	the Authority of the Governor's Group, which describes a
19	number of matters to which I've referred; also human
20	resources issue; issues related to the Passports Office,
21	for example, I can bring papers to Cabinet on those
22	subjects. I make proposals for Cabinet to consider.
	a
1	
----	---
1	Q. We know under the Constitution you have a Deputy
2	Governor, and the evidence that the Commissioner has
3	received is the Deputy Governor is the de facto head of the
4	Civil Service.
5	Can you bringcan you take papers to Cabinet which are
6	prepared by the Deputy Governor's Office?
7	A. Yes, I can, and
8	Q. Yes. Is
9	Ait's quite a regular practice.
10	Q. And what sort of areas would those papers cover?
11	A. Usually matters related to the Public Service. So
12	in relation, for example, to the recent Memorandum of
13	Understanding which I signed on behalf of the UK Government
14	with the Deputy Governor's Office on the training
15	programme, that will go to Cabinet as a paper which has
16	been prepared by the Deputy Governor's Office, and,
17	similarly, work on Public Service transformation which will
18	be coming to Cabinet shortly, actually will be in the joint
19	names there of myself and the Premier, with the Deputy
20	Governor having contributed substantially to it.
21	Q. One of the points that you made in submission on an
22	earlier day when one is looking at the decisions that

1	
1	Ministers take in Cabinet, that what has to be borne in
2	mind is that, firstly, there is a Governor sat there
3	chairing the Cabinet, but also that what was said was that
4	Governors have sat in Cabinet for 20 to 30 years and done
5	nothing, which feeds to a point that I want to explore with
6	you, which is the extent ofto which the Governor or the
7	UK Government has assisted the Virgin Islands.
8	But would you accept that as a fair definition of the
9	Governor's role, to sit there and do nothing?
10	A. No, no, I wouldn't.
11	The job of the Governor outside the areas which
12	fall specifically under his or her responsibilities is to
13	chair Cabinet in a way which supports the UK obligations
14	towards the Territory and supports the wider goal of good
15	governance, which I outlined. And I do that in terms of
16	advice, in terms of support, or in terms of exercising
17	caution or sometimes disagreement, depending on the issue
18	of the day, and discussing those issues in Cabinet, and I'm
19	confident that my predecessors as Governor will have done
20	the same.
21	The Governor does not merely sit as a figurehead in
22	Cabinet but can engage in discussion with Members of

1	
1	Cabinet to hopefully assist in reaching the best interests
2	of the Territory in its decisions.
3	Q. Leaving aside the limitations, it's still right to
4	say, isn't it, that any Governor would have a significant
5	role to play under the 2007 Constitution?
6	A. That is correct, but the Governor's caution or
7	advice can be overridden by Cabinet on any matter which did
8	not fall within the special responsibilities of the
9	Governor.
10	Q. So that's the Section 60 responsibilities.
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. You say that, so on any other matter outside
13	Section 60, your advice can essentially be ignored.
14	A. Not entirely. So, for example, in relation to
15	Public Service appointments where acting on the advice of
16	the Public Service Commission or the Police Commission,
17	those appointments lie with the Governor. Section 60 is
18	the primary provision in that respect.
19	Q. But to whom would you be accountable as the
20	Governor?
21	A. I'm accountable, well, to Her Majesty, but to the
22	Secretary of State in the United Kingdom for my actions as

1	
1	Governor, and I'm also accountable under BVI law for the
2	actions I take. Governors do not have diplomatic immunity
3	within the overseas territories, so the ordinary law of the
4	Territory applies to me as it applies to anybody else.
5	I'm also accountable under the Diplomatic Service
6	Code and the UK Civil Service Code, and I am bound to
7	comply with those codes. Those are publicly available
8	documents, and if I'm in breach of those Codes, then I'm
9	subject to action under those Codes.
10	I'm also, of course, accountable to the court of
11	public opinion and to a free press, and I support very much
12	the accountability which a free press can bring in any
13	democratic society.
14	Q. But it is right, however, isn't it, that as
15	Governor, there is no obligation on you to come before the
16	House of Assembly to answer questions put by Members of the
17	House?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Nor is there a commission perhaps made up of
20	elected officers and members of civic society, which
21	monitors and evaluates the work of the Governor?
22	A. No, no formal such provision under the

Constitution, yes, Mr Rawat. 1 So, does is it not follow that there is absence of 2 Ο. accountability under the Constitution for those most and to 3 4 those most affected by the decisions that a Governor can take? 5 I'm not sure that's--that's correct. 6 Α. I'm 7 accountable under BVI law. Should I act in any way contrary to my obligations under the Constitution, I would 8 9 certainly also be subordinate Secretary of State in those 10 circumstances. I also receive letters from those in different 11 positions within the Territory as well as, of course, 12 13 communications with the Premier and Government Ministers. 14 So, for example, the Speaker has written to me on a number of occasions in relation to powers I've exercised under the 15 16 Constitution, and I have replied to the Speaker accordingly. So, I think there were different 17 accountability mechanisms which hopefully can keep any 18 19 Governor following the proper course. Taking you back to Cabinet, then, can Cabinet 20 Ο. operate as a forum in which the conduct of a Governor can 21 22 be challenged by elected officials?

1	A. I can certainly be asked questions in Cabinet
2	and/or the National Security Council about the fashion in
3	which I exercise my role and, indeed, Ministers have asked
4	me about positions I have taken or decisions that have been
5	taken, and I've been happy to try to answer their questions
6	accordingly.
7	Q. And you saidyou mentioned finances. Is there a
8	ring-fenced budget for a Governor and for the areas of
9	special responsibility under Section 60?
10	A. There is a budget allocated to my office, the
11	Governor's Office, for my British Virgin Islands Government
12	staff within my office and for the vestments and for some
13	of the functions which we carry out there. And, of course,
14	there are also budgets allocated to the Royal Virgin
15	Islands Police Force, to the Public Service, and to the
16	independent institutions under the Constitution such as the
17	DPP, the Auditor General, the Attorney General, the
18	Complaints Commissioner. So, there are budgets allocated
19	to those different bodies, some of which I have
20	responsibility for under the Constitution.
21	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And do those budgets
22	operate, as we've heard other budgets do within Government,

1	I think probably all budgets to do within Government, that
2	a bid is made, the relevant office goes before the Standing
3	Committee, eventually, I think, the Cabinet decides the
4	Budget which is then approved by the House? Is that
5	similar to the arms of Executive Government under your
6	umbrella?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my understanding, and
8	that process has actually been going through as we
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS:speak, but I think the description
11	you've just made is correct, and ultimately it goes to
12	Cabinet, and then goes to House of Assembly for approval.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, thank you.
14	BY MR RAWAT:
15	Q. Now, you were sent, Governor, a Warning Letter on
16	what the Commission calls a "Warning Letter". There should
17	be a copy of that on the table in front of you.
18	And if I can explain the purpose of Warning Letters.
19	They have been historically described as "Salmon Letters"
20	but we've used the more modern terminology. Their purpose
21	is to draw to the attention of an individual potential
22	criticisms that arise from the evidence.

1	Now, they are just that, and I've told everyone this,
2	that they are just potential criticisms. They do not form
3	either the provisional or concluded view of the
4	Commissioner. In your case, there are no potential
5	criticisms made of you, John Rankin, as Governor, but the
6	Commissioner has a protocol concerning the making of
7	criticisms which allow a participant to make a potential
8	criticism of another, and in this case the Ministers in the
9	present Government have raised criticisms of the UK
10	Government, criticisms of the FCDO, and of previous
11	Governors, and you, as the current Governor and the
12	Representative of Her Majesty in this jurisdiction of being
13	asked to respond to those, and the reason for the letter is
14	to do so, so that you're treated fairly.
15	Now, you have, as others have done, taken the
16	opportunity to provide a response to the Commissioner
17	together with some additional documents. Now, the Warning
18	Letter is confidential, but as to the Written Response, can
19	you confirm that it was provided under cover of a letter
20	from you dated the 14th of October 2021 and carrying your
21	signature?
\sim	A T con co confirm voc

22

ſ

A. I can so confirm, yes.

1	Q. And are you content that that Written Response with
2	its accompanying documents can stand as part of your
3	evidence to the Commission?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	Q. Now, I would like to take you through the
6	criticisms and theand your response to them, but I want
7	to do it in a wider way because there are a number of
8	issues that I think need to be canvassed with you. And so,
9	as we go through, if you want to refer the Commissioner to
10	a particular part of your written response, by all means do
11	so, but the first point that I'd like to explore you with
12	is this concept of a modern partnership, which one finds
13	referred to in position statements from different actors
14	interested in governance. You see it in the documents.
15	The starting point, I think I would say should be the
16	Constitution, and do you agree that in exercising your
17	powers as a Governor, you have to keep in mind the Preamble
18	to the Constitution?
19	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. And in particular, what that Preamble does, does it
21	not, iswe go to it.
22	It recognises, doesn't it, that the people of the Virgin

Γ

1	
1	Islands have a free and independent spirit and have
2	developed themselves and their country based on qualities
3	of honesty, integrity, mutual respect, self-reliance and
4	the ownership of the land engendering a strong sense of
5	belonging to and kinship with those islands?
6	A. Yes, it does.
7	Q. It accepts that the Virgin Islands should be
8	governed based on adherence to well-established democratic
9	principles and institutions.
10	And this is the point I most want to draw your attention
11	to, and tell me if you agree or disagree. Affirmit
12	affirms that the people of the Virgin Islands have
13	generally expressed their desire to become a
14	self-guaranteeing people and to exercise the highest degree
15	of control over theirthe affairs of their country at this
16	stage of its development.
17	And then it notes that the United Kingdom, the
18	administering power for the time being, has articulated a
19	design to enter into a modern partnership with the Virgin
20	Islands based on the principles of mutual respect and
21	self-determination.
22	Now, those principles, they may not hold any legal force

1	
1	but they must surely be principles by which you must be
2	guided when doing your work as a Governor.
3	A. Absolutely.
4	I mean, obviously, the Preamble is important
5	context and important for any interpretation of the
6	Constitution. And indeed those provisions are in line with
7	Section 73 of the United Nations Charter, which requires
8	administering powers of non-self-governing Territories to
9	develop self-government according to the particular
10	circumstances of each Territory, and as peoples in their
11	varying stages of advancement.
12	And it also, Article 73, also requires
13	administering powers as to promote the well-being of
14	inhabitants of their Territories and to ensure their just
15	treatment and their protection against abuses; and so I
16	read the Preamble and the provisions of Article 73 as being
17	a consistent hone.
18	Q. And there we have alsoor the Commissioner has
19	heard evidence or received evidence in relation to White
20	Papers that were published by the UK Government in 1999 and
21	2012, and you've touched on the 2012 paper. But the
22	substance of those or one important point of those papers

1	is that the UK Government committed to a modern
2	partnership
3	A. That's correct.
4	Qwith the Government of the British Virgin
5	Islands
6	A. Yeah.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I mean, Governor, these
8	strands one can see in the Preamble to the Constitution,
9	but there are a number of strands of that commitmentI
10	will put it that wayin Article 73? There's aI'm just
11	looking at the Constitution. That's because they're
12	reflected here, but there is a strand that the people of
13	the BVI in these circumstances should be granted as much
14	self-autonomy as they wish to have and are able to have.
15	There's a strandand I'm not quoting from the Article 73,
16	but there's a strand that steps should be taken to enable
17	the people of a non-self-governing Territory to move
18	towards further self-determination and, if they wish,
19	independence. There are a number of strands within
20	Article 73. As I say, I think they're reflected in the
21	Constitution.
22	And certainly in some of the evidence we've

1	heardI'm sure Mr Rawat will go through some of thisthe
2	commitment of the UK Government and the Governor, from time
3	to time, the commitment of the UK Government and the
4	Governor to those commitments, I think, has been
5	questioned. What is your view about these commitments?
6	THE WITNESS: Well, I agree with the broad
7	description you made, Commissioner, that it is for the
8	Governor and the United Kingdom to promote self-governance
9	and to promote the Territory on that path, and that will
10	sometimes mean defining capacity of the Government in those
11	areas, and as constitutions develop sometimes giving
12	further powers under new constitutions as happened in 2007.
13	It may also mean on occasion disagreeing with the
14	Government of the day because of a UK view that a certain
15	position being taken is not actually in the interest of
16	British self-governance or is not in line with the
17	development of the Territory at that particular point.
18	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, can I put it like
19	this: The path, as it were, is clear, that the Article 73
20	path is clear.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But inis this right,

1	
1	is this a summary of what you just said, Governor: That on
2	going down this path, the UK Government, the Governor, may
3	disagree with the actions of the possibly elected
4	Government, that what they're doing is going down this
5	path? It's not very elegantly put, and may be able to put
6	it better.
7	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that I can, but yes, I
8	would agree with that, and you will find provisions, I
9	think, to this effect in the 2012 White Paper, if I may
10	just refer to that for one moment.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, yes.
12	Is that in Volume 2, Governor?
13	THE WITNESS: Two, as I've got it at pagePart 1 I
14	have
15	BY MR RAWAT:
16	Q. In 626.
17	A. Yeah, at page
18	Q. It startsat 64 is where it starts.
19	A. The White Paper sets out the principles which,
20	Commissioner, you just described. But it also sets out,
21	for example, at page 634 in the bundle, it's page 9 of the
22	White Paper, where it says (reading): Making Government

work better. The Government has responsibilities towards
the people of the Territories and of the United
Kingdom--this is the BVI Government--to--sorry, let me
start again, if I may, please.

(reading): The Government has responsibilities 5 towards the people of the Territories and of the United 6 7 Kingdom to ensure the good governance of the Territories. The Government acknowledges a sensitivity of these areas of 8 9 work, but believes that those living in the Territories 10 have a right to expect the same high standards of governance as in the United Kingdom, including in the areas 11 12 of human rights, rule of law, and integrity in public life. 13 The Government expects high-quality public financial management and financial services regulation as important 14 contributors to building resilient economies and providing 15 16 for the well-being of Territory communities. The UK is 17 determined to tackle corruption in all its forms, and the United Kingdom is committed to working closely with the 18 19 Territories on these issues.

20 So,, while supporting self-governance, it's also 21 the job of the United Kingdom to also recognise sensitivity 22 sometimes to tackle these areas as well.

1	(Pause.)
2	
	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sorry, because I have a
3	question, but we'll break for five minutes so that
4	hopefully the internet can simply be reset and we can
5	proceed on a firm foundation. Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you, Mr Peters.
8	(Recess.)
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. I think we're
10	ready to proceed.
11	Just for people looking by way of live stream,
12	there was some instability in our internet connection,
13	which we hope has now been resolved.
14	Governor, I was just looking at Article 73 and the
15	Constitution, they sort of seem to run in parallel very
16	much and, no doubt, understandably.
17	The Preamble to the Constitution emphasizes the
18	desire of the people of the BVI to become a self-governing
19	people. We know that under Article 73 the UK Government
20	has an obligation to assist them in that, but to do so in a
21	modern democratic way, if I could put it like that, there
22	is a reference in the Preamble to the Constitution to the

1	democratic values and social justice, economic empowerment,
2	and so on and so forth, so that's the context.
3	Some of the questions I know because these are the
4	essential criticisms cast doubt upon the commitment of the
5	Governor from time to time, the UK Government to the
6	obligations really under Article 73 but also under the
7	Constitution, and we will deal with those questions as they
8	come up.
9	But can we start from this as a base point: Do you
10	know of anythingof anything which suggests that you, as
11	Governor and/or the UK Government, insofar as you can speak
12	for the UK Government, do not consider that these
13	commitments are full and unequivocal?
14	THE WITNESS: I agree that those commitments are
15	full and unequivocal.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I mean, as I said, you
17	will be asked questions as to what's happened in practice,
18	but the starting point is that so far as you're aware,
19	though, you and the UK Government are fully and
20	unequivocally committed to these obligations?
21	THE WITNESS: That's correct, certainly the
22	obviously the Article 73 obligations which are legal

1	obligations and the preambular provisions will always guide
2	what I will seek to do as Governor.
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay. Thank you very
4	much.
5	Yes.
6	BY MR RAWAT:
7	Q. Picking up on that, Governor, it's the reality gap,
8	isn't it, between warm words and action, and what I'm going
9	to take you through is a series of topics which, if the
10	Commissioner accepts other evidence, will lead to the
11	conclusion that the manner in which the UK Government
12	conducts itself in relation to the Virgin Islands, and
13	particularly in relation to elected officials in the Virgin
14	Islands, is not one that is indicative of a modern
15	partnership or, indeed, mutual self-respect.
16	And to start that off, if you look, please, at page 111
17	in that bundle.
18	A. The
19	Q. 111?
20	A. Recovery Development Agency?
21	Q. Yes, paragraph 16 at the top.
22	A. Yes, sir, thank you.

ſ	
1	Q. What I have taken you to is a page in the Position
2	Statement of the Elected Ministers which you will have
3	received and you have provided a response to. I'm drawing
4	your attention in particular to paragraph 16 to 21 what
5	you're familiar with, but I want to summarise it.
6	But it is an immediate example of what may be said to be
7	a lack of commitment to a modern partnership because what
8	that Position Statement sets out is that when offering a
9	loan guarantee in the aftermath of the hurricanes of 2017,
10	the UK did so by imposing conditions on the BVI, conditions
11	which were suited the UK Government's interests but placed
12	unnecessary constraints on what the BVI could do.
13	Are you familiar with the circumstances in which the
14	loan guarantees were offered?
15	A. Not directly familiar because I obviously wasn't
16	in office at the time, but I'm aware through the papers of
17	some of the background to that issue, yes.
18	Q. But would you accept that there is substance to the
19	argument that, in offering that loan guarantee, the UK
20	Government essentially put itself first rather than the
21	interest of the BVI?
22	A. No, with respect, I wouldn't accept that

1	proposition. What the UK Government was seeking to do was
2	to further assist BVI in the Hurricane recovery process.
3	So, immediately after Hurricane Irma, the UK
4	provided a very large amount of support to the Territory,
5	both in terms of immediate military assistance and policing
6	assistance that was given, and then in relation to the
7	wider recovery programme to help restore electricity, to
8	help restore water, to help to provide emergency housing
9	and emergency preparedness for the Territory.
10	And I can give you figures on that, if wished, on
11	the brought about of expenditure, Sir Gary and Mr Rawat.
12	Q. Can I come back
13	A. As regards the loan guarantee, the purpose of the
14	loan guarantee was to allow BVI, as part of its
15	self-governance, to be able to have more favorable terms
16	than it would otherwise have in borrowing money on
17	international markets for the recovery phase. And by
18	having that UK backing on the loan and a guarantee that in
19	any case of default, UK would guarantee that role, that
20	would have allowed BVI to obtain a loan at lower interest
21	rates up to the offer of a 300 million loan.
22	The conditions which were placed on that loan

guarantee were absolutely standard, there are the same 1 2 conditions on all guarantees as the UK Government has 3 provided in other circumstances, they are standard terms 4 and conditions. They were not exceptional or made exceptional for the British Virgin Islands. And it was, 5 ultimately, of course, for the BVI to decide whether or not 6 7 to accept that offer of the loan guarantee, and the Government has to date not accepted that offer. 8 Μv 9 understanding is that the Government did go out and seek a 10 loan on the international markets. It got that loan at 11 approximately 4 percent interest rate. I think it would have been possible to get a lower interest rate at that 12 13 time had the loan guarantee been taken up, but I'm glad to 14 say that the Government has since refinanced that loan and been able to take advantage of the lower interest rates 15 currently available on the market, thus making some saving, 16 17 I think an earlier savings could have been made had the 18 Government chosen to take out the loan guarantee, but that 19 was a choice for the British Virgin Government to make, not for the British Government to dictate. 20

21 Q. With respect, Governor, that may be said to be a 22 rather rosy impression of what happened. Because if one

1	looks at the detail of the Position Statement, there are a
2	number of things that flow from that. Firstly, for
3	example, the creation of the Recovery Development Agency,
4	so you have the creation of a Statutory Board in which all
5	recovery funds will go irrespective of where they come
6	from, they will go there. And that Board, perhaps uniquely
7	when one compares it to other Statutory Boards in the BVI,
8	that Board had UK Government input on it. UK Government
9	could appoint people to it, UK Government could influence
10	what direction it went. So, that's one example.
11	Take another one, Protocols for effective financial
12	management. The effect of that was that the BVI Government
13	found itself between a rock and a hard place because if
14	they wanted to borrow, they couldthey would need tothey
15	would be breaching the protocols for effective financial
16	management, at least for some temporary period. But the
17	attitude of the British Government was that things were
18	non-negotiable, that the conditions that were imposed from
19	the beginning were non-negotiable.

And take one more. I want to take you please to 176 in the bundle. Now, what I'm taking you at 176 is to what's described as the high level framework for UK support to BVI

1	Hurricane recovery, so that formed the initial framework by
2	which discussions were held in relation to this loan
3	guarantee. And even though the loan guarantee was never
4	taken up, the RDA was put in the place.
5	And one of the other features that the UK Government
6	demanded, you go at 177, at the bottom there, divestment of
7	public assets which sit better in the private sector.
8	Now, look at those three together: UK Government wants
9	all funds in one place and wants to exert control over it.
10	UK Government essentially takes the stance that it's a take
11	it or leave it stancethis is not negotiableand you will
12	have to work within the Protocols for Effective Financial
13	Management. And then UK Government is telling the BVI you
14	have to sell off your assets, which collectively, or even
15	in isolation, amount to a fundamental encroachment on the
16	BVI's autonomous and financial and economic policy, doesn't
17	it? The kind of conditions that the Government, your
18	Government, did not need to impose on the BVI?
19	A. We certainly provide a framework within which the
20	UK Government was asking the BVI to operate if the UK
21	Government was to provide that loan guarantee. So back to
22	the Protocol for Effective Financial Management, that

1	protocolsimilar protocols are replaced with a number of
2	Overseas Territories, in fact, I think I'm correct in
3	saying in this region, all with the exception of Bermuda,
4	and those are Memorandum of Understanding, which are
5	entered into voluntarily by both sides to assist the
6	Territory in maintaining debt ratios and maintaining liquid
7	assets which keep a sustainable economy.
8	The Recovery and Development Agency provisions were
9	designed to set up an executive body at which would
10	specialize in the recovery development of the Virgin
11	Islands, and the UK Committee to co-fund it. The whole
12	operation of the RDA for a two-year period up until
13	March 2021 of this year and has done so to the tune of I
14	think I'm correct in seeing \$5.8 million.
15	But in terms of a loan which the United Kingdom was
16	going to also offer in addition to the help which has been
17	given, in addition to some £13 million's worth of project
18	which be developed through the RDA was subject to certain
19	conditions, and yes, I accept that is a framework which the
20	UK Government was seeking to require of that loan where to
21	be given, if the counter-proposition that Mr Rawat is that
22	the UK Government should have offered the loan with no

Γ

1	conditions, then if a denied of that is a denial of
2	self-governance, then I think iswhich obviously has its
3	limitations, it would be reasonable for any government
4	providing loan money to have provisions around it which
5	would ensure the proper use of those funds.
6	Q. But doesn't it take us back to two things:
7	Fundamentally, that in this partnership, however modern you
8	want to describe it, the UK Government has the upper hand.
9	You said earlier that when responding to questions from the
10	Commissioner about the road to self-governance, but at any
11	point, any step of that road, the UK Government can say,
12	no, you can't do that. That's the sort of trump card in
13	the back pocket, isn't it, that you can have, as you do in
14	the BVI, a vibrant political process, political parties
15	that campaign in the open, elections that people can win or
16	lose, and people who come into Government and can point to
17	a mandate. And yet, whatever they wish to do, there is
18	always an element of the big brother, there is always an
19	element of someone who can say at any point you can't do
20	that because we don't think that amounts to good
21	self-governance.
22	A. Well, subject to an overriding part of

1	
1	inter-legislation in the UK or an Order in Council, it's a
2	Constitution which governs the relationship, and the
3	elected government is self-governing in all the areas under
4	the Constitution save those which are reserved for the
5	Governor, so this Territory has a large degree of
6	self-governance. That level of self-governance varies
7	between different Overseas Territories, so Bermuda and
8	Cayman are perhaps the most self-governing of the Overseas
9	Territories and I hope BVI can move down the road towards
10	further self-governance, but at the moment the Constitution
11	sets out the limits of where that self-governance lies, but
12	it is a largely self-governing Territory, and my job as
13	Governor is to support that self-governance, and it was the
14	job of the UK Government and my predecessor to support BVI
15	following the horrors of Hurricane Irma, to help to support
16	the recovery of this Territory, and the UK has done so, to
17	a considerable extent, and the loan guarantee was intending
18	to assist BVI in borrowing funds to move favorably into the
19	international market, which I believe would be in the
20	interest of the Territory, but it was for the Territory, as
21	a self-governing Territory to choose, ultimately, whether
22	to accept it or not, and it chose not to do so.

1	Q. I want to press you on this because the point is
2	that it takes us back to the point you said to the
3	Commissioner, that leave loan guarantees aside, that the
4	BVI can proceed along the road to self-governance, you've
5	described it as a Territory that has a large degree of
6	self-governance already, but it's right, isn't it, and you
7	can say whether it's under the Constitution or not, but at
8	any point the decision as to whether something is a good
9	piece of self-governance or a good step to take on the road
10	to self-determination, is the final words sits with the UK
11	Government, doesn't it?
12	A. No, I think it is constrained by the Constitution,
13	Mr Rawat, so there are steps taken by the elected
14	government, many of which I support and welcome but on
15	occasion there may be steps taken which I do not welcome
16	and which I do not welcome and which I do not think are
17	necessarily in the interests of further development of the
18	capacity of the Territory, and in those circumstances all I
19	can do is to advise on the matter. I cannot override, and
20	it would require a unilateral change in the Constitution or
21	a legislative act from the UK to prevent thatto prevent
22	that happening, so I think that does create a limit on what

1	the UK Government does save in extraordinary circumstances.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, in terms of the
3	Constitution. There are two aspects I think from that
4	answer: Firstly, the elected government have their areas
5	of function under the Constitution, and that's for them to
6	deal with. That's the area of self-government. There may
7	be issues that the Government or the UK Government impinge
8	on those areas, but that's a different question. But the
9	elected government have its areas of responsibility, which
10	you accept cannot be properly infringed.
11	The other way in which I suppose that the UK
12	Government could prevent movement down the road towards
13	further self-determination would be not to allow a new
14	Constitution, which would increase this area of
15	self-governance?
16	THE WITNESS: I think that's right, Commissioner,
17	so the Premier of the Government has proposed a
18	constitutional review, and certainly I, as Governor, and
19	I'm confident, the UK Government, will be happy to consider
20	that review to see whether there are further areas in the
21	Constitution which could be revised further down that road
22	of self-governance, so where they are, they would certainly

1 have my support.

2 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: One of the elements of 3 the constitutional review, which I suspect is going to be 4 quite sophisticated, but one element will be to look again 5 at the relationship between the elected democratically 6 accountable elected government on the one hand and the 7 Governor/UK on the other?

8 THE WITNESS: That's right. There are some common 9 provisions which are found within the Constitutions of 10 virtually all of the Overseas Territories, so they will tend to reserve to the Governor ultimate authority for 11 security and for External Relations, save in its specified 12 13 areas, and the Constitutions will seek to guarantee the independence of the courts. But otherwise, within those 14 set limits, I think there is room for discussion and room 15 16 for development in the interests of self-governance.

17 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And on the path to 18 self-determination, that is something that I guess is going 19 to happen from time to time for any Territory, there would 20 need to be a discussion as to where the Territory was on 21 the path and whether now is an appropriate time to readjust 22 that relationship to move further down that path?

1	
1	THE WITNESS: That is correct, so there would be
2	missing adjustments to the Cayman Constitution, for
3	example, in line with what you just said, Commissioner.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
5	BY MR RAWAT:
6	Q. The other point I wanted to pick up on is that,
7	isn't there an inherent difficulty
8	A. I'm sorry?
9	Qinherent difficulty for any government because
10	you are, for want of a better phrase, the FCDO's man on the
11	ground, but at the same time it falls to you, I assume, to
12	represent the interests of the BVI to the UK Government.
13	A. (Witness nods.)
14	Q. But doesn't it logically follow that those are just
15	two mutually incompatible positions, one must prevail, and
16	what prevails is always the position of the UK Government?
17	A. Well, being a Governor isn't always easy because
18	you're absolutely correct on the one hand I am sometimes
19	representing a view from the FCDO to the Territory here,
20	and on the other hand, I back for the Territory in its
21	interests where I can do so.
22	So, for example, in relation to the island's

1	Financial Services which are vital to the economy of this
2	country. I, of course, support the need for the Financial
3	Services here just like any other jurisdiction to comply
4	with international requirements and to meet modern demands
5	for transparency. But I will also defend to the hilt that
6	where BVI's Financial Services are meeting best practice
7	and where they are complied with international Regulations,
8	then we need to support the Financial Services in this
9	Territory, and I will certainly make representations to the
10	UK, if I believe that a proposal is being made which would
11	act against legitimate interests of the Territory.
12	So, yeah, it's sometimes said that Governors wear
13	two hats, but I am certainly prepared to go for bat for
14	this Territory, and so, you know, for example, in relation
15	to the cruise ship sector and developing further tourism in
16	this Territory, to the extent it's largely a default area
17	but to the extent that I can assist in that matter as
18	Governor which will help the economy and help employment in
19	this country, I will always do so.
20	Q. Picking up on point of financial Section, one area
21	of concern that has been flagged up to the Commissioner is

22 the position on publicly accessible Registers of beneficial

1	interest. Because the point that has been made in Position
2	Statements put before the Commissioner is that the UK
3	Government has required of the BVI and of other Overseas
4	Territories a standard which requires them to go above and
5	beyond the standards currently in place and operating
6	across the world. I mean, that is indicative, isn't it, of
7	yet again UK Government over-reaching?
8	A. I think you're referring to possibly the
9	Parliament rather than UK Government because the amendment
10	to I think Section 18 of the Anti-Money-Laundering Act was
11	one that was passed by the UK Parliament and was not one
12	that was proposed by the UK Government, and that refers to
13	the preparations of a Draft Order and counsel which would
14	require publicly accessible Registers by 2023.
15	I'm pleased to say that all of the Overseas
16	Territories, although BVI was last to the table on this,
17	have given undertakings in this respect which has meant
18	that the UK Government has not legislated in that area, but
19	I believe it was a wise decision of the BVI to come forward
20	in this area because of the critical demands for publicly
21	accessible Registers which aren't going to go away.
22	I know the reference you've made to a Global

1	standard, Mr Rawat. I'm aware that the statements made by
2	the BVI Government refer to that Global standard, but I
3	nonetheless believe even short of a wholly Global standard,
4	which the UK is advocating that would be a wise move not
5	least because I believe the publicly accessible Registers
6	will be required under the EU Theft and
7	Anti-Money-Laundering Directive, and if BVI and other
8	Overseas Territories don't move in that direction, I
9	believe it will have adverse consequences for the
10	Territory.
11	But in terms of batting for the BVI, what I will
12	continue to point out and what I have continued to point
13	out, is that, under the information under the exchange of
14	notes with the United Kingdom, Government and under the
15	so-called "BOSS system", BVI is possibly best in the world
16	in terms of sharing information with law-enforcement
17	agencies when they're making inquiries into
18	anti-money-laundering initiatives.
19	So, I back for the BVI in best practice in that
20	area but also encouraging the BVI to accept the
21	requirements of greater transparency for publicly
22	accessible Registers. So, it's a good demonstration of the

1	
1	two hats the Governor has to wear.
2	Q. Take the first. You say you commend the BVI for
3	exchanging information under the BOSS initiative, but
4	theand that isthat is sharing information so that law
5	agencies in different jurisdictions can better tackle
6	things like money-laundering. That's one thing. That
7	doesn't require a publicly accessible Register, does it?
8	It requires mechanisms by which you effectively share
9	information between different jurisdictions?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. But on the other side, the point I'm trying to make
12	to you, to take you back to the question, is that requiring
13	the BVI to go further than necessary is an example of UK
14	over-reach because it's not allowing the BVI to decide for
15	itself that it will take this step. Is he saying because
16	we have determined what transparency should be, you must
17	now take this step. And it's not holding a carrot over
18	their head but holding a stick over their heads.
19	A. Well, let me repeat, this was a decision of the UK
20	Parliament rather than the UK Government, and I think it's
21	a pertinent distinction here because it is the Government
22	that has the obligation, for example, under Article 73 of

1 the Constitution.

2	Secondly, I don't think it's so much a matter as
3	holding a stick over the head of the Government but rather
4	supporting BVI and encouraging BVI to meet the relevant
5	standards, and even if it's not become a Global standard,
6	it is a job, I think, of myself as Governor, and I have
7	done this in discussions with the Financial Services sector
8	here, as to say, I recognize you don't like this, and there
9	is some opposition to it.
10	I need to be circumspect here, Mr Rawat, because I
11	know there may be an internal legal challenge, a domestic
12	legal challenge here on this issue, and my frank advice has
13	to be the demand for publicly accessibly Registers
14	irrespective of the position of the UK Parliament is not
15	going to go away, and it's not in the interest of the BVI
16	to find itself black-listed by the European Union under the
17	Theft and Anti-Money-Laundering directive.
18	So, while I recognize this is not a popular
19	position to be taken, I think it is my job as Governor to
20	recommend to the BVI that we move in the direction which is
21	sought by the UK Parliament.
22	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Governor, you've raised

1	the distinction between the UK Parliament and the UK
2	Government, which obviously I understand, but this stick,
3	if it was a stick, was an act of the UK Parliament.
4	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
5	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I put this bluntly,
6	and you may put it more elegantly than this, so effectively
7	you had no choice in the matter because it was the UK
8	Parliament, which is ultimatelyultimately responsible for
9	the Territory.
10	THE WITNESS: That is correct. And so long as
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, but as I
12	understand your evidence, you haveyou seeyou see the
13	advantages, there may be disadvantages, too, but you also
14	see the advantages of compliance because it is the UK
15	Parliament no choice, really. Is that fair?
16	THE WITNESS: Ultimately that's correct, that an
17	order in counsel could be made under the sanctions and
18	Anti-Money-Laundering act which could require this of the
19	BVI, subject to any legal challenge that might be brought
20	on the matter, and that, if you like, is a sequence of BVI,
21	meaning an Overseas Territory of the United Kingdom because
22	the sovereignty lies with the UK Parliament and the Members

Γ
1	of the UK Parliament. That is a position so long as the
2	status of Overseas Territory remains and applies in
3	relation to all Overseas Territories.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, thank you.
5	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, before I move on to the
6	next topic, I have been asked to put a question to the
7	Governor on this issue.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
9	BY MR RAWAT
10	Q. Governor, can you address this. On what basis does
11	the imposition of a publicly accessible Register of
12	beneficial ownership, on what basis is the imposition of a
13	publicly accessible Register of beneficial ownership
14	appropriate for the BVI in a sphere of devolved
15	responsibility?
16	A. Thank you, Mr Rawat.
17	I'm again aware there is a legal challenge on this
18	issue, so I will be circumspect in what I say, but to the
19	extent that this is becoming a requirement in the European
20	Union, in the OECD and internationally, the UK has an
21	obligation to support the BVI in meeting international
22	standards.

1	Q. And just give us a sense of the timeline. Have you
2	spoken of the EU more than once. What is the timeline for
3	them beginning to blacklist countries, if that's what
4	they're going to do.
5	A. I'm not entirely up to speed on the precise
6	timetable, Mr Rawat, this issue which I dealt with in
7	greater detail in my last I now dealt of late here because
8	I have other matters but I believe that by 2023 publicly
9	accessible Registers have not been introduced, then the
10	risk of the EU taking decision to blacklist certain
11	jurisdictions who have not done so will increase.
12	And we know that there are certain EU Member States
13	you have the Overseas Territories in their sites as well as
14	other jurisdictions, and I want to do all I can to help
15	avoid that happening, and therefore the moves in this area,
16	I think, will be of assistance to the BVI. And that is
17	certainly my advice to those with whom I've engaged in this
18	Territory.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: In respect of the
20	question Mr Rawat asked about this actually being a
21	decision within that devolved responsibility of the BVI
22	elected Government, and this is a perfectly reasonable

i	
1	question, and you say that you have to be sensitive because
2	this may be a subject of a legal challenge, but if that may
3	be right, if UK Parliament in this case makes a decision
4	that impinges upon a properly devolved area, that
5	presumably then would be a matter just hypothetically, that
6	would be a matter for the courts to determine.
7	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Just as a general
9	principle.
10	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
11	My own view is that UK Parliament has thishas
12	this authority, but if it were challenged, it would be for
13	the courts.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. Thank you.
15	BY MR RAWAT:
16	Q. Before we move on, Governor, can you just
17	breakdown, in terms ofyou touched upon UK support in the
18	immediate aftermath of the hurricanes, but I don't want
19	that to get mixed up with the loan guarantee which I
20	canvassed with you. In the immediate aftermath of the
21	hurricane, can you give the Commissioner a figure, firstly,
22	a figure for how much support the UK provided and the

1	
1	different ways in which you say the UK provided support to
2	the BVI?
3	A. Yes, I can.
4	If you give me a moment to check my notes, please,
5	Mr Rawat.
6	(Pause.)
7	A. Thank you. If I may preface my answer by saying
8	that I, myself, visited BVI after Hurricane Irma, and saw
9	for myself the devastation which had been caused bycaused
10	to the Territory and the clear need for support after what
11	had occurred. And I can give youI can give you some
12	figures.
13	So, in terms of the immediate regional support to
14	the Territories which had been damaged by Hurricane Irma
15	and subsequently by Hurricane Mariaand that was the BVI,
16	Anguilla and Turks and Caicos Islandsthe overall
17	immediate recovering funding provided by the UK amounted to
18	some £72 million, including the cost of the military
19	deployment, and the support that was given for policing to
20	help restore law and order after the hurricanes had hit.
21	In addition to that £72 million of original
22	funding, it's difficult to break that down precisely

1	between the different Territories, the UK provided more
2	than £13 million directly for projects in the BVI including
3	emergency housing repair programme, rebuilding the
4	electricity grid, water and sewerage, repairs to the
5	provisionrepairs to the prison and provision of a
6	temporary Magistrate's Court.
7	And since then, specifically for the Recovery
8	Development Agency, the UK had provided in addition to the
9	Projects we funded to which I've just referred providedI
10	think I already said 5.8 million. Let me correct that
11	please, \$5.1 million in relation to the running costs of
12	the Recovery Development Agency between its establishment
13	in April 2018 until March 2121.
14	Q. It was 13 million?
15	A. 13, one-three.
16	Q. 1-3. And was this a grant or an award, or was this
17	a loan?
18	A. No, that was direct spent, direct spend by the UK
19	either in physical support given, but in terms of funding
20	of projects for electric grid, water/sewerage and a number
21	of RDA projects which the UK has helped to fund and we
22	continue to help to fund projects in that area.

1	Q. What period of time are we talking about here?
2	A. The immediate support was spent in the immediate
3	months after Hurricane Irma to respond to the immediate
4	devastation. That £13 million programme has continued over
5	a longer period of time. I can't break it down for you
6	exactly at the moment, Mr Rawat, but I could get you more
7	details if required.
8	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, I've noted the time. I'm
9	moving on to a different topic. So, it's convenient.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We can certainly break
11	for lunch, Mr Rawat. We've had one or two breaks this
12	morning for one reason or another.
13	We will break now, Governor, and we will try and
14	come back at around five past 2:00, and you needn't stay
15	here.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you. I think I've got a
17	sandwich in the room next door, Commissioner. Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We will resume at five
19	past 2:00.
20	MR RAWAT: Thank you, Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. Thanks very
22	much.

(Recess.) 1 MR RAWAT: Thank you. I just wanted to raise three 2 3 matters with Sir Geoffrey, if I may. 4 We circulated yesterday an additional bundle for today's Hearing which was a bundle of additional material 5 that had been provided by the Elected Ministers, and this 6 7 morning the IRU confirmed that there were three documents 8 over which privilege is not waived, and you may want some 9 clarification on this, Commissioner, because they may 10 become relevant as we go through the course of today and 11 certainly the next two days. 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. 13 MR RAWAT: The first--and this is what we're 14 calling bundle 3, but the first document appears at page 18. 15 16 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. 17 MR RAWAT: And keeping it high-level, so to speak, it's a draft note of a meeting between the Governor and the 18 19 Premier in 2019, so it's not the current Governor but his predecessor. Privilege is asserted over that, and it's not 20 quite clear as to the Commission the basis on which 21 22 privilege is asserted.

1	
1	The second document we find at page 162. That is a
2	legal opinion dating September 2020 addressed to The
3	Honorable Premier.
4	Now, that has privilege asserted over it, but if we
5	turn through the bundle to page 169.
6	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: 168?
7	MR RAWAT: Yes. 168 is a letter
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
9	MR RAWAT:to Baroness Sugg, which again, dates
10	from September 2020, and if turn over to 169, you see two
11	paragraphs up from the bottom, there is reference to legal
12	advice which is then enclosed with the letter to the
13	attention of Baroness Sugg. And if you go to page 170, the
14	letter is copied to the Governor of the Virgin Islands, all
15	Members of Cabinet and Cabinet Secretary.
16	So, in circumstances where there has been a waiver
17	of privilege, certainly to the Baroness, and presumably,
18	therefore, to the Foreign Commonwealth and Development
19	Office, it would be helpful to know the basis on which
20	privilege is now asserted.
21	The last document I draw everyone's attention to is
22	at page 218, which is again a legal opinion dating from

1	December 2020. Now, that has privilege asserted over it,
2	but if we go back to our main bundle, which to page 890,
3	please.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
5	MR RAWAT: It appears there in disclosure that was
6	provided to the Commission previously and which has been
7	disclosed to all parties and over which privilege was not
8	asserted at that point in time. So, I appreciate Sir
9	Geoffrey may want a little time, but it would be helpful if
10	we could clarify the position as quickly as possible.
11	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes. May I say, Mr Commissioner, I
12	will find out why privilege is asserted, if it is still and
13	return swiftly as we can.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good, thank you very
15	much.
16	SIR GEOFFREY: Today.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, yes.
18	SIR GEOFFREY: While we're going.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
20	Is that it, Mr Rawat?
21	MR RAWAT: Yes, Commissioner. I am going to move
22	on if I may, Commissioner and Governor to

1	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We will need to get the
2	live stream going.
3	MR RAWAT: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. Just to
5	update the people who have just joined us on live stream,
6	we have just been discussing in private session, three
7	documents over which privilege has been asserted, and Sir
8	Geoffrey Cox is going to check as to why privilege is being
9	asserted in respect of those documents and whether it's
10	been maintained, but we're now ready to proceed with the
11	evidence.
12	Thank you, Mr Rawat.
13	BY MR RAWAT:
14	Q. Governor, can I turn to the next topic that I want
15	to canvass with you, which is the responsibility that are
16	due to a Governor under the Disaster Management Act of
17	2003, which is a piece of BVI legislation.
18	Now, the Act is not in the bundles in front of you, but
19	it's right, isn't it, that it imposes certain obligations
20	on a Governor to take steps during a disaster?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. If I could just take you through some documents

i	
1	just for purposes of setting the chronology and the
2	background to what appears to be a dispute between, let's
3	call it the Governor's Office and the Elected Ministers.
4	If you goand we will be jumping between bundle 1 and
5	bundle 3, but if you go to, please, page 885 in that
6	bundle.
7	A. 885 in bundle 1?
8	Q. Yes, please.
9	A. Thank you.
10	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm sorry, Commissioner, I didn't
11	watch the reference. I wonder if Mr Rawat can repeat it.
12	THE WITNESS: 885, Sir Geoffrey.
13	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm most grateful, thank you.
14	BY MR RAWAT:
15	Q. Thank you. I've taken you to a letter from
16	Augustus Jaspert, your predecessor as Governor on the 4th
17	of December 2020. And he sets out thereit's a letter
18	addressed to The Honourable Premierthat his
19	disappointment at not being consulted at the proposed
20	machinery of Government changes, namely the movement of the
21	Department of Disaster Management from the Governor's Group
22	to the Premier's Office before they appeared in the Budget,

1 a	and he writes this is not a partnership approach to
2 9	governance.
3	But what Mr Jaspert then continues is that, he says as
4 1	the law stands, the Governor's responsibility for disaster
5 r	management is made abundantly clear by the Disaster
6 1	Management Act of 2003, under which coordination of the
7 (Government's general policy of the disaster management is
8 I	ultimately for the Governor, and he says it's the
9 (Governor's duty to prepare a comprehensive Disaster
10 1	Management Plan, make preparation for disasters and that
11 I	provides therefore under the Act for the Governor to be the
12 I	person that appoints and supervises the director of the
13 0	department of the disaster management.
14	The argument that is made by Mr Jaspert is that this
15 :	falls within special responsibility for internal security
16 I	under the Constitution.
17	If we go in the same bundle to 199?
18	A. 199?
19	Q. Yes. Give me one moment.
20	(Pause.)
21	Q. Not in that bundle but in the third bundle,
22 a	apologies.

Г

1 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. BY MR RAWAT 2 We find on the 9th of December, The Honorable 3 0. 4 Premier's Reply to Mr Jaspert and he makes clear that he insists that we proceed with the administration of the 5 Department for Disaster Management by the locally elected 6 7 government. The Premier then refers to Section 60 being 8 clear in limiting the powers of the Governor to those 9 subjects in Section 60, and he makes clear his view to 10 Mr Jaspert that deviation from this constitutional prescription constitutes a breach of the Constitution and 11 12 violation of the partnership between the UK and the people 13 of the Virgin Islands, and he refers in support to international obligations under Article 3 of the United 14 Nations charter. And you, yourself, point that out. 15 And then The Honorable Premier goes on to make a number 16 17 of points. He rejects the suggestion this should be a matter for the upcoming constitutional review. He points 18 19 out that management of a catastrophic event is not solely 20 and wholly a security matter. Making the point that comparing COVID-19 to the hurricanes is not appropriate, 21 22 they're different types of disasters.

1	And then says that while the UK Government has provided
2	support to the BVI during catastrophic events and for this
3	we're grateful, the BVI's ultimately responsible for our
4	own preparedness, management of the situation, and
5	recovery, and something he says that was made very clear by
6	Baroness Elizabeth Sugg during the COVID-19 pandemic.
7	Now, we then go toback to bundle 1 to 888, please.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And there Mr Jaspert responds and says that his
10	position remains unchanged on the Department of Disaster
11	Management. This is a letter dated 18th of December 2020.
12	He says: "Under the Constitution, the Disaster Management
13	Act of 2003, the Governor's responsibility is clear", and
14	then points to, "given the position under the current
15	Constitution and law, DDM and the subject of disaster
16	management shall be presented correctly in the Budget
17	estimates as being under the remit of the Governor's
18	Group".
19	Now, can you clarify, just to help us a little bit with
20	what that means in terms of, as I understand it, the DDM
21	sat under the Governor's Group, and, therefore, the
22	Governor had responsibility for its budget.

1	
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. It was a proposal to move it to a different
3	Ministry, if you like. And what Mr Jaspert is arguing is
4	it should remain under the Government's group; is that
5	right?
6	A. That's my understanding, yes.
7	Q. Now, if we go back to bundle 3, we will see that at
8	216, this is a letter from The Honorable Premier to
9	Mr Jaspert on the 18th of December 2020, the Premier
10	responds and says that his position on the rightful and
11	constitutional alignment of the Department of Disaster
12	Management under the Administration of the Territorial
13	Government remains unchanged, and that the necessary
14	legislative changes to support this alignmentrealignment
15	of the remit of the House of Assembly. They're not
16	dependent on or contingent on a constitutional review, but
17	that the legislative changes to ensure alignment with the
18	current housing of DDM are before the House of Assembly.
19	We then go in the same bundle, please, to 203?
20	A. 203?
21	Q. Yes. Bundle 3, we are still there.
22	The Premier then on the 14th of December copying in all

1	Ministers and junior Ministers seeks legal advice from the
2	Attorney General on the question of where the Department
3	for Disaster Management should sit and who has
4	responsibility for it from a constitutional point of view.
5	Now, I won't go into the detail of that advice for
6	reasons that privilege may still be asserted over it, but
7	if we go to 889 in bundle 1, we see that the current Deputy
8	Governor but in the role of acting Governor on the 22nd of
9	December 2020 writes on a memorandum: "I've assented to
10	the Appropriation Act of 2021"sorry, I will read that
11	again.
12	"I have assented to the Appropriation 2021 Act 2020 to
13	clarify that, in doing, do not endorse any purported
14	regroupings or movement to financial controls within the
15	Budget estimate unless in line with current governance
16	structures and until these are also through a proper lawful
17	process, including where necessary are sent to legislation
18	or reallocating responsibility".
19	So, could you just explain what the purpose of this
20	memorandum was, please, if you can.
21	A. Obviously before the period that I became
22	Governor, my understanding was that this was essential

1	without prejudice statement by the Acting Governor, so he
2	was willing to assent to the Appropriation Act, but that
3	was without prejudice to the view on where the Budget
4	should lie, immediately to disaster management and where
5	responsibility for disaster management should lie.
6	Q. Now, this does come across your desk. If we go to
7	page 249 in bundle 3.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. 18th of February 2021, you write to The Honorable
10	Premier, and you write, you say, refer the thoughts about
11	what is now the Disaster Management Act of 2021, but not an
12	act, as I understand it, to which assent has yet been
13	given; is that right?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. You say: "I believe we agree disaster management
16	is crucial for the Virgin Islands and it's important the
17	effective coordination exists between all parties with
18	response for the decision-making and delivery in this
19	area".
20	You continue that "whilst it's an issue for all parts of
21	the BVI Government, and you support a collaborative whole
22	of government approach, it's ultimately the responsibility

1	of the Governor to ensure the safety and security of the
2	Territory. Disaster management impinges on internal
3	security and external affairs which are the
4	responsibilities for the Governor set out in Section 60.
5	Therefore, I believe it is necessary that a number of key
6	Disaster Management Policy areas should continue within the
7	Governor's Group in order that I am unable to fulfill those
8	constitutional responsibilities. The DMA 2021 represents a
9	whole scale transfer of policy away from the Governor's
10	Group".
11	You then mention that the Governor's Group was not
12	involved in any consultation on the Act.
13	You go on on the next page to point to the
14	significant constitutional issues involved, the key
15	importance of ensuring responsibilities for effective
16	disaster management are properly delineated, and you
17	suggest that the matters raised in the Act would best be
18	considered as part of the overall issues under the proposed
19	constitutional review.
20	If we then go to the first bundle of 898, please.
21	We are now on the 23rd of April 2021, again concerned with

22 the Disaster Management Act, and you are following up on

1	your letter of the 18th of February 2021.
2	Just, would the Commissioner be right to assume
3	that you hadn't received a response to that letter of the
4	18th of February 2021?
5	A. I believe not.
6	Q. You point again to the importance for effective
7	disaster management. You refer to working in a
8	collaborative fashion, the need for effective coordination
9	joined up working and you say you're committed to
10	maintaining that approach to best meet the Territory's
11	needs. You then refer again once to the responsibility of
12	the Governor to security of the Virgin Islands, and you say
13	disaster management clearly involves internal security and
14	external affairs which come under Section 60. And you then
15	continue by repeating what you said before about the
16	importance of it staying with the Governor's Group. You
17	conclude that the Act represents a major transfer of policy
18	and power of direction away from the Governor's Group and
19	you say: "Accordingly I would not be able to assent to the
20	Act as currently drafted". And then you refer again to the
21	opportunity that's provided by the constitutional review
22	and ask the Premier to agree that the Act be redrafted as

1	appropriate following the constitutional review.
2	You then say: "Should you not be willing to agree to
3	that approach, I will reserve the question of assent to the
4	Foreign Secretary for his consideration".
5	Last letter in the sequence, if we look at page 253 in
6	bundle 3, the Premier responds to you. He begins by
7	saying: "I'm sure you would agree that the UK Government
8	should see it as a privilege to assent to a piece of
9	legislation debated by Members of the House of Assembly,
10	elected by the people and for the people and who represent
11	the pure interest of Virgin Islanders and which legislation
12	has gone through the legislative process, inclusive of the
13	scrutiny of the Attorney General and Cabinet".
14	He continues by saying: "Whilst it's clear that the UK
15	Government and the Government of the people of the Virgin
16	Islands have differing views on major areas of policy and
17	how they should be handled and where the balance of power
18	between the Governor's Group and the elected Territorial
19	Government should lie", but he then says "this matter is
20	not about transferring power away from the Governor's Group
21	but ensuring that elected policy makers are put in charge
22	of policy and preparing the Territory for facing any

1	disaster that comes. As Governor you should see the
2	advantage of this and be happy to assent to the express
3	wishes of the House of Assembly".
4	And then he goes on in the next page by saying that what
5	not assenting to this bill really means for the Virgin
6	Islands will be unfolded?
7	He points out in his letter that he sees no difficulty
8	with the Act as passed by the House of Assembly. It
9	advances the Virgin Islands on the journey towards
10	self-determination, and the letter points to Article 73 and
11	the Constitution. And the Premier explains that, in the
12	process, this would gradually transfer power from the UK
13	Government and the Governor's Group back to the independent
14	spirit of the Virgin Islands people should be seen as an
15	impetus rather than an obstacle". The letter points out
16	that the passage of the Act was a decision of the
17	legislators of the House of Assembly expressing individual
18	and collective will of the elected representatives.
19	And the Premier says it would not be prudent for me
20	to exercise any actions that conflict the express will of
21	the legislative arm without first obtaining the consent of
22	that arm especially since constitutionally the Premier is
l	

1	accountable to the Parliament and not the other way around.
2	He then concludes: "As relates to your request for
3	the Act to be redrafted following the impending
4	constitutional review in order to avoid the unfortunate
5	situation of Governor exercising his prerogative and
6	declining assent while having to place a final decision for
7	assent at the feet of the Foreign Secretary is not a
8	decision I'm prepared to make on my own as a responsible
9	leader. I cannot speak for the legislative branch".
10	And then the Premier says that where historically
11	the position of the Governor is usually upheld by the UK
12	Government, I'm inclined to seeking the guidance of the
13	House of Assembly on a way forward with respect to your
14	express position on the Disaster Management Act of 2021 and
15	your recommendation.
16	Now, your recommendation was that the status quo
17	should be maintained until the constitutional review.
18	Whatthat was in April 2021. What's the present position
19	in relation to the Disaster Management Act?
20	A. The present position is that the Act has not been
21	assented to by myself as Governor and I have received no
22	further correspondence from the Premier in response to his

1	inclination to seek the guidance of the House of Assembly
2	on the way forward. So, the current situation that it was
3	at the end of April continues.
4	Q. You appear in terms of your analysis of the
5	Constitution and a Governor's role in disaster management
6	to have adopted the same stance as your predecessor; is
7	that right?
8	A. Yes, it is. But let me be clear, for me,
9	Mr Rawat, it is not argued by me nor I think by my
10	predecessor, that the Disaster Management Act is
11	unconstitutional. What is argued, is that it impinges on
12	the responsibilities of the Governor for security and
13	external affairs and then, therefore, falls within the
14	interests of the Governor, it evolves those
15	responsibilitiesI use that word "involves" particularly
16	given the wording of Section 41(c) of the Constitution,
17	though it's a matter which involved matters which I'm
18	responsible under Section 60, and so in exercising my
19	decision whether or not to assent, I consulted duly with
20	The Honourable Premier.
21	Q. But returning to a point I was making before the
22	lunch breakI mean, what Section 60 does not do is refer

1	in terms to disaster management, does it?
2	A. That's correct.
2	A. Inat 5 correct.
3	Q. And so, is this not a matter of interpretation
4	between yourself and The Honorable Premier? Leave aside
5	whatever legal advice you might have been given or you
6	might receive, but isn't the point that has been reached
7	that your analysis of Section 60 is that, by combining
8	external affairs and internal security, disaster management
9	falls within the purview of the Governor?
10	A. No, that's notwith respect, that my position.
11	The Disaster Management Act which has been assented
12	to in the previous Disaster Management Act, assigns
13	responsibility to put disaster management to the Governor.
14	The new legislation would involve a whole scale transfer of
15	that responsibility from the Governor to the relevant
16	Minister. And so Director of Disaster Management would
17	fall under the policy direction of the Minister rather than
18	the Governor. And that transfer of direction means those
19	elements of disaster management which relate to security
20	and external affairs for which the Director is carrying out
21	day-to-day responsibilities would be placed under the
22	policy direction of the Minister.

1	I have seen nothing to suggest that the position
2	taken by my predecessor and myself is unconstitutional.
3	It's a policy, it's a policy discussion, Mr Rawat.
4	Q. But although it was a whistle-stop tour of the
5	correspondence, but when we looked at that correspondence,
6	the point that was being made by yourself and your
7	predecessor was Section 60?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And so, when one reads that, well, actually there's
10	a starting point at Section 60, I see Section 60 as
11	covering external affairs and internal security. That
12	encompasses disaster management, it should be for the
13	Governor to lead on this?
14	A. My view is that disaster management so greatly
15	involves responsibility for security and external affairs
16	that, for the time being, it should remain the
17	responsibility of the Governor.
18	Q. But if we look at it through the other lens, the
19	Premier's position isor it could be interpreted as when
20	one looks at Section 60, it makes no reference to disaster
21	management. When you appreciate that in a disaster there
22	may be more than one Ministry involved, and when you

appreciate the importance of allowing autonomy and allowing the Government of the BVI and the people of the BVI to exercise as much control as possible over their own affairs, it is logical, isn't it, for disaster management to sit under one of the Ministries?

I think, Mr Rawat, this has been a somewhat 6 Α. 7 unfortunate debate because certainly I, as Governor, and I'm sure my predecessor, are committed to a partnership 8 9 approach in disaster response. And indeed, the current 10 structure of the National Disaster Management Committee provides for that partnership approach, which is jointly 11 12 chaired by myself and the Premier, and involves a host of 13 government Departments, many of which the Governor does not 14 have responsibility for, including Public Works, for example, a health and fire and safety. 15

So, it's essential that if disaster management is going to be coordinated properly, that involves a partnership and a whole-of-government approach, and that's certainly what I am confident and also for my predecessor have always sought to do with the structure of the Committee which oversees this as a partnership, as a partnership approach.

1	But, I, unfortunately, have some responsibility or
2	engagement in disasters previously. I was in Nepal as the
3	acting Ambassador when the earthquake hit in 2009 which
4	killed 9,000 people. We faced hurricanes, fortunately not
	disasters during the time I was in Bermuda. And of course
6	I saw what happened in Hurricane Irma.

7 What I do know is when you face a disaster as great as Hurricane Irma was, first of all, it conveys serious 8 9 security issues. Prisoners came out of the prison, there 10 was a degree of looting. There was a need to restore order following Hurricane Irma, so it involves security issues. 11 12 And external support was required in terms of the British 13 naval and Ministry of Defence, response from policing which 14 came from Bermuda and Cayman.

15 So, the responsibilities of the Governor in that 16 disaster response for security and external affairs were 17 intimately involved.

And my view is that moving policy direction away from the Governor to the Minister would not be in the interest at this point in furthering disaster management in the Territory. Now, I don't rule out there's room for debate in that area. That's a matter for discussing in

1	negotiation. My understanding is that the Disaster
2	Management Act, the legislative decision, the current
3	Governor was not consulted in any detailsorry, the
4	previous Governor was not consulted in any detail on that
5	legislation.
6	And as part of a constitutional review going
7	forward, I recognize this is for discussion, but it's not
8	something to be rushed. It's not something to be done
9	without a great deal of careful consideration.
10	And to be frank, I have discussed this issue since
11	I came into the Territory with others much involved in
12	disaster management, and the view and advice I have
13	received is that this stage I believe it would be better
14	for the overall policy responsibility for management to
15	rest with the Governor rather than be transferred at this
16	point of development, but I don't rule out further
17	discussion in that matter. I'm not arguing this would be
18	anti-constitutional. I'm arguing that at the moment
19	because it so much involves responsibilities for security
20	and external affairs, that it would be wisest for that
21	overall responsibility to be moved with the Governor while
22	working in close partnership with the Premier, elected

1	
1	Government and Government Departments for which the
2	Governor does not have direct responsibility. That's my
3	best judgment of the matter.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's helpful,
5	Governor.
6	Just to confirm the constitutional position so far
7	as you're concerned, not the constitutionality of the Act,
8	but you're not assenting to the Act, my understanding from
9	your evidence today is that you consider it falls under
10	Section 41(c) of the Constitution; is that right?
11	THE WITNESS: No. With respect, no, Commissioner,
12	that simply tainted my duty of consultation because you
13	will see that Section 40(1) provides the Governor shall
14	consult the Cabinet in all of the functions conferred on
15	him by the Constitution except, and then little C which
16	indicates, which in his or her opinion involves a matter
17	for which he or she is responsible under Section 60 and to
18	determine as being important, but in exercising my
19	priorities at least to paragraph C, the duty is to consult
20	the Premier, which I actually did.
21	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sorry, Section 40
22	simply is to explain why you consulted with the Premier and

not the Cabinet? 1 THE WITNESS: That's correct. Although the Cabinet 2 3 were aware of my position. 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. BY MR RAWAT: 5 But it takes us back to what I've put to you as an 6 0. 7 imbalance in the modern partnership, which is that you can withhold assent to a Bill, and you can also refer it to the 8 9 Foreign Secretary, who, if one takes the Premier's letter, 10 is more likely than not to side with his Governor, and so, 11 that is again, the ultimate sanction that sits with the 12 Governor? 13 Α. That's correct. That's correct. The Premier, in 14 his letter of 28th April to me and he cited Article 73 in this context, and he said that, in the process, this would 15 gradually transfer power from the UK in the Government's 16 17 group back to the Virgin Islands people. And I think that word "gradual" is important. 18 The 19 difficulty with this piece of legislation is that it would involve a whole scale transfer of power, and therefore it 20 needs, I think, to be thought about very carefully, and we 21 22 should be subject of greater debate and consultation that

1	was possible for the legislation which is adopted by the
2	House of Assembly.
3	So, I do not rule this out for the future, but I
4	think it needs to be very carefully considered, and I think
5	that, frankly, there needs to be greater capacity-building
6	before that transfer would be wise, but I recognize there
7	will be differing views for discussion on the issue.
8	Q. Just to elaborate, what do you mean by greater
9	capacity-building?
10	A. At the moment, if we were hit againand I hope we
11	are never notby an Irma-type hurricane, I believe that
12	that would need to further engage the Governor's
13	responsibility under Section 60 for security and external
14	assistance and beyond the areas of external assistance
15	which are already devolved to the Government under the
16	Constitution. And after Hurricane Irma, the Governor had
17	to play a very strong leadership role in that Response and
18	had to proceed with the Director of Disaster Management.
19	And my judgment is that at the moment that position
20	continues to apply.
21	And I say that whilst I also maintain my commitment
22	to a partnership approach because there has to be a

1	partnership approach. The Governor can't do this alone.
2	It has to be working together, but that doesn't mean this
3	complete transfer of power as it seems to be proposed.
4	Q. You mentioned earlier that having spoken to senior
5	or to others with an interest in disaster management, their
6	view was that the Disaster Management Department should sit
7	under the Governor's Group. Who were these others that
8	you've spoken to?
9	A. If you forgive me, I think it best if I not name
10	names, but I have spoken to people who fall within disaster
11	management other Government Departments who have key
12	responsibilities, and in my discussions the view has been
13	that for the time being they would defer the responsibility
14	to continue to lie with the Governor.
15	Q. Is the sort of present state of affairs that the
16	Department sits under a Ministry at the moment, but you
17	still have obligations under the 2003 Act? Is that the
18	position we've reached?
19	A. Disaster Management Team is part of the Governor's
20	Group, and I retain overall responsibility under the
21	legislation.
22	Q. I see.

1	So, there hasn't, in fact, been a transfer?
2	A. No. The position remains as it was prior to the
3	legislation being passed.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	Now, in relation to thisif you pick up your written
6	response, please, Governor?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. This is one of the criticisms that wasyou were
9	asked to address and you have done so. I don't intend to
10	read it out, but before I move on, it's at your page 1.
11	Is there any other matter in that Response that you wish
12	to draw the Commissioner's attention to?
13	A. I think paragraph 1.5, my understanding is that it
14	is not contended that I have been in breach of the
15	Constitution in not asserting to the Disaster Management
16	Act. I have not seen any contention to that effect. So, I
17	believe it was a policy debate, but I believe that I have
18	acted within the Constitution in the position I have taken
19	on thaton that matter. Thank you.
20	Q. Well, if we move on, the anothernext matter that
21	I want to canvass with you is this: And that is the issue
22	of who chairs Cabinet in the absence of a Governor.

1	
1	Now, I think before we go through this, let's start off
2	with the Constitution because if you turn to I think in the
3	Constitution it's going to be Section 36.
4	Now, Section 35 creates the position of Governor. 36
5	creates the position of Deputy Governor, and
6	Section 37(1)(a) provides that during any period when the
7	Office of Governor is vacant or the Governor is absent from
8	the Virgin Islands or is for any other reason unavailable
9	to perform the functions of his or her office, the Deputy
10	Governor shall during Her Majesty's pleasure, act in the
11	Office of Governor and she will perform the functions of
12	that office accordingly.
13	Section 38 sets out the functions of a Deputy Governor,
14	but 39 then says Deputyit's headed Deputy Governor. It
15	says: "Whenever the Governor has occasion to be absent
16	from the seat of government but not the Virgin Islands, has
17	occasion to be absent from the Virgin Islands for a period
18	in which he or she has reason to believe it will be a short
19	duration, or is suffering from any illness, which he or she
20	has reason to believe will be of short duration, the
21	Governor may, acting in his or her discretion, by
22	instrument under the public seal, appoint the Deputy

ſ	
1	Governor or if the Deputy Governor is not available, any
2	other person in the Virgin Islands who is a Virgin
3	Islander, to be his Deputy during such absence or illness
4	in that capacity and in that capacity performance on his or
5	her functionsbehalf such as the functions of the Office
6	of Governor as may be specified in that instrument".
7	We then haveif you go through to Section 49give me a
8	moment.
9	(Pause.)
10	Q. Section 49, you havethe question is who chairs
11	Cabinet, and 49(1) states that the Governor shall so far as
12	practicable attend and preside at meetings of the Cabinet.
13	And then in the absence of the Governor, they shall preside
14	at any meeting of Cabinet the Premier or in his or her
15	absence, the Deputy Premier.
16	So, there is no reference in Section 49 to a Deputy
17	Governor. I think there is common ground that if, as the
18	Constitution provides, you have an acting Governor, then
19	that person can chair Cabinet in the absence of the
20	Governor, and that's provided for, we didn't look at it,
21	but it's provided for by Section 39, which allowsor we
22	did look at thatbut allows for someone to act in place of

1	the Governor.
2	But the situation seems to be or that we've reached
3	is that where there isn't a Governor present or where there
4	isn't an acting Governor, then it's for the Premier to
5	chair Cabinet, and the criticism that is made, not just of
6	yourself but of previous Governors, is that there has been
7	a practice in the absence of the Governor, a Deputy should
8	Chair, and there has never been in reality a situation
9	where the Premier chairs Cabinet.
10	Now, just clarify for the Commissioner what your
11	position is on that?
12	A. Thank you.
13	Well, first, I welcome the fact that it appears to
14	be accepted equivocally that where the Governor is absent
15	from the Territory, that the acting Governor can preside
16	over Cabinet in accordance with Section 49 of the
17	Constitution, and that seems to have been accepted
18	equivocally, and I welcome that.
19	The difficult issue relates to the Deputy to the
20	Governor under Section 39 of the Constitution. And as I
21	understand it, that was a practice that, the Deputy to the
1	
----	---
1	for a short period but on the Territory.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry to interrupt,
3	Governor, but that deals with chairing the Cabinet but over
4	and above that, various things have to happen before a
5	Cabinet Meeting. For example, the Cabinet Secretary, the
6	Governor and the Premier, as the Cabinet Steering Group,
7	set the Agenda of the Cabinet. If the Governor is not
8	available for the reasons we're talking about, this is now
9	acting Governor, does the Deputy Governor do that?
10	THE WITNESS: The issue has not arisen since I have
11	been here as Governor, but depending on the interpretation
12	on adopting of the Constitution, the Deputy to the Governor
13	could be empowered to do that.
14	Yes, thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: As I understand it, the issue came to
17	a head in May 2020 of last year, when a challenge was made
18	to the practice of allowing the Deputies to the Governor to
19	chair Governorto chair Cabinet when the Governor is in
20	the Territory but for one reason or another enable to
21	chair.
22	And Governor Jaspert did what he should have done.

Page | 146

1	
1	He sought advice on the subject from the then-Attorney
2	General. And the then-Attorney General advised on 20th of
3	May that the Governor could by instrument under seal
4	authorize the Governor to perform all of his functions,
5	including the chairing of Cabinet, so that is the advice
6	which the Governor received at the time from the Attorney
7	General and acted on it.
8	There is, of course, I'm aware, a subsequent device
9	from the current Attorney General in her advice to the
10	Premier of 13th of January of this year, where the Attorney
11	General takes a different view of the matter in
12	paragraph 12 of that letter, although with respect, I think
13	the current Attorney General's reasoning on that point
14	wasn't made out quite as fully as the reason which was set
15	out by former Attorney General Aziz.
16	Now, theso, that's the situation I face as
17	Governor with those conflicting pieces of advice.
18	I'm also aware of the advice that was given by
19	former Commonwealth Officer Legal Counselor Susan Dixon on
20	this subject in 2008, which I think should be in the
21	bundles, Mr Rawat.
22	Q. It's at page 881 in bundle 1.

1	A. Thank you.
2	Q. I may have tried to take it too fast, Governor, but
3	perhaps if wejust so that we've got the timeline, if you
5	
4	look at 883 on the 24th, you have a letter on the 24th of
5	April 2007, which is from Dr Orlando Smith then as Chief
6	Minister, and it's as part of the work that was coming up
7	to confirming or finalising thewhat became the 2007
8	Constitution, and what was agreedand there is an exchange
9	of letters, one on the 23rd of April from Ian Hendry on
10	behalf of the Foreign Commonwealth Office which is at
11	page 184, and then one from Dr Orlando Smith on the 24th of
12	April 2007, and they both agree that the position is that
13	when there is a reference to the Governor chairing Cabinet,
14	it encompasses the person who acts as Governor in absence.
15	What you have is as 881, and you referred to it, is an
16	opinion by Susan Dixon, Legal Counselor at the Commonwealth
17	Office in March 2008, and tell me if I have misunderstood
18	this, but in her view, constitutionally there is nothing to
19	stop a Governor appointing a Deputy Governor to perform all
20	of the functions of a Governor.
21	A. That's correct.

Г

Q. And that includes the function of chairing Cabinet.

1	
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. But what she does point to is that, from the
3	exchange of letters that it wasthat we've referred to,
4	that it appears to have been the intention of the two
5	governments that where there was no Governor or acting
6	Governor to preside, then the Premier would preside?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. So, that's I think the position we reach in 2008.
9	You have referred to a legal advice from The Honourable
10	Bubba Aziz, the previous Attorney General, and you have
11	produced that advice to the Commissioner.
12	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, it's amongst the documents
13	that the Governor has provided, and it's at page 1.
14	BY MR RAWAT
15	Q. And this is a memorandum dated April 2019, and
16	again, tell me if I'm oversummarising, but the effect of
17	that was that Bubba Aziz's view as Attorney General was
18	that a Deputy could chair Cabinet.
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. But as you point out, the current Attorney General
21	has reached a different view, and I think the opinion from
22	Mr Aziz was sought by the Deputy Governor, but, as you've

1	said, the current Attorney General's view is different, and
2	that is that she makes two points, firstly, is that a
3	Deputy cannot sit in Cabinet, so a Deputy Governor cannot
4	sit in Cabinet. But secondly, alsoand this relates
5	perhaps also to a different issue, that that is that a
6	Governor can chair a Cabinet remotely?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Now, that's the position in terms of legal advice.
9	But in terms of practicalities and the reality, what is
10	your position in terms of what your understanding of who
11	can chair Cabinet in your absence?
12	A. Thank you.
13	Well, I mean, first of all, it wouldit does
14	require a degree of patience and wisdom to try to reach
15	differing opinions on this matter.
16	The first and I think the most important point, if
17	I may, is that in the papers which have been submitted to
18	the Commission, it is claimed that the previous Governor
19	acted in breach of the Constitution in this matter, and I
20	believe that's incorrect. I think the advice of Susan
21	
	Dixon in 2008 right back at the beginning of this was

Deputy Governor from presiding at Cabinet meetings, and there is a Constitutional and legal basis for the Deputy so to preside, so I do not believe that, as has been suggested that there was any breach of the Constitution in this matter.

6 Secondly, I note that despite the understanding 7 that was set out in the exchange of letters between the 8 former Chief Minister and Mr Hendry, the practice appears 9 to have been, despite that understanding, that the Deputy 10 to the Governor chaired Cabinet, and that was a practice 11 which the current Governor inherited.

In terms of my own position going forward, and in 12 13 light of the wording of Section 49 of the Constitution, in 14 light of that exchange of letters, I do not preclude circumstances where the Premier would be asked by me to 15 chair Cabinet, but I would expect them to be few and far 16 between, first of all, because, as Governor, I intend 17 whenever I'm on the Territory to be available to chair a 18 19 Cabinet.

And secondly, I think that the advice given by the current Attorney General is most helpful in that respect. Her advice was, actually, COVID-19 context, it was possible

1	for the Governor to Chair Cabinet remotely, but I think
2	that also by extension, if I may, would mean that if, for
3	example, the Governor were on official business in Virgin
4	Gorda or Anegada, then the wonders of modern technology
5	which probably were not envisaged in 2007 and 2008 would
6	allow for the remote chairing of those circumstances.
7	So, I don't rule out in line with that exchange of
8	letters, Mr Rawat, that there would be circumstances in
9	which the Premier might Chair Cabinet, but I would expect
10	those circumstances to be few and far between.
11	I should say also that I would expect if the
12	Premier were chairing Cabinet that consult in advance of
13	that to the extent possible, and I think if there were
14	matters pertaining specifically to the Governor's
15	responsibilities before Cabinet, obviously that
16	consultation would even be more important, I think I would
17	be proposing in those circumstances if I could not
18	physically attend there would bewhich obviously I could
19	notthe Deputy Governor might be able to attend that part
20	of the discussion in Cabinet, but I don't rule out that
21	possibility for the future, Mr Rawat.
22	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, as I understand

1	
1	your evidence, Governor, by those meansbecause there is a
2	difference in legal opinion.
3	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But as I understand
5	your evidence, you don't envisage any insuperable problem
6	with this in the future because it can be dealt with in one
7	way or another by practical means, remote attendance, the
8	Premier chairing Cabinet after consultation or whatever.
9	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
10	There could be an issue if the Governor was
11	seriously ill, and was seriously ill that he or she were
12	not able to even remotely chair a Cabinet. But subject to
13	my health prevailing, Commissioner, I hope this situation
14	isn't going to materialise.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: In sort of
16	impracticalities, so I understand that, but if there
17	wereand we have dealt with this I think before, but if
18	there were a difference of legal opinion as to what the
19	Constitution meant, which there is here, is there a
20	mechanism for resolving that? In some Constitutions there
21	is a mechanism whereby there's sort of a declaratory relief
22	can be sought from some court or another, but is there any

1	mechanism whereby that can be resolved? It may be a
2	question for Sir Geoffrey rather than you.
3	THE WITNESS: I suspect it might ultimately have to
4	be the courts.
5	I haven't talked to this office
6	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Exactly.
7	THE WITNESS: But there is conflicting legal advice
8	on this, but what I am confident of is I don't think there
9	has been any breach of the Constitution involved in this,
10	and that's my fundamental position.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because the previous
12	Governor was really following the Attorney's advice.
13	THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay. Thank you.
15	BY MR RAWAT:
16	Q. But is it a sort of peculiarity of the Constitution
17	that you cannot under Section 39 now on the latest advice
18	that you've received, you can't by instrument allow your
19	Deputy Governor to perform all of your functions, including
20	Cabinet, but under Section 37, your Deputy Governor
21	automatically acts as the Governor in your absence?
22	A. That's correct, in terms of the latest advice on

the position of Deputy to the Governor. It's a question of whether one prefers the advice given by Ms Dixon and Attorney General Aziz on the one hand and the legislative advice given by the current Attorney General on the other, and there may be differing views on which advice is to be preferred.

Q. But putting advice aside, returning to the theme that I have been seeing you with, which is the importance, and you acknowledged it, of every effort being made to ensure that that the BVI is able to act with autonomy and able to have control over its own affairs, isn't a convention whereby when the Governor is absent the Premier should be the Chair, one that should be pursued?

14 Α. My view is that the Constitution is quite clear that the Acting Governor exercise his power on behalf of 15 the Governor all of the functions of Governor, and that's 16 Section 2(3) of the Constitution, where the conjunction 17 with Section 38(2). So, Section 2(3) makes clear that 18 19 reference to the holder of any office shall be construed as including a reference to any person who is for the time 20 being performing the functions of that office. And Section 21 38(2) provides that the Governor may authorize the Deputy 22

1	Governor to exercise for and on behalf of the Governor in
2	any or all of the functions of the Governor, so the acting
3	Governor is the Governor, and hence has the power to
4	preside over Cabinet in accordance with Section 49(1).
5	And the exception in Section 49(2) is when we don't
6	have an acting Governor in place when the Premier may
7	Chair.
8	Q. All right. I follow. Thank you.
9	A. Thank you.
10	I think that point is clear. I believe that point
11	is accepted by the Government, and I welcome it. I don't
12	know if that has been a helpful exception of that basic
13	position.
14	Q. I follow you. Thank you.
15	If I could ask you to turn to page 825, I want to the
16	move on to
17	A. 825?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. Thank you.
20	Q. Although before I do, again this was a potential
21	criticism that we raised with you, Governor, sowhich is
22	at your page 3. If there is any other matter that you want

Г

1	to draw the Commissioner's attention to, please do so now.
2	A. I suspect that we have discussed that particular
3	issue sufficiently, Mr Rawat. Thank you.
4	Q. I want to just move on to the third criticism,
5	which is in effect that the Governors have taken an
6	approach that inconsistentlyinconsistent, really, with
7	the proper constitutional position and proper convention in
8	that they take the view that requests for information from
9	Ministries do not need to be carried out through the
10	Premier's Office. I would like to start off by taking you
11	back to your own Position Statement to the Commissioner,
12	and at page 825 of that, please, if you have it.
13	A. Thank you, yes.
14	Q. It's paragraph 64 and 65 that I want to draw your
15	attention to, Governor.
16	You say there that "the Public Service contains many
17	dedicated and well-qualified officials, but their
18	confidence appears to have been eroded by perceived
19	attempts to exert undue political influence on their work
20	while creating uncertainty over lines of authority. Under
	while creating uncertainty over times of authority. Under
21	Section 56 of the constitution, Ministers have direct and

implementation of government policy as it relates to that 1 2 Department. But unless otherwise agreed between the Governor and the Premier, the Department is under the 3 4 supervision of a Permanent Secretary who is a Public Officer. Moreover, the power specified in Section 56 is 5 without prejudice to the Governor's responsibility of 6 7 beyond Section 60 of the Constitution for the terms and conditions of the Public Service, the role of the Public 8 9 Service Commission and the making of public appointments 10 and the ultimate responsibility of the Governor for the disciplinary control of Public Officers and/or their remove 11 12 from Office. Such powers vest and should continue to vest 13 in the Governor, not Ministers".

You continue: "Confidence of the Public Service also 14 risks eroded by attempts to limit interaction by the 15 16 Governor's Offices with Ministries, e.g. by insisting that all such contact be carried out through the Premier's 17 Such attempts run contrary to Section 56(7) of the 18 Office. 19 Constitution, whereby the Governor acting in his or her own 20 discretion my at any time request from a Minister any 21 official papers or seek any official information, subject 22 to informing the Premier of any such request. They also

1	cut across Section 68 of the Constitution which allows the
2	Governor to direct others, not just Ministers, in the
3	exercise of their functions where they involve or effect
4	any matter for which the Governor has special
5	responsibility under Section 61 of the Constitution".
6	Now, if you then, Governor, turn up, please, page 917 in
7	the same bundle. Paragraph 55, to begin with, this is the
8	Response or the Reply of the elected Ministers to your
9	Position Statement, and they point tothe Reply points to
10	the two paragraphs I've just read and continues, "the
11	Governor asserts without particulars that confidence in the
12	Public Sector has been eroded because political Ministers
13	have made 'perceived attempts' to exert undue
14	influenceundue interference on the work of Public
15	Officers and created uncertainty"
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Undue political
17	interference.
18	MR RAWAT: Yes, I'm sorry. I'll read that again.
19	"to exert undue political interference on the
20	work of Public Officers and created uncertainty over lines
21	of authority, inter alia by insisting that interaction by
22	the Governor's Office with their Ministries should be

ſ

1	carried out through the Premier's Office. This is a highly
2	lopsided perspective and illustrates the problem to which
3	we previously averted in the Position Statement".
4	At 58, it continues, I will read what 56 does is
5	draw attention Section 56 of the Constitution, and then at
6	paragraph 57 the Response continues: "A Minister therefore
7	has both a legitimate interest in and a right to be
8	consulted on the suitability and performance of senior
9	Public Officers in his Ministry or Department. He's
10	entitled to express his opinion both to his Permanent
11	Secretary and to the Deputy Governor on such matters. This
12	is not to be construed as undue political interference, but
13	it does require good leadership of the Public Service to
14	manage possible tensions together with modern systems of
15	training and management. The Ministers fully accept that
16	the Governor, pursuant to Section 56(7) of the
17	Constitution, has the right to request official papers
18	'from a Minister' or seek from him any official information
19	or advice available to that Minister with the respect to a
20	matter for which that Minister is responsible.
21	"It is or should be a normal and basic courtesy to
22	the Minister, however, to address such a request to him or

1	his private office so that he's aware of the request.
2	Furthermore, it is a legal condition of the Governor's
3	request that he should inform the Premier of it. It has
4	been the experience of Ministers that neither the courtesy
5	nor the duty has always been observed, and that direct
6	contact has been made by the Governor's office. Sometimes
7	quite junior officials to demand information or action of
8	which the Minister and Premier are unaware. In addition,
9	the Governor's suggests the Ministers' insistence that his
10	Office should interact with Ministers through the Premier's
11	Office cuts across his power, pursuant to Section 60 of the
12	Constitution to direct any personal or authority in
13	exercise of function where he determines that function
14	'would involve or affect' any matter for which the
15	Governor's responsible under Section 61. However, that
16	power may only be exercised after consultation with the
17	Premier. Once again, it has been the experience of
18	Ministers that the Governor's Office has issued directions
19	to officials in the Ministries and Departments without
20	observing that direction".
21	So, the point is a mixed one because it isit goes

21 So, the point is a mixed one because it is--it goes 22 to a lack of courtesy and respect for the position of

1	Ministers and, indeed, the Premier, because your Office
2	essentially and the Governor's Office, shall we say, goes
3	direct to sometimes even junior officials. It reflects a
4	failure to really understand the Constitution because
5	theand the role that Ministers play in administering
6	their Department. And it again goes to the point that the
7	Governor, as the Representative of the UK FCDO can and does
8	interfere in the workings of ministerial Departments when
9	there is no need to do so.
10	BY MR RAWAT:
11	Q. Now, I know it's a bit of a compendious point, but
12	could you just deal with that, firstly by just explaining
13	what you meant when you referred to "undue political
14	interference"?
15	A. I think that there's a challenge over the meaning
16	
	off the word "control" in Section 56 of the Constitution.
17	off the word "control" in Section 56 of the Constitution. It's absolutely correct that Elected Ministers produce that
17 18	
	It's absolutely correct that Elected Ministers produce that
18	It's absolutely correct that Elected Ministers produce that policy. That's what they've been elected to do, in matters
18 19	It's absolutely correct that Elected Ministers produce that policy. That's what they've been elected to do, in matters which are devolved to the BVI Government under the

ſ

1	
1	senior officials and Permanent Secretaries to ensure that
2	they have clear directions as regards that policy, but
3	there should be limits to the extent to which Ministers can
4	properly direct or control officials as to the advice they
5	give back to Ministers, and the amount that they might
6	control how they act under their relevant legal
7	obligations. And I'm not going to into details, Mr Rawat,
8	but I believe there have been occasions where pressures may
9	have been placed on public official which would have
10	stepped over whatever they think to be the appropriate mark
11	in that area.
12	Q. Well, deal, please, then, with the second aspect of
13	the evidence that arises from your Position Statement,
14	which is that attempts to limitsthe attempts to limit
15	interaction with Ministers by insisting all contact be
16	carried through the Premier's Office.
17	A. Yes, thank you.
18	Well, first of all, let me say that, on the wider
19	point, I, of course, recognise that the Governor should be
20	keeping the Premier and his or her Ministers informed of
21	matters that the Governor's engaging on with his
22	Departments, and I'm not aware of any significant issue

1	since I've been here as Governor where that has not been
2	the case. But I'm afraid that there have been attempts, in
3	my view, to go beyond what the Constitution provides in
4	terms of the Governor exercising his powers.
5	So, both in terms of correspondence from the
6	Premier to my predecessor, and then since I've been here,
7	there has been a proposal which would require the
8	Governor's Office only to engage through the Premier's
9	Office and that engagement with individual Ministers would
10	have to be through the Premier's Office, and, indeed, I
11	have forwarded to the Commission a draft Cabinet Paper
12	which would provide precisely for that.
13	And that, with respect, runs contrary to the
14	Constitution because Section 56(7) provides expressly that
15	the Government actthe Governor act in his or her
16	discretion may at any time request from a Minister any
17	official papers or seek any official information or advice
18	available to that Minister with respect to a matter for
19	which that Minister is responsibleso that's the power of
20	the Governor under the Constitutionand shall inform the
21	Premier of any such request. So, there is an obligation to
22	inform the Premier of that request but the obligation is

not to go through the Premier's Office in making that
request, so the request can be made directly to the
Ministers concerned.

4 Secondly, such an approach would rather cut across Section 60(8) of the Constitution, which provides that the 5 6 Governor may, after consultation with the Premier, direct 7 others, not just Minister, so it could include officials, in the exercise of their functions where the overall effect 8 9 is special responsibilities under Section 60(1). So, the 10 Governor, under the Constitution, has a right to go directly to Ministers, provided he informs the Premier he 11 is doing so, and after consultation with the Premier, also 12 13 has the power to direct officials in the exercise of his functions under Section 60, and I've certainly always 14 sought to comply with those requirements, but the proposal 15 that all engagement by the Governor must be going through 16 17 the Premier would run contrary to those provisions.

18 There's one other practical point here, which is 19 that is clearly right on significant issues that the 20 Governor should seek to work in consultation with the 21 Premier and the Premier hopefully should seek to 22 consultation with the Governor as well, but there are

1	day-to-day practical matter on which officials will
2	necessarily engage with each other.
3	To give you the current example of the COVID-19
4	response, the support that the UK Government is giving to
5	BVI in termsand has givenin terms of provision of
6	personal protective equipment, of ventilators, of test kits
7	and now 36,000 vaccines has been done in full consultation
8	with the Premier and, indeed, with the Minister for Health
9	but the practical logistics for that, which are rather
10	detailed in terms of what precisely needs to be supplied,
11	how it can be transported, when it's going to be
12	transported, how best it can be stored safely so the
13	vaccines can be used properly actually requires expert to
14	expert discussion between my team, the Governor's Office,
15	and health officials and indeed between Public Health
16	England and health officials here, and it would be simply
17	impractical if were argued that all of that needs to be
18	done on every occasion through the Premier's Office, the
19	Minister's office is simply impractical to meet the needs
20	of the Territory. But I do accept as Governor I should
21	seek to inform Ministers of what I'm doing on matters which
22	affect their responsibilities and inform the Premier as

]	
1	necessary.
2	Q. But theI mean, looking at the draft paper that
3	you provided, the rationale is to revert back to what might
4	be described as the status quo because what's said is that
5	the original approach was that a Governor's office would
6	liaise through the Chief Minister's office within
7	A. With respect, I don'tI'm informed that has never
8	been the status quo.
9	Q. So, just clarify what you base that piece of
10	evidence on.
11	A. My understanding of speaking to publish officials
12	here is that Governors, since 2007 Constitution, have
13	regularly engaged with individual Ministries as well as
14	just to the Premier's Office.
15	Q. And your position is that you are entitled to do
16	that under the Constitution.
17	A. That is correct, yes. And that is with no
18	disrespect to The Honorable Premier. I will always seek
19	to, you know, keep him informed on these important, vital
20	issues, as I believe I do, but I don't think the
21	Constitution requires me to always go through him when I
22	meet with Ministers.

Page | 167

1	And indeedand, in fact, the Ministers contact me,
2	not every day of the week but, you know, various Ministers
3	will contact me direct to ask me questions or raise an
4	issue with me, and that's not done through the Premier's
5	Office either, but I don't think, in either case, it's
6	involving major issues of which the Premier should rightly
7	be aware as the elected head of Government working with the
8	Governor.
9	Q. Again, returning back to where the balance lies, if
10	it is theI mean, you have, yes, significant but detailed
11	and specific responsibilities under the Constitution, and
12	particularly your Section 60 responsibilities, but
13	everything arguably that falls outside Section 60 falls on
14	the elected Government. And surely, if the elected
15	Government considers that in terms of delivering its
16	services to those people who elected it in terms of
17	effective government and good governance, this is an
18	approach that should be adopted, that your interaction as
19	the Governor with various Ministries should be with the
20	Premier's Office, which has been described to the
21	Commissioner as the coordinating Ministry.
22	Surely, irrespective of what the Constitution says, and

having regard as you said you do to the need to promote a route and develop self-governance, surely it's incumbent on any Governor to go along with it.

4 Α. I think it's a matter for judgment and perhaps legitimate different opinions as to whether having 5 everything centralized through the Premier's Office is or 6 7 is not the best way of moving forward governance in the Territory, but I, as Governor, need to speak to the 8 9 responsible Minister who, under the relevant legislation, 10 for example, may have the decision-making power, and particularly when one needs to move speedily on a matter, 11 than going direct to the relevant Minister seems to me to 12 13 be the preferred approach of keeping the Premier informed, 14 of course. The Premier can speak to his Ministers if he or she so wishes. 15

Q. And in terms of how the Governor's Office communicates with the BVI public, how is that or what proposals have been made in relation to how that's dealt with?

A. In terms of communication with the public, I, of course, occasionally make speeches or issue statements, and my team in the Governor's Office are involved in numerous events on the island in support of, you know, charitable
organisations or in sort of other activities in the
Territory. So, you know, at the moment we're engaged on
COVID matters. We're engaged on organizing the external
security review of the prison, which falls under my and NSC
responsibilities and my official work in that regard.

As regards communication, we have a Facebook page in which we can give details to the public of what we are doing. I think we probably also have an Instagram page, but I confess I'm not as aware of certain aspects of social media as I should be.

But there has been an issue, Mr Rawat, over the 12 13 formal dissemination of statements from the Governor and 14 the Governor's Office, and this is in relation to, again, correspondence with my predecessor, and in relation to a 15 paper which has been proposed to Cabinet which would 16 restrict the work of the Government Information Service in 17 relation to the Governor's Office and provide the 18 19 Government Information Service wouldn't regularly or automatically approve communications to the Governor's 20 Office, but they would be decided on a case-by-case basis 21 22 in consultation with the Premier, and it's claimed in the

paper that that again is in line with past practice, and again my understanding is that is not the case. The Government Information Service has always hither to issued statements from the Governor's Office from the Governor because it is the Governor Information Service and the Governor is part of Government, and GIS has always done this in the past.

8 Now, I hasten to add, and I should lightly add that 9 in both in relation to the paper on communication only 10 through the Premier's Office and the paper in relation to limiting involving Government Information Services would 11 play, I should say that neither of those papers has been 12 13 brought to decision in Cabinet, and I have sought to find a 14 practical way forward on this issue with the current Premier, and I will continue to do so, but I would be very 15 concerned if either of those papers were to come to a 16 17 decision, because the first would be contrary to the Constitution, and the second would be contrary to what the 18 19 Government Information Service should provide, but for the main part, hopefully we'll be able to find a practical way 20 forward, and I hope that will continue. 21

22

Q. You said that in relation to the point you made

1	about the Government Information Service, that what's been
2	communicated to you is the purpose of the Government
3	Information Services is not what's communicated in this
4	draft paper doesn't accord with what you've been told by
5	others?
6	A. Of the past practice, that's correct.
7	Q. Yeah, and in terms of that, those others, is that
8	people who have worked in Public Service?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	Q. One point that I note is made is that it should not
11	be part of the Government Information Services' role to
12	promote the UK Government or the FCDO.
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. So, fromaside of the Governor having a role in
15	Government, what is its job in terms of putting out
16	material from the Governor's Office?
17	A. Well, there's a degree of judgment required here.
18	If the FCO government policy is to supply each of the
19	Overseas Territories free of charge all the vaccinations
20	that the Territories need in a COVID-19 response, that is a
21	UK Government policy. What is clearly directly relevant to
22	BVI, and my view in those circumstances, it would be

i	
1	appropriate for Government Information Service to publish
2	that information. If, on the other hand, we're talking
3	about a, let's say, a wider Government, UK Government
4	statement let's say in relation to Brexit or international
5	arms control negotiations, whichwell, actually Brexit
6	does have relevance to BVIbut let's say international
7	arms controls negotiation which would have obvious direct
8	information, then I recognize there that Government
9	Information Services may not see that as particularly
10	pertinent to their work, so there's truly judgment
11	involvement here, but what I frankly would find difficult
12	would be a view to the case-by-case basis. It is for the
13	Premier to decide what HIS should issue.
14	Now, I say in fairness, Iwe offer a new way
15	forward on this, but I think there's a distinction to be
16	roughly the slightly imprecise area which I have outlined
17	to you, Mr Rawat.
18	Q. What I note from the draft paper was that what the
19	Premier had requested of the Governor is that your
20	predecessor was to receive communications from the
21	Governor's Office and the FCDO prior to such being issued
22	to the public. The point that is made is the Premier, as

1	leader of this Overseas Territory, must be aware beforehand
2	and not learn of information from the public domain. Is
3	that something that might be suggested, Commissioner? It's
4	an eminently sensible proposal, isn't it?
5	A. I would always seek to inform the Premier
6	ofwell, let me rephrase that for me, please. In most
7	circumstances, I would seek to inform the Premier of
8	announcements before they were made which were of a
9	significant nature, but if, for example, I am asking GIS to
10	put out announcement of the support I gave last weekend to
11	the Lyons Club of the Britishof Tortola and support the
12	BVI National Culinary Team who are going to a Caribbean
13	culinary competition in Florida in the summer, I don't
14	think that's a point which I would judge it was necessary
15	for me to inform the Premier of; otherwisein fact, I did
16	so in discussion this morning, but I don't think that was
17	necessary for me to do so.
18	Q. Does the communication work both ways? Is the
19	Governor's Office made aware in advance of communications

- 21 or Ministry of Finance?
- 22

A. On occasion, but I wouldn't say on a regular

that the Premier may make to the public, either as Premier

1	basis.
2	Q. If you can turn up page 918, please. I want to
3	just take you to another part of the response of thethis
4	is the Response of the elected government to your Position
5	Paper
6	A. Um-hmm.
7	Qon governance, and it points to, at paragraph 62,
8	two examples of which, if the Commissioner accepts the
9	evidence, would qualify, I'd suggest to you, as
10	particularly significant examples of FCDO overreach.
11	The firstI'm going to paraphrase, but the first is the
12	Blue Belt Programme, and to summarise it, that this was a
13	programme, voluntary programme, in which the UK and
14	Overseas Territories would seek support for marine
15	protection and sustainable management of the marine
16	environment. That was launched in 2016. No agreement was
17	reached in terms of the Virgin Islands joining that
18	Programme, and they did not do so.
19	But it notes that at paragraph 64 what the FCDO did on
20	the 3rd of April 2021 was to issue a press release,
21	announcing the world's first network underwater camera race
22	has been rolled out across the British Overseas

1	Territories, including the Virgin Islands, as part of the
2	UK Government Blue Belt Programme. And a statement was
3	issued on Twitter that the British Virgin Islands would be
4	part of the Blue Belt Programme.
5	And what's noted is that despite correction by the
6	Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, neither the press
7	release nor the Government's tweet has been withdrawn or
8	amended. Now, I think thatI'm not sure whether that's a
9	reference toyes, it is the Governor who issued a
10	statement, so it's the Governor tweeting.
11	It then goes on to give the second example, which is
12	that the Virgin Islands' participation in the International
13	Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna, and in
14	1998 following the European Committee now EU's ascension to
15	that Convention, the UK became use of the USAF delegation
16	and the Virgin Islands with other Territories formed a
17	separate delegation. Those Territories had, therefore, a
18	separate catch limit for albacore tuna up to a threshold of
19	200 tons per annum, which they could then allocate amongst
20	themselves.
21	
	The effect of the UK's withdrawal from the European

1	two, both the UK and the OT's are allocated one single
2	catch limit, and this time it was 434 tons, and the effect,
3	again summarising, was that there was a change in the quota
4	of tuna that the Virgin Islands could catch with DEFRA
5	proposing to the Department of Agricultural and Fisheries
6	here an allocation of 10 tons is the catch limit for the
7	Virgin Islands. What's noted by thein the Response is no
8	agreement on that was reached, and then it continues
9	(reading): Even although discussions on the division of
10	the quotas were not completed, on 28th June 2021, the
11	Governor's Office wrote to officials at the Virgin Islands
12	Department of Agriculture and Fisheries stating, "if we do
13	not hear from yourselves by the 30th of June, I will inform
14	DEFRA that the proposed allocation of 10 tons is
15	acceptable. "
16	And what's pointed out is that such a statement by the
17	Governor's Office is inconsistent with Section 56(5) of the
18	Constitution. We can look at that, if you need to. It
19	notes that fishing policies for the Minister and Cabinet to
20	decide and therefore it was not for you, as Governor, to

21 agree to the allocation, and you shouldn't have made a

22 communication to official. So, it takes us back to the

points we were looking at earlier, without informing the 1 2 Minister or Premier or raising the issue in Cabinet. And the point that's made--and again, it takes that to a 3 4 point I've put to you already, Governor, which is UK Government's priorities triumph over the Virgin Islands' 5 Government's priorities, and this is an example of that 6 7 because the point that is made is that whilst the UK Government may consider that its allocation, so 10 tons to 8 9 the Virgin Islands, to be a fair assessment, doing that in 10 a unilateral fashion is inconsistent with a partnership based on consultation and mutual understanding. 11 And it's--it connotes again back to, I think, a point that I've 12 13 made again, a lack of respect for the Virgin Islands, and 14 particularly so because the Commissioner has heard evidence about how--the importance that is placed on the fishing 15 industry, and has heard evidence about efforts that are 16 17 intended to expand and promote that--the fishing sector, not least from the perspective of food security. 18 19 So, if taking those in turn, firstly, and there's a number of themes I'm trying to explore with you, but the 20 Blue Belt Programme, first of all, you have a situation 21 22 where the Virgin Islands doesn't sign up to it and yet

1	
1	Press Releases from the FCDO, from the Governor's Office
2	saying that they do.
3	A. Thanks, Mr Rawat.
4	Well, on the first point in relation to the
5	underwater camera rigs, and these are underwater cameras
6	designed to, as I understand it, track fish movements and
7	help actually both fishing and conservation in this area,
8	it is correct that, when that announcement was made that
9	BVI ministerial level had not at that point agreed to it,
10	and, therefore, I think it's unfortunate that the Press
11	Release was issued by the FCDO. It wasn't done
12	deliberately to overcome BVI views, but it should not have
13	issued in that way at the time. It was an oversight, and I
14	made clear my regret for that oversight.
15	But there were official discussions going on in
16	relation to underwater cameras, but they'd not got
17	ministerial sign-off. So this criticism to me in that
18	area, I accept that. I accept that criticism.
19	Q. And who did you make your regret clear to?
20	A. I made my regret clear to the Minister for Natural
21	Resources, and I believe in Cabinet as a whole. It was
22	raised; I made my regret clear on that. So I accept the

1	pointI accept the point there. I think it's the only
2	such example that's been given.
3	As regards the international convention for the
4	conservation of
5	Q. Before you go on, what is the current position in
6	relation to the Blue Belt Programme?
7	A. My understandingand I'vefurther information
8	has been provided to the Government, but my understanding
9	is that, as yet, the BVI Government has not chosen to
10	accept these underwater cameras which would be provided
11	from the UK for use
12	(Overlapping speakers.)
13	Aand actually it would be owned by the BVI
14	Government, but for the time being the Government decided
15	not to accept them, which is their choice.
16	Q. And so, your evidence on this is that the FCDO
17	issuing a press release, and indeed, the Governorthat's
18	you, issuing a statement on Twitterwere just matters of
19	oversight.
20	A. It was premature. It was premature. It should
21	have been checked before it came out. I accept that.
22	Q. Well, could you deal with the albacore tuna point,

1 please?

2	A. Yes, thank you, as best I can because I would not
3	claim expertise in this area. But it is correct that,
4	prior to Brexit, there was a separate small harvesters'
5	threshold, which was available to the Overseas Territories
6	as a whole with the threshold of up to 200 tons per annum,
7	which could be allocated amongst the Overseas Territories.
8	But that has changed because of Brexit and we now
9	have Metropolitan UK, including Scotland, Wales, Ireland
10	and England and the Overseas Territories, asand I think
11	the Crown dependencies as a single entity under the
12	convention, and so the UK receives a single UK pot as
13	opposed to what was previously a divided pot, and the UK is
14	responsible for determining the division of that pot.
15	And so, the aim of the Department for Environment,
16	Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) in the UK is to provide the
17	Overseas Territories and the different jurisdictions in the
18	UK with sufficient quantities of albacore quota this year,
19	based on the historic activity in this fishery and based on
20	their specific needs and capacities to use those quotas.
21	And because there's limited amount, not everybody may be
22	able to get all that they would wish.
1	And the proposal that was made to the Virgin
----	---
2	Islands was an allocation of 10 tons of albacore tuna as
3	the catch limit. And the belief based on all the evidence
4	available to DEFRA is that would be more than adequate to
5	meet any likely need in BVI. Indeed, BVI has reported
6	zero tons of northern albacore catches in recent years.
7	So, either BVI has not reported correctly its catch or
8	there is very limited need for albacore tuna and the belief
9	of DEFRA is that an initial amount of 10 tons will greatly
10	exceed recent catches. If of course, that catch should
11	rise in future years, then the possibility of a higher
12	allocation applies.
13	So, that was a proposal that was put to the
14	Territory here. No agreement was reached, no response was
15	being received in relation to that, and so ultimately
16	recently my office had to say, if we don't hear from you,
17	we'll assume it's acceptable.
18	And that was the position that we reached. And of
19	course, ultimately the consequencesorry, the reason this
20	has arisen is because it is for the UK for ensuring
21	compliance with the development of international
22	convention, we have to work within the allocations that

Γ

1	we've been given, and so we have to make sure that the
2	allocations which are given to the different jurisdictions
3	of the UK and the different Overseas Territories do not
4	exceed our quota and just need to give a realistic limit,
5	but my belief is that the allocation proposed of 10 tons is
6	more than adequate to meet any likely need in the BVI for
7	the coming year.
8	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, I think I've been going on
9	for a bit, and I think Mr. Kasdan could probably do with a
10	break so
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sure that's right.
12	Governor, we have to have breaks as you probably
13	have seen for the Stenographer after about an hour. We've
14	been going a very good hour, so we'll break now for five
15	minutes, Governor.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
17	(Recess.)
18	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, Mr Rawat.
19	MR RAWAT: Thank you.
20	BY MR RAWAT:
21	Q. Governor, I would like to now turn to another
22	criticism, and I think probably the best way of dealing

1	with that is at least initially to just summarise what the
2	criticism is, and indeed set it all out. It goes to the
3	fact that the responsibility that the Governor holds for
4	the Public Service, and it's this: The Governor is
5	constitutionally responsible for Public Service reform that
6	has neglected this responsibility, for example, (1) there
7	is a lack of human resources and structure in place to
8	allow policy development, (2) the current pay structures
9	are a significant cause of problems in recruiting to the
10	Public Service, and (3) record-keeping is a matter for the
11	Governor and is in a parlous state, (4) no proposal for the
12	desperately needed transformation of the Public Service was
13	forthcoming from successive Governors and Deputy Governors
14	until late 2017.
15	Now, that's the context, but just to take us back to the
16	Constitution, this is one of your responsibilities under
17	Section 60, is it not?
18	A. Certain aspects of Public Service are my
19	responsibility under Section 60, that's correct.
20	Q. That's fair enough.
21	I mean, what it says is the terms and conditions of
22	service of persons holding or acting in public offices

Г

1	
1	without prejudice to Section 92, and Section 92 takes us to
2	the role of the Public Service Commission in
3	appointingproviding advice to you as Governor when
4	appointing Public Officers.
5	Now, you say in your Position Statementand this is at
6	page 815 of the bundle.
7	A. 825?
8	Q. 825, please.
9	A. Thank you.
10	Q. Takes us back to paragraph 64, but you explain
11	there that the Public Service contains many dedicated and
12	well-qualified officials, and that they are or they fall
13	within your responsibility. So, please, first of all,
14	outline what you understand to be the responsibility of the
15	Governor under the 2007 Constitution for the Public
16	Service.
17	A. Thank you.
18	Well, strictly then, Mr Rawat, the position is as
19	set out in Section 60(1) on the terms and conditions of the
20	service of persons who were holding public office and then
21	the appointment form the recommendations of the Public
22	Service Commission, so that's what the Constitution says,

1	and then we have the provisions on the respective powers of
2	Ministers and Permanent Secretaries in relation to the
3	direction, control, and supervision of their Departments.
4	Q. Are you referring to Section 56?
5	A. Yes.
6	But beyond that, that being the Governor or Deputy
7	Governor's Office in particular has been seen as
8	responsible for the overall management of theof theof
9	the Public Service, and I certainly do not want to
10	dissociate myself with that view of the function of the
11	Deputy Governor's Office, which played a coordinating role
12	in the management of the Public Service, and there are
13	certain bits of the Public Service which fall directly
14	under what's called the "Governor's Group" from human
15	resources to Citizenship and Passport issue; and then, of
16	course, liaison with the different independent institutions
17	under the Constitution, which will be coordinate through
18	HR, for example, in the Governor's Group.
19	So, all of those responsibilities I fully accept;
20	and, in that respect, I think that thesome of the
21	correspondence I have seen that the sole responsibility is
22	in relation to terms and conditions of service doesn't

1 reflect the full picture. But that doesn't mean that sole 2 responsibility for the Public Service rests with the 3 Governor and the Deputy Governor, and that's for two 4 reasons:

One is because the Budget for the Public Service 5 comes from the Ministry of Finance and the elected 6 7 government and the Budget which is approved, so all the of Budget for pay and for training and offices and equipment 8 9 subject to what may be provided by the Governor's Office to 10 our individual programmes, that budget comes directly from the Government. I take it in the Direction and Control 11 12 Departments comes from Ministers under Section 56.

So, in terms of responsibility to the Public Service, I fully accept my responsibilities there, but need to be exercised in a way which is coordinated with the Government's responsibility for providing adequate budget for facilities, equipment, and pay and training of the Public Service, so it needs to be a modern shared partnership.

Q. If I just ask you just to turn up 119, please.
A. In the--

Q. In the bigger bundle.

22

i i	
1	A. In the bigger bundle.
2	Q. So this is bundle 1.
3	A. 119. Thank you.
4	Thank you. I may come back to the point you made,
5	Mr Rawat, about proposal on you said Public Service
6	transformation since 2017.
7	Q. I will give you an opportunity to deal with that,
8	Governor, but if I could just give you some context of the
9	question that I'm going to ask you because I'm taking you
10	back to the Position Statement from the Elected Ministers,
11	and if I draw your attention to paragraph 48, it refersit
12	begins by referring to the waythe difference between the
13	1976 Constitution and the 2007 Order because it makes the
14	point that responsibility for policy formulation in all but
15	reserved matters was conferred on the newly created
16	Cabinet, and then says yet, as the PAI Report shows, no
17	corresponding human resource systems and infrastructure
18	were put in place to make that task an effective reality,
19	despite the growing complexity and volume of the
20	Government's responsibilities.
21	Now, the PAI Report we will find at page 242 in the same
22	bundle. Can I, before returning back to paragraph 48, ask

1	you just about that. Is that a report of which you were
2	aware?
3	A. Yes. Indeed, not only am I aware for itof it,
4	but that Reportand this is part of the larger reportwas
5	actually commissioned and paid for by my office, so it was
6	the Governor's Office who paid for this Consultant to come
7	and identify where there were weaknesses and challenges in
8	the Public Service and make recommendations and how they
9	might be addressed, so that was commissioned as part of my
10	responsibilities towards Public Service.
11	Q. Now, given a project number there which ends in
12	2018, so was that when it was commissionedPAI were
13	commissioned to do the work?
14	A. I think so, Mr Rawat. I cannot swear to it, but I
15	think that's right.
16	Q. And you say it's part of a larger report. What was
17	the larger report?
18	A. Well, the PAI consultants worked on different
19	aspects of governance within the Territory of the Public
20	Service, and this was one which was then brought before the
21	Commission.
22	Q. I see.

1	So, it was commissioned by the Governor's Office in
2	the BVI to work on various aspects of the Public Service.
3	Did it produce one single report or a number of reports?
4	A. I think I have seen it in a number of different
5	reports, Mr Rawat, but I have reached that point, thank
6	you.
7	Q. And were those Reports then shared with the
8	Premier's Office or with other Ministries?
9	A. They had been shared with others, yes.
10	Q. I see.
11	But at the behest of the Governor's Office?
12	A. Quite a lot of this is before my time, Mr Rawat.
13	I believe so, but I would need to go back and look at the
14	papers in order to be able to absolutely confirm I didn't
15	want to mislead you with something I cannot, without
16	checking myself, confirm.
17	Q. But nonetheless, if we go back to paragraph 48, I
18	mean, it's used by the Elected Ministers to show that
19	essentially a lack of resources, as I've pointed out. If
20	you go over to 49, what the point is then made that you
21	have a void in policy development planning and
22	coordination, which there is no doubt a strong temptation

1	on the part of the Governor's Office and the FCDO to fill
2	by means that can appear to be coercive, that in turn leads
3	to further tension and distrust and debilitating effects on
4	the Public Service of which mention has been made.
5	If you look in the same document at paragraph 132, the
6	point is made there that in the Virgin Islands, the
7	Programme to design and introduce a modern framework for
8	the Public Service only commenced in October 2017, when in
9	the immediate aftermath of the hurricanes Cabinet was asked
10	to approve the launchand this is the Public Sector
11	Transformation Plan proposed by the Deputy Governor and
12	Director of human resources, and the FCDO gave financial
13	support for the recruitment of an external consultant to
14	help with its design. That must be a reference to the PAI?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. It appears that the Deputy Governor has received
17	little additional FCDO assistance in the implementation of
18	reform, yet these are matters effectiveness capacity and
19	management of the Public Service for which the
20	constitutional responsibility and right of the initiative
21	lies exclusively with the Governor and ultimately with the
22	UK Government.

1	Just again toI would just like to ask you to turn up
2	page 144, please.
3	This is the Supplementary Note that was submitted on
4	behalf of the Elected Ministers, and at paragraph 26 it
5	points yet again to a central problem with good governance
6	in the Virgin Islands which shows condition and chronic
7	neglect of the Public Service and its critical policy
8	development functions, for that neglects successive
9	governments and the UK Government which promised support
10	for the Public Service it has not appeared to have
11	delivered cannot be exempt from criticism.
12	So, I'm just trying in summary to set out some of the
13	points that have been made in support of an argument which
14	is that there has been chronic neglect, and chronic over
15	years with the effect that it undermines the ability of the
16	elected government to function because it means that policy
17	formulation is delayed. It's inadequate. It's
18	insufficient for the elected officials' needs, and that
19	consequence is Government cannot move at the speed that an
20	elected administration may want to move with, and they may
21	not be able to achieve the outcomes that they wished to
22	achieve.

ſ	
1	Now, I will give you an opportunity to respond to all of
2	that, but can we start with the General Orders.
3	Now, these governthese are the terms and conditions of
4	the Civil Service, are they not?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The information that's available to the
7	Commissioner is they have not been updated since 1982; is
8	that right?
9	A. I believe there has not been a comprehensive
10	update since then. You'll be aware that there are current
11	efforts to having a Public Service Management Code which
12	are sitting, I believe, the Attorney General's Chambers at
13	the moment, so there has been no comprehensive review since
14	then.
15	Q. When you say "comprehensive", are you suggesting
16	that there has been some updating?
17	A. I believe there has been some updating as Public
18	Service has changed, but I would need to check the point to
19	confirm it, Mr Rawat.
20	Q. But the fact that you havethat civilPublic
21	Officers are working to a set of orders that were or at
22	least that have the date 1982 on them, isn't that itself

Page | 193

1	indicative of years of neglect?
2	A. I'm not sure it necessarily is because that Code
3	is based on central requirements of integrity and honesty
4	and impartiality, and those are central to any good Public
5	Service. They're reflected in the Code of the Public
6	Service here, and they should always be reflected in that
7	Code, so I think those central tenets remain valid. But
8	that's not to say there can't be some useful updating.
9	But, first of all, I recognize there are challenges
10	in the BVI Public Service. It's perhaps not unheard of for
11	Elected Ministers to complain about their Public Service,
12	and I myself have been a Public Servant in the UK for many
13	years and watched these things happening. But I don't
14	bring perfection on the part of the BVI Public Service.
15	There are many fine first-class Public Servants would match
16	what would be available in the UK and elsewhere, but there
17	are areas which require development. And, indeed, the PAI
18	paper which we referred to was paid for by my office
19	specifically to help identify some of those concerns.
20	But to suggest that there has been no attempt at
21	Public Service transformation until 2017 is inaccurate,
22	with respect, and I have submitted to the Commission in my

1	papers a table provided to me by the Deputy Governor's
2	Office which proposed it sets out various initiatives which
3	have been taken since 1999, i.e. before the current
4	Constitution, to the present time to develop the Public
5	Service, the Public Service Development Programme which
6	indeed was launched in 1999; HR reform in 2000;
7	establishment of the Complaints Commission that looks at
8	maladministration within the Public Service in 2003; the
9	fiscal management legislation which developed in 2013; and
10	since 2013 also worked on improving service deliverance by
11	the Public Service.
12	So, the current Public Service Transformation Paper
12 13	So, the current Public Service Transformation Paper is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past.
13	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past.
13 14	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the
13 14 15	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the Public Service I do not see, so it's a continuous journey
13 14 15 16	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the Public Service I do not see, so it's a continuous journey to use that analogy to again get the best performance that
13 14 15 16 17	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the Public Service I do not see, so it's a continuous journey to use that analogy to again get the best performance that we can.
13 14 15 16 17 18	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the Public Service I do not see, so it's a continuous journey to use that analogy to again get the best performance that we can. Public Service Transformation Paper itself, it's
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is a continuum of what has been attempted in the past. Now, illustrating to me is like all this to impart the Public Service I do not see, so it's a continuous journey to use that analogy to again get the best performance that we can. Public Service Transformation Paper itself, it's been a bit held up by what in my view has not been an

Г

1 transformation, and on the other hand we have current 2 correspondence that he said he should be responsible for 3 this, and other correspondence saying actually all of this 4 should lie at the door of the Governor's Office. The 5 answer needs to be a shared approach.

And I'm glad to say that my predecessor wrote to 6 7 the Premier proposing a shared approach and taking forward Public Service transformation, and that has now been 8 9 agreed, and the paper will shortly come to Cabinet, which I 10 hope can make further progress in particular areas of good governance, including establishing the Policy and Planning 11 Unit within the Premier's Office, digital transformation of 12 13 the Government, customer service improvement and improvements in public administration and human resources 14 management, so I hope we could make progress in that area, 15 16 but it is incorrect to suggest that work in this area only started in 2017. 17

Q. But I will come back to the Public Service Transformation Programme, but the--just in terms of funding this process, though, you say that it hasn't been--or it's been ongoing since 2017. What efforts have been made to support the Public Service been funded?

1	A. Thank you.
2	Well, the UK Government has funded training in
3	various areas for different elements within the Public
4	Service. The total fundingand this is beyond the Irma
5	response funding just under 13 million to which I referred
6	to earlier, Mr Rawat, which the British Government has
7	spent since 2018, the start of 2018, on numerous contracts
8	within the Territory, is £14.28 million, and the projected
9	spend for this financial year of £17.656 million, and not
10	all of that is by Public Service.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Are those pounds or
12	dollars, Governor?
13	THE WITNESS: Pounds. I'm sorry.
14	£14.218 million spent so far since 2018, and the
15	forecast of £17.76 million.
16	Now, that has been on various items, some of them,
17	for example, environmental projects to solar par, tackling
18	stony choral, tissue-loss disease, providing assistance in
19	maritime law enforcement, but some elements of that money
20	has been specifically related to Public Service
21	capacity-building and training. And although it's
22	difficult to disaggregate these figures and define what is

1	F
1	precisely training and what is wider work for the Public
2	Service, I estimate specifically related to
3	capacity-building and training a figure of
4	£2.14 million would be attributable to that.
5	BY MR RAWAT:
6	Q. Over what period?
7	A. Since the beginning of 2018.
8	With a variety of different programmes, but these
9	involve, for example, Public Finance Management training.
10	It involved IT information and management kit provision,
11	work on International Health Regulations, work on child
12	exploitation online protection, work for disaster
13	management capacity-building, all elements of Public
14	Service responsibility.
15	Now, if I may, with respect, contrast that service
16	and support from the UK Government with the training
17	budgets for the Public Service which had been provided by
18	the BVI Government. Since 2016, there has been a steady
19	decline in the budgetary provision for training of the
20	Public Service.
21	In 2016, \$138,000 was provided for local training
22	of the Public Service. \$138,000. And then there was

1	
1	additional money for scholarships.
2	In 2021, the provision in the BVI budget for
3	training of Public Servants is \$25,000. \$25,000 for almost
4	3,000 officials. And with respect, I would suggest that is
5	an inadequate sum, and that is why I say that while I
6	accept my responsibilities for Public Service and I want to
7	do all I can to assist the Public Service in providing what
8	we all need and what Ministers need and the public need, it
9	requires more financial investment from the Government as
10	well as whatever assistance the UK can provide.
11	Q. How many Public Officers were there in 2016, then?
12	A. I suspect it wasI suspect it was slightly larger
13	than it is now because it was high emphasis put on
14	positions left vacant, but I don't know the exact number,
15	Mr Rawat, but I suspect it was slightly larger.
16	Q. Just before we leave the Public Service
17	transformation, and you referred to correspondence from the
18	Premier, if you take the larger bundle and go to page 446.
19	A. Certainly.
20	Q. I think this was what may have been, if I suggest,
21	you were referring to perhaps a delay in progressing the
22	Public Service Transformation Plan. It's a letter dated

1	16th of October 2020 from The Honorable Premier to the
2	Governor, and I'm going to let you speak to it, but what
3	the point is made is that whilst the human resource
4	functions of the Governor's Group do form part of the
5	Public Service transformation agenda, the vast majority of
6	the exercise pertains to the reform of the practices,
7	processes, and procedures to enhancing the administrative
8	functions within the various Ministries to improve the
9	effectiveness of the delivery of services by the Ministries
10	to their clients, the public, in accordance with the
11	national vision. Ministers report to the Premier. The
12	national vision is the remit of the elected Government,
13	which is determined and executed by the Premier and his
14	Ministers, and the Premier that appoints to again the
15	Constitution. And hence any programme for the execution of
16	the Government's agenda that related to the Administration,
17	therefore, lies clearly with the Premier as the head of the
18	elected Government.
19	So, as I understand the point you're making is the
20	Premier's view was that Public Service Transformation Plan
21	should sit under his Ministry?
22	A. That's correct, yes.

Γ

1	Q. And not under the Governor's Group because your
2	goal was human resources, not the sort of day-to-day
3	dealing of Public Service delivery.
4	A. That's correct. And specifically terms and
5	conditions to the Public Service under the correspondence.
6	Q. So, the Premier took a narrower view of Section 60
7	than perhaps the then-Governor did. Would that be a fair
8	characterization?
9	A. That's correct, yes.
10	Q. And what he points out in the letter is that there
11	are areas that based on the current allocation of
12	ministerial responsibility there are areas within the
13	Public Sector Transformation Plan that are inadvertently
14	listed for execution by the Governor's Group and which need
15	to be restored under the Ministry where they substantially
16	reside. These include matters pertaining to the BVI
17	Tourist Board, which is a portfolio under the Premier's
18	Office; the public Eye programme, which is a television
19	product established under the Premier's Office where GIS is
20	a programme.
21	And he then refers to the Archives and Record Management
22	Act is another area that is inadvertently being referred to

1	as the responsibility of Governor's Group, which ought
2	probably to reside with the Government Ministries, namely
3	the Premier's Office. Archives and records management is
4	indelibly linked to the cultural identities of the people
5	of the Virgin Islands which is the essence of the Virgin
6	Islander, encompasses the political advancement, economic
7	empowerment and historical and economic connection to the
8	British Virgin Islands and its people, not the Governor's
9	Group.
10	But this is not the Premier wanting to take over the
11	Transformation Plan for take-over stake, is it? It's the
12	Premier approaching this on the basis that there are
13	functions that more properly sit under the Ministries and
14	not underneath the Governor's Group. Would you accept
15	that?
16	A. Thank you.
17	I think it partly depends on what the Public
18	Service Transformation Programme encapsulates, but the
19	broad programme would indeed involve such responsibilities
20	of Ministers as well as responsibilities of the Deputy
21	Governor's Group, which I think a shared approach is a
22	sensible way forward.

1	Q. If we turn to the smaller bundle to page 180
2	A. Smaller bundle
3	(Overlapping speakers.)
4	Q. Yes.
5	I just want you just to clarify this for the
6	Commissioner, but this is a further letter from the Premier
7	to your predecessor, 19th of November 2020, and this is
8	again on Public Service transformation. The Premier makes
9	the point that his Government and himself are also very
10	much eager to proceed with Public Service Transformation
11	Plan, but he refers to the Constitution which he says is
12	clear on how the responsibilities of Government are divided
13	between the Governor's Group and elected Virgin Islanders'
14	Government. As such, my position on the administration of
15	the Public Service Transformation Plan is not a matter of
16	personal preference but one with compliance for the
17	Constitution, and makes the point that we must always
18	strive not to find ourselves setting dangerous precedents
19	through circumventing of the Constitution.
20	What the letter then goes on to say is the Constitution
21	is clear that the remit of the Governors only for matters
22	within the scope of Section 61 with respect to the Public

1	Service is confined to the terms and conditions of service
2	of persons holding or acting Public Officers. Section
3	47(3) clarifies all matters outside of the scope of
4	Section 61 of the remit of the Government and outside the
5	responsibility of the Governor and Governor's Group.
6	And then at the bottom, what the Premier's letter
7	addresses is the leadership partnership model proposed by
8	the Deputy Governor, which the Premier says is inconsistent
9	with the written word of the Constitution but with deeply
10	offend the basis on which the Constitution was framed
11	because what's advocated is an arrangement where the Deputy
12	Governor is Chair and the Permanent Secretary in the
13	Premier's Office is Deputy Chair, and the Premier makes the
14	point that that is not a leadership partnership because it
15	is in an arrangement that in effect puts the Governor's
16	Office in charge of responsibilities that is outside of the
17	Governor's constitutional scope and above the Premier's
18	Office, not on equal footing.
19	So, I will summarise it again, but there is a clear
20	distinction drawn by the Premier between what your role in
21	terms of terms and conditions should be as a Governor and
22	other functions of the Public Service which more properly

rest with the elected Government, and that then informs his 1 2 view that if there is a leadership partnership model it should not be chaired by a representative of the Governor's 3 4 Group. So, first question is: What is the present status of 5 the leadership partnership model? 6 7 Α. The present status of the leadership partnership model is that the paper, which will go to Cabinet to which 8 9 I believe the Premier referred in his evidence the other 10 day, and I think could probably refer to it, in joint names of myself and the Premier and the Deputy Premier and the 11 12 Permanent Secretary in his office will have shared 13 responsibilities in this area with respect to each other's 14 responsibilities on a day-to-day basis. To go back to your early point on terms and 15 conditions of service, if the suggestion is that the 16 Governor is solely responsible for what grade an individual 17 is at and what they're paid for their job, and I think 18 19 that's to narrow an understanding of terms and conditions of service, the Governor is responsible within those terms 20 and conditions to ensuring that we have an independent 21 22 professional well-qualified Public Service with

1 developmental opportunities for Public Servants, with 2 training for Public Servants, with good performance paid 3 for Public Servants and procedures for dealing with those 4 who are not performing so well.

But all of that requires in terms of the training 5 and pay and the equipment and IT with which Public Servants 6 7 work and need to do their jobs, requires an adequate budget being provided for that, and in the training area to which 8 9 I have drawn attention to, I think it's been a real back 10 there, so we need to work together, and the Deputy Governor--sorry, my predecessor proposed to the Premier 11 that partnership approach. 12

And despite that correspondence we see here, I hope we have now found a practical way forward because the interests neither of myself as Governor nor the interests of Government not to move on in this area, so we need to move forward, and not to lay further over this sort of argument.

Q. Just to delay you a little bit longer, one view of that correspondence is that the view of the Premier was that you aren't restricted to dealing with terms and conditions. Your responsibility is the contractual

1	arrangement, if nothing else, but you're saying actually
2	the role of Governor is wider than that. It's about
3	producing a professional independent Public Service.
4	A. Correct. And I need to work in partnership with
5	the elected Government to achieve that goal.
6	Q. But that then takes us back to the point, because
7	if that isif that is the purpose of what Governors do,
8	how do you answer the charge that for years the Public
9	Service has been neglected by Governors and neglected by
10	the FCDO and neglected by the UK?
11	A. I don't think that's correct. You will see I
12	referred to the programme since 1999 attempting to improve
13	in various areas of working for the Public Service and what
14	they delivered to the country, and I have given you the
15	figures since 2018 which the UK Government has provided in
16	those areas.
17	Now, if the suggestion is there is more that can be
18	done, well, there could always be more that could be done
19	in this area, but it needs to be done by the elected
20	Government here as well as by the Governor's Office to
21	achieve what we have to achieve so a modern narthership

21 achieve what we hope to achieve, so a modern partnership22 approach is required.

1	
1	And I think to lay all blame at the foot of the
2	Governor's Office is simply not a proper reflection of the
3	position.
4	Let me also make one further point, if I may, that,
5	in terms of the ability of Ministers to develop policy,
6	first of all, it's the responsibility of Ministers to give
7	policy direction under the Constitution, but I recognised
8	they need Public Servants to assist them in that respect.
9	And there had been failings in Public Service and
10	improvements can be made, then I'm happy to try to work to
11	address those failings.
12	But any inadequacies which may be considered to
13	apply within the Public Service do not excuse failures or
14	failures to obey the law in matters which are clearly the
15	responsibility of Ministers, and that is the responsibility
16	of Ministers rather than the responsibility of the Public
17	Service.
18	Q. Just turn up page 913, please, in the larger
19	bundle.
20	A. 913 in the large bundle.
21	Q. Yes.
22	A. Thank you.

1	Sorry, give me just a moment, Mr Rawat.
2	Q. Of course.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. I just want to ask if this is part of the Response
5	of the Elected Ministers to your Position Statement, in
6	that paragraph 24 the point is made that, first, the FCDO
7	has consistently pressed the Government to reduce the size,
8	growth, operating costs and wages billed to the Public
9	Service. No doubt because of that pressure, an external
10	recruitment freeze in the Public Service was proposed to
11	the Cabinet by the then-Governor in 2010, which was
12	implemented until 2012, and the further freeze on external
13	recruitment was proposed to Cabinet by the former Governor
14	in the Cabinet Paper dated 28th of May 2018 and
15	subsequently adopted. Annual performance increments have
16	not been paid since 2016-'17.
17	I will come to the paper that your predecessor presented
18	in a moment, but the point is made at 25 that these
19	policies have led to serious difficulties within the Public
20	Service, and Minister consider a different and more
21	balanced approach is necessary, and that the point is made
22	that the Elected Ministers have approved the payment of

1	annual performance increments for the Year 2016-'17 and
2	2017-'18.
3	Breaking that down a little, firstly the FCDO has
4	consistently pressed the Government in terms of the size of
5	the Public Service because that is, I would suggest to you,
6	a very serious point, Governor, because it is notit's a
7	clear example, is it not, of FCDO overreach?
8	A. I think it's perfectly legitimate for the FCDO to
9	press the Government in this area, but the decision lay
10	with Government.
11	Q. But on what basis is it legitimate for a Ministry
12	of another Government to come to the BVI and say your
13	Public Service is too big?
14	A. Because the FCDO has the responsibility for the
15	good governance of the Territory, and has potential
16	contingent liability for the economy of the Territory. And
17	if the view was that we had a Public Service which was
18	larger than was required for the Territory, I think it was
19	legitimate for the FCDO to express that view. The word
20	"crest" is a word that's used by the Government. I don't
21	know what was happening back in 2010, but I can see nothing
22	to suggest that FCDO ought to attempt to enforce this from

1	the Government, the past Government.
2	Q. So, your point is that whatever the FCDO said it
З	would ultimately be the decision of the Government of the
4	day?
5	-
	A. Well, to some extent that's correct because to
6	some extent it depends on the budget which was then by the
7	Public Service.
8	Q. I mean, you may not know the circumstances in which
9	this is said to have arisen because you would not have been
10	here, but have you independently come across information
11	that the FCDO consistently press the Government to reduce
12	the size of the Public Service?
13	A. No, I have not.
14	Q. Were you aware of the external recruitment freeze
15	proposed in 2010?
16	A. Yes, I have seen papers to that effect. This, of
17	course, was a consequence which happened public services
18	all over the world in the 2008 world economic crisis and
19	the austerity measures which were required, and so there
20	was a hiring freeze put on external recruitment.
21	Q. If you take up the second bundle, which we haven't
22	looked at yet, but we will find the paper that is cited

i	
1	there of May 2018.
2	A. What page, sir?
3	Q. Page 528.
4	This is a memorandum dated the 28th of May 2018.
5	A. Thank you.
6	Q. From the then-Governor, and it's seeking this
7	decision. I'm just going to read the first one, but decide
8	to cease non-essential external hiring, and then proposes
9	other measures in relation to filling posts within the
10	Public Service.
11	But it then sets out in detail background information
12	referring, of course, to March 2010 a paper called "Growth
13	in the Public Service", which was precipitated by a rise in
14	expenditures such as personal emoluments and the costs of
15	pensions. And it says it was recognized that controlling
16	growth, size, and operating costs of the Public Service was
17	of critical importance and if not addressed could result in
18	the inability of government to develop the public
19	infrastructure of the Territory, pay operational expenses,
20	and eventually pay its employees. During the hiring
21	freeze, there was stability in the cost of personal
22	emoluments. However, the freeze was lifted in 2012 and

1	employment costing climbed. In 2017, Cabinet considered
2	the Cabinet Paper Memo entitled "Reintroduction of the
3	External Hiring Freeze", which was followed by Cabinet
4	Information Paper entitled "Public Sector Reform Cost of
5	Public Service 2009-2016". Cost of the Public Service
6	remains critical following the impact of the hurricanes on
7	the Territory's economy. It's therefore recommended that
8	voluntary measures are taken to cease non-essential hiring
9	in the service and to stabilising employment costs to
10	redirect savings to the areas of highest priority, and to
11	avoid payless paydays.
12	And I can ask your predecessor about this, but just in
13	relation to this, why is it the role of the Governor to
14	bring matters like this to Cabinet?
15	A. To the extent, first of all, that these issues may
16	affect the day-to-day work of Public Servants or their pay
17	under the terms and conditions of their contracts, I
18	believe that's the responsibility of the Premier and, of
19	course, the wider review that the Public Service falls
20	under the Deputy Governor and Governor in their work, and
21	that has been their view in the matter and which I suspect
22	and maintain.

1	But it's also clear from this paper which it would
2	require agreement of the Cabinet as a whole because of the
3	number of decisions proposed in it would require agreement
4	from Finance in terms of financial allocations, and that's
5	not untypical that a paper coming from the Governor's Group
6	would also require commitment from others within Cabinet to
7	certainly be an effective policy.
8	Q. So, is your position that ultimately the size of
9	the Public Service, whether there is a recruitment freeze,
10	whether whatever steps are taken lies with elected
11	Government?
12	A. No, I think the Budget available for the Public
13	Service lies with the elected government. Within that, I
14	think policies in relation to hiring, for example, are
15	ideally decided on the partnership approach. Somehow our
16	decisions will be specifically for the Governor alone to
17	make, others I think should be made in consultation with
18	the relevant Minister.
19	Q. Would your Permanent Secretary be an example of one
20	that should be made in consultation with a relevant
21	Minister?
22	A. The appointment is ultimately for the Governor

Г

1	with the recommendation to the Public Service Commission,
2	but it would be normal for there to be some degree of
3	consultation with the Minister on such appointments.
4	Q. Taking you slightly off tangent but going back to
5	56, the two key figures in the Ministry are the Permanent
6	Secretary and the Minister. What support is there
7	presently in place for Permanent Secretaries and other
8	Public Officers so that they can maintain their
9	independence?
10	A. The Civil Service Code, which sets out the
11	criteria by which they should operate; the support which
12	the Deputy Governor can give to them if they have a concern
13	that there is unduly appropriate influence of interference
14	in their work, and of course the ability of the Deputy
15	Governor referring that matter to myself as Governor, so
16	that's theultimately where the power of protection would
17	lie.
18	It's quite difficult because I think that, under
19	the 2007 Constitution, is what I have been told by Public
20	Servants, that division of responsibilities has become
21	somewhat blurred, and that word "control" under Section 56
2.2	has been used in vaus which has made it difficult for

22 has been used in ways which has made it difficult for

1	
1	Public Servants to know where theywhere they stand.
2	Now, Mr Rawat and Commissioner, if I may say so, I
3	emphatically need await the outcome of your findings and
4	recommendations you may make, and emphatically I do not
5	wish to pre-judge them in any way, but I think there has
6	been a difficulty there for Public Servants, and I hope we
7	can find a constructive way forward in supporting Permanent
8	Secretaries in being able to give that independent
9	professional advice. I speak truth to power, including no
10	doubt to Governors, when required.
11	Q. Taking you back, Governor, to 913, please, can I
12	just ask you to look at paragraph 26.
13	Now, this is a response to your Position Statement.
14	What's said at paragraph 26 is there are no particulars
15	given to any occasion on which a request to provide
16	financial support for serious credible and coherent plan
17	for transformative change in the Public Service has been
18	declined by the existing or any previous Cabinet.
19	Do you know of any instances where there has been such a
20	refusal?
21	A. Well, I do know that there has been an attempt to
22	move forward with the Public Service Transformation Plan

i	
1	since 2017, and clearly there have been challenges in
2	getting that agreed, but I cannot speak to a period before
3	then.
4	I think there had been serious proposals in how to
5	tackle records management issues which have not been given
6	funding which they should have been given.
7	Q. Could you elaborate on that a little more, please.
8	A. Sure.
9	Because one of the statements had been made by the
10	Government is that records management and records are in a
11	parlous state. I think there are challenges in records as,
12	indeed, there may be in archives which I know is the
13	responsibility of the elected Government rather than the
14	Governor's Group, a distinction has been made between
15	archives and records.
16	But funding requests have been made to address the
17	public records challenge and been put to Cabinet since
18	2010, but have been pretty consistently refused.
19	So, in 2010, a paper was proposed with a plan for
20	enterpriseelectronic records management in Government,
21	the Cabinet noted recommendations but said it needed to be
22	put on hold until the financial situation allowed. A draft
1	Cabinet Paper was sent in February 2011 to advance
----	---
2	reconstruction of the Virgin Islands Archive Depository and
3	Records Center Building, but funding was not advanced.
4	Similarly, there was a paper in May 2011 where
5	Virgin Islands Archives and Repository Records Building
6	architectural design funding was not advanced. A
7	computerized records management improvement project was
8	proposed in 2016 to Cabinet but was not funded. And in
9	2017 and 2018, there were proposals again for the
10	architectural design for the Archives Repository and
11	Records Center, and for Archives and Repository Building
12	were not returned.
13	Now, I recognize the funding concerns, and I
14	recognize that not all money can be provided for every
15	project, but there is an example of where the Deputy
16	Governor's Office has been trying to take forward work and
17	improving records management, which for one reason or
18	another the Cabinet of the day has declined to provide.
19	Q. Just
20	A. So, that's why we need a partnership approach. It
21	needs to be the Governor's Group, the Deputy Governors and

22 Government to provide the money working together to tackle

1	some of these issues.
2	Q. You say Government to tackle the money. Does it
3	follow that youthe emphasis should be on the BVI
4	Government funding rather than the UK Government funding?
5	A. Well, in fact, the UK Government has funded some
6	assistance in this area, and indeed have done so very
7	recently. Just give me one moment, please, I can refer to
8	this in my paper.
9	(Pause.)
10	A. It will be one moment, please, Mr Rawat.
11	(Pause.)
12	A. But the capacity-building programme which has just
13	been agreed 16th of September with UK funding to tune of
14	\$147,554 will support, amongst other things, training in IT
15	which can assist in records management. It's also
16	providing training in other areas and human resources,
17	electoral processes, and support for software development
18	in the DPP's office in the Estates Programme.
19	So, support has been provided in this area, but
20	further support is required.
21	Q. I mean, the point I'm trying to make is that, if
22	the Commissioner accepts the submission that there has been

1	years of neglect, then isn't it incumbent on the UK and on
2	the FCDO to do more? That, you know, if you take the point
3	that you're not just there for terms and conditions but the
4	purpose of the Governor's role behind which stands the FCDO
5	behind which stands the UK Government, that role is to
6	support, develop a Public Service that's fit for the 21st
7	century, it's not good enough, is it, to say, well, you
8	know, at some point the local governments are going to have
9	to front up and put some more money into it. What should
10	be said is that, you know, we haven't done enough for many
11	years, and now is the time to actually step in and do more
12	and do much, much more.
13	A. I think it's a balance to be struck here.

14 This is a large self-governing territory with a financially devolved area, and this is a territory which 15 16 while it faces the challenges of the global economic downturn in terms of Hurricane Irma, has economy which 17 overall has managed to stay within sound ratios, and 18 19 self-governance means many funding decisions are for the 20 BVI Government to make. The UK has stepped in and provided very substantial assistance, as I demonstrated in my 21 22 evidence to the Commission, in relation to both the

Page | 220

	F
1	Hurricane Irma response and in relation to COVID-19, and I
2	could give you more details on the COVID-19 costs if you
3	wish as well as the projects and training programmes which
4	I have outlined if your question is could the UK do more?
5	Yes, more could always be done, but the primary budgetary
6	responsibility lies with the BVI Government, I believe.
7	Q. The other aspect over which you exercised primary
8	control is the Police. Can you, for the Commissioner just
9	outline the ambit of your responsibilities as Governor over
10	the Police.
11	A. Yes. I'm responsible for appointing the senior
12	ranks within the Police Service on the recommendation of
13	the Police Service Commission. The Commissioner of Police
14	reports to me, although day-to-day operation decisions are
15	for him to make and for him to make alone.
16	And then the National Security Council, which is
17	covered by Section 57 of the Constitution, receives from
18	the Commissioner of Police regular briefings on matters of
19	internal security, including the Police Force.
20	And, of course, my wider responsibility for
21	security on the Territory includes responsibility for
22	tackling crime, tackling organized crime or any other

1	threat to the security of the Territory working with the
2	Royal Virgin Islands Police Force.
3	Q. If you turn up, please, Governor, page 196 in the
4	first bundle, that's bundle 1. If I draw your attention to
5	paragraph 45, this is again returning to the Response of
6	the Elected Ministers to your own Position Statement, that
7	attention is drawn to what you say there about the
8	challenges facing the role the Virgin Islands Police Force
9	and the DPP in terms of recruitment, vacant slots and
10	training. I will come back to the DPP in a moment. You
11	quoted the outgoing Commissioner of Police in his 2020
12	report noted that the Royal Virgin Islands Police Force's
13	regularly allocated budget falls far below the reasonable
14	costs required to maintain competencies and highly skilled
15	requirements and demands in investigating crime and
16	bringing offenders to justice. The Royal Virgin Islands
17	Police Force currently has 67 roles unfilled, approximately
18	one fifth of the total workforce, funding for vital repairs
19	and maintenance of Police vessels is obviously needed.
20	You then speak to the independence of the DPP and the
21	Commissioner of Police being important, strengthened system
22	of good governance, but that their effective functioning

ſ

depended on adequate financial resources been provided for
their work, which decision lies with the Ministry of
Finance and Cabinet subject to due powering the Governor
under Section 103 of the Constitution and ordering payments
out of the consolidated funds.

And this is the point I want to draw attention to 6 7 because what is said is Ministers are unaware of any requests by the outgoing Commissioner of Police for 8 9 additional resources for recruitment that they have 10 declined. Indeed, during the last budgetary discussions the outgoing Commissioner of Police was specifically asked 11 12 what was need to finance the Police, and his wishes were 13 followed.

14 So, the issue is taken with the suggestion that where 15 funding has been requested for proper expenditure, it has 16 not been refused, but you seem to be saying the opposite. 17 What do you base your position on?

A. It has to do with the way the Budget and release of the Budget works, Mr Rawat, so the Budget is allocated in the Police Force, and that budget may be sufficient to cover the complement of total slots which exists within the Police Force.

1	But when the slot becomes vacant because someone
2	retires or resigns, it is not under the current
3	arrangements within the power of the Police Commissioner
4	himself to go ahead and advertise that slot and have funds
5	released to him to pay for that slot. My
6	understandingand I think well-advised on thisis that
7	permission to go ahead and advertise those slots and have
8	the money released for those slots lies with the Ministry
9	of Finance.
10	A similar issue is seen in Governor Jaspert's
11	response to the Premier of 27th July 2020 identifying
12	vacancies not just in the Police but in the Magistracy and
13	the Attorney General's Chambers. And the Governor there
14	had to write to the Premier noting that the ability to go
15	out and recruit for the gaps which were existing had been
16	held up by the Ministry of Finance by them not giving the
17	requisite permissions.
18	So, in answer to your question, the Budget is
19	purely allocated under the Budget, but if the relevant
20	head, in this case the Police Commissioner, wishes to go
21	ahead and fill that slot, then permission is required from
22	the Ministry of Finance.

Page | 224

1	And my understanding is that permission isn't
2	always given, and former Commissioner Matthews has informed
3	me that last September he went to the Premier and Ministry
4	of Finance colleagues to discuss vacancies, and he was not
5	given permission to recruit all the specialist officers
6	which are required. He was givenhe was sanctioned to
7	recruit a small number of regulars and auxiliaries.
8	So, the current system does not in effect give the
9	Police Commissioner independence over that use of that
10	budget to fill the slots.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Governor, we've heard
12	evidence from other Public Officers in relation to this,
13	and I think this is what others have saidI think you've
14	said it too; this is to confirm what you saidyou get the
15	looked budget, and it's there. In a sense, it's paper
16	money.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But you've got that
19	budget.
20	But if you lose somebody for any reason and have to
21	get that place filled, you have to go back to the Ministry
22	of Finance.

Γ

1	
1	THE WITNESS: Correct.
2	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I think it were get
3	the money again under a different process.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And it's that,
6	rathereverybody wants more people anyway in the Budget,
7	but it's that that is the real issue, I think, according to
8	the Commissioner of Police has given evidence but also
9	other Public Officers who are in the same position and are
10	understaffed. They haven't got a full complement. And as
11	I understand it, it's not because they haven't got the
12	Budget; it's because they can't go out and get the people
13	when they need it.
14	THE WITNESS: I think that's a good description,
15	Commissioner. My understanding is this was developed in
16	the 10 months that I have been here as Governor, and I
17	thought first of the Budgets it's there, but it's not quite
18	the reality of how it operates. And I think again that's
19	something which certainly I as Governor would look forward
20	to seeing if we could find a solution through that.
21	And as it happens, what is happening is that the UK
22	Government has stepped in to provide some additional

1	Officers for the Police Commissioner.
2	BY MR RAWAT:
3	Q. When did the UK Government step in?
4	A. Has done it within the past calendar year.
5	And so we have helped to pay for some specialist
6	officers for inquiries, which Police Commissioner is
7	undertaking.
8	We have also provided funds in relation to maritime
9	capacity funding to improve the cruise bays and get some
10	vessels back in the waters so the police can come on to the
11	waters better, so the UK Government has provided funds for
12	that purpose.
13	\$530,000 in the last financial year, in this
14	financial year to date, additional Police and investigative
15	support.
16	Q. The point that is made, however, by the Elected
17	Ministers is that the issue is wider than this. And if you
18	look at their paragraph 48, what's said there is a
19	long-standing issue of recruitment in the Police Force for
20	many years, so that's not because of a lack of willingness
21	to allocate the necessary public expenditure. What the
22	Response says is they reside, and the established pay

1	structure is not keeping up with needed expectation, low
2	morale, a damaged reputation, and a lack of candidates
3	wishing to take up the role. There has also been a lack of
4	succession planning for a time and inevitable departures.
5	These matters lie under the superintendence of the
6	Governor. If money was the sole solution, successive
7	Governors had available to them the power under Section 103
8	of the Constitution to direct the expenditure needed.
9	Park 103 for a moment.
10	What the Elected Ministers' response go to is a much
11	more systemic issue, which is that the organisation of the
12	Royal Virgin Islands Police Force is something out of their
13	hands, but the way it has been managed again for year after
14	year after year has actually created the organisation that
15	exists today, which is one where you have recruitment
16	issues; where you have low morale; where you have, as they
17	say, a damaged reputation; and that lies at the feet of the
18	Governor.
19	A. Some of the responsibilities, of course, may lie
20	with the Governor and the Police Commissioner, but if it is
21	not a description I recognize as a whole, Police Force as a
22	whole who I believe have done some tremendous work since I

have been here, particularly in terms of crime investigation from the arrest of those responsible for murders to drug seizures, all of which point to some of the very good things that the Police do.

I think that some of the problems do also go back 5 to that budgetary point, so I understand from the Police 6 7 Commissioner, for example, which as well as the problem of getting permission to fill positions when someone is 8 9 promoted, say, from Constable to Sergeant, the additional 10 money for that promotion also needs to be referred back to 11 the Ministry of Finance for filling. If there is underpayment in that area--and I believe there is 12 13 underpayment in that area--that could obviously cause low morale as well. 14

15 So, again there is some shared responsibility involved in addressing those issues, but I don't accept 16 17 that overall description of the current Police Force, from all of my experience with it. There are clearly challenges 18 19 within the Police Force, and there have been examples of corruption, which both the immediate past Police 20 Commissioner and the current Commissioner have dealt with, 21 22 with in my support, but there are many fine Police Officers

1	who have good morale.
2	Q. But that leads to the next question, doesn't it,
3	Governor, because there are significant issues with acts of
4	the Police, are there not? It might be suggested to the
5	Commissioner that if he wants to find corruption and abuse
6	of office and other serious dishonesty, he should not be
7	looking toward Ministries but looking to the Royal Virgin
8	Islands Police Force.
9	A. And he is, and the Police Commissioner has taken
10	very determined action to both suspend Officers who may
11	have been involved in any wrongdoing, and in referring
12	those cases to public prosecutions for prosecutions to be
13	taken, so the current Police Commissioner has been very
14	firm indeed in that respect as was his predecessor in the
15	short time as I worked with him.
16	Q. But by "Commissioner", I was referring to the this
17	Commissioner.
18	A. Oh, I apologize. I'm sorry.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The answer is probably
20	still the same.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr Rawat, I misunderstood
22	the question. It's that point in the afternoon. I

1 apologize.

2	BY MR RAWAT:
3	Q. But the point isthe point is that thean
4	organisation that has had reputational issues, an
5	organisation that has recruitment issues, those point to
6	systemic issues that aren't just, you know, something that
7	arises over a matter of months but arise over a matter of
8	years. Being blunt, it also should come back to the
9	Governor, isn't it? It's the Governor that's responsible
10	for the Police, and so it's the Governor that should
11	takeaccept that those infrastructure difficulties in the
12	Police and even more demonstrations of criminality amongst
13	the ranks of the Police, the finger has to be pointed at
14	the Governor's Office, doesn't it?
15	A. I do accept that, Mr Rawat. I'm responsible for
16	security in the Territory. I take that responsibility very
17	seriously, and I don't shy away from it, and so together
18	with the Police Commissioner, I want to ensure a top-class
19	Police Force, which is carrying out its responsibilities to
20	the people of the Territory. And in that respect, I fully
21	support the action which this Commissioner and his
22	immediate predecessor have taken in rooting out any bad

1	
1	apples in the Police Service.
2	What I am saying is that I don't recognize that
3	characterization of the Police Force as being a wholly
4	accurate characterization, and secondly I think some those
5	issues where there have been challenges do relate to my
6	test which are beyond the Governor's control subject to the
7	Section 103 point because they relate to budgetary-related
8	issues of filling of slots and adequate pay, for example,
9	when staff are promoted. So, it's a shared responsibility,
10	but I do not shy away in any way from my overall
11	responsibility for security of the Territory.
12	Q. We heard from the current Attorney General, the
13	Commissioner has also heard from the Director of Public
14	Prosecutions about the challenges they face.
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. Those are both constitutional roles. We've spoken
17	about the responsibilities you have with the Police, the
18	responsibility you have for the Public Service.
19	To what extent do you have responsibility for the
20	Attorney General and the DPP?
21	A. They all fall within what's known as the
22	"Governor's Group", and I see, you know, rule of law is

1	fundamental to good governance, and so I see myself as
2	having responsibility for ensuring to make sure they have
3	resource they need to take forward their jobs, and both the
4	DPP and the Attorney General as well as the Police
5	Commissioner would raise with me concerns about their
6	staffing.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And they did here
8	before me, too, Governor.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But what's your
11	response to the Section 103 point? And that is that, okay,
12	the Elected Ministers are responsible for money and you're
13	not, but you have got a trump card in Section 103. What is
14	your response to that?
15	THE WITNESS: Well, if I may, Commissioner, just
16	tell you what I have done, and what I have done is to, as
17	you know, there is an overall review of composition of the
18	Public Service has been launched, but I, in agreement with
19	the Premier, agreed to a separate accelerated, if you will,
20	compensation for legal and court staff within the
21	Government because I was particularly concerned this went
22	to rule-of-law issues, so this is a separate accelerated

1	compensation of duty
2	Q. Was that something you initiated, Governor?
3	A. Yes, I had representations made to it. I put to
4	the Premier, and we agreed on it, so that was very much my
5	initiative. I had a particular concern there.
6	Secondly, where the recruitment processes are
7	taking place, and the recruitment system needs to go
8	through human resources and then to, for example, the
9	Judicial Legal Services Commission, shall I say I have
10	tried to hurry along the system while making sure proper
11	procedures are complied with.
12	But ultimately, Commissioner, if I may come to your
13	question, it is correct that, ultimately under Section 103
14	of the Constitution, I could order payments out of the
15	consolidated fundsa fund for in order to discharge my
16	responsibilities under Section 60 of the Constitution which
17	could apply to certainly Royal Virgin Islands Police Force
18	and all arguably could apply tosorry, not our arguably,
19	but could apply to the DPP, for example, in relation to
20	wider rule-of-law issues related to security. That was a
21	power that was exercised by Governor Duncan in 2017.
22	Hendry and Dixon in their book on Constitutional

1	Law of the Overseas Territories cite that example. That
2	power exists under the Constitutions of Bermuda and BVI,
3	and I think it's also registered within the powers of the
4	Turks and Caicos. It's not a constitutional power. I
5	didn't exercise that power in Bermuda, and I'm not aware
6	that it's been exercised ever by the Government of Bermuda
7	and I think 2007 here. It's only be exercised by Governor
8	Duncan, and Hendry and Dixon described it as a power of
9	last resort, and it is a power of last resort, and I do not
10	rule out that I could use it in the future, good uses in
11	the future, but at the moment as regards to the AG's Office
12	and the DPP's Office, I have taken the action I described.
13	And as regards the Police Force, you will have seen
14	the Police Commissioner's letter to the Premier of I think
15	I said in my further written evidence since the 11th of
16	October, in fact it's 7th of October 2021, the Police
17	Commissioner has written to the Premier in relation to
18	unfilled vacancies, and I hope the Premier and Minister of
19	Finance may be of assistance in that area.
20	Should that not happen and should these problems
21	continue, then I recognize in the extreme situations

22 Section 103 can be used.

1	
1	Q. Thank you.
2	A. But it was used by Governor Duncan in 2017. I
3	think it was not without some local controversy.
4	Q. But one final point, and I think you have addressed
5	levels of pay in the Public Service, and as I understand
6	it, there isyou sayand it is 5th of March Cabinet
7	accepted a paper from the Deputy Governor's Office to
8	review the pay structure of the Public Service which will
9	commence shortly, and that is separate from the pay review
10	in relation to the
11	A. That's correct. I thought it was aI'm not
12	saying there were not particular problems in the other bits
13	of Public Service because of my responsibility for rule of
14	law and for the courts. I thought that was an absolute
15	priority to be addressed. I heard the representations from
16	the Attorney General and the DPP and, therefore, took an
17	initiative the Premier agreed, and that's moving forward
18	with the Deputy Governor's Office taking forward, that
19	accelerated review.
20	Q. Before I move on, Governor, we have been looking at
21	issues around your responsibility for the Public Service
22	and overall which we obviously moved into the area of

1	responsibility of the Police, but is there any matter in
2	your Written Response that you want to draw the
3	Commissioner's attention to?
4	A. I would simply say, as I said in paragraph 4.10 of
5	my response to the potential criticisms that UK Government
6	funds can be accessed to support elements of the
7	Transformation Programme going forward, particularly those
8	related to good governance, so I hope very much my office
9	can support further work in those areas beyond what is
10	already been agreed in the MOU which was signed on 6th of
11	September 2021, and beyond the support that has been given
12	of which I have given evidence since 2018.
13	REALTIME STENOGRAPHER: Can we take a short break,
14	please?
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Certainly, Mr Kasdan.
16	Certainly it's due, Mr Kasdan.
17	How long would it be?
18	THE WITNESS: Not very much longer. Once we resume
19	after the break, I should be done very shortly.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. Thank you.
21	Mr Rawat.
22	We will have another five-minute break, Governor.

1	
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
2	(Recess.)
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat, we're ready
4	to proceed. Thank you.
5	MR RAWAT: Thank you.
6	BY MR RAWAT:
7	Q. Governor, can I move away from governance and just
8	ask you some questions about some discrete matters. Could
9	I ask you, please, just to take up what should be the
10	Part 2 of the Belongership Bundle, which should be on your
11	right, and turn to page 1270.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I have taken you to a memorandum dated the 13th of
14	May 2021 from the Ministry of Natural Resources, Labour and
15	Immigration, and it's headed "Applications for Certificates
16	of Residence status January-March-April 2021". It is
17	dealing with applications which are done under the standard
18	procedure. We've heard of the fast-track programme that
19	was initiated in 2019. But this is one where the Board of
20	Immigration is still in play. We know from evidence that
21	the Board makes recommendations. It does not make
22	decisions, and all of the applications will end up before

1	Cabinet. Some of the Board will have recommended, and some
2	of the Board will not.
3	And if we look at page 1271, please, and it's been
4	redacted, but I'd ask you to take care not to mention names
5	or any details, including the offense, if you know it, but
6	what's said is an applicant was not recommended. The Board
7	recognized that although that person had resided in that
8	Territory for over 20 years, criminal record exists, and
9	the offenses are set out.
10	And then it said, as stipulated in Section 18(1)(a), a
11	person receiving Certificate of Residence should be of good
12	character and, therefore, these persons could not hold any
13	criminal record within the Territory, and then what's
14	attached iswhat's attached is the details in particular
15	of the Police Record of the individual concerned.
16	If you look at 1272, under "Legal Obligation", and this
17	is the input from the Attorney General's Chambers, they say
18	they have reviewed the paper and discerned no adverse legal
19	implications which would arise if Cabinet is minded to
20	decide in accordance with the decision sought.
21	Now, we don't have the minutes of Cabinet, but what we
22	do have is the decision that was made, and we see that at

1	page 1288, so it's the same memorandum number. And so it
2	records that Cabinet grants residence to 188 persons, but
3	if you turn through, Governor
4	A. Sorry, Mr Rawat, with respect, I think you do have
5	minutes of the Cabinet Meeting. It was in the papers I
6	submitted.
7	Q. I see.
8	A. Sorry, it may not be pertinent to what you were
9	going to say, but I just wanted to put that in the record.
10	Q. That's helpful. I'll sure look at that.
11	Let's do it in stages. We trust with these papers and
12	maybe we could deal with it like that, but what you have in
13	terms of this decisionand it starts at 1288, that's the
14	record of the decision itself.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And going to 1298, what Cabinet decided to do was
17	not to accept the recommendation of the Board of
18	Immigration, which that recommendation being the
19	Certificate of Residence should not be given to this
20	individual because they were not of good character as
21	required by the section of the Immigration and Passport
22	Act, and so Cabinet decided that they should have a

1	Certificate of Residence.
2	Now, presumably you were the personyou chaired that
3	meeting, did you?
4	A. I did, yes.
5	Q. And what was your position in relation to that
6	meeting and in relation to that particular application?
7	A. Thank you.
8	Well, the Cabinet Paper which the Attorney General
9	has gone is a waiver for submission to the Commission
10	records my position. He obviously accepted to the tune of
11	redactions in the Cabinet Paper, but I was appalled by the
12	decision that was reached. The Board of Immigration had
13	made a clear recommendation that a Certificate of Residence
14	should not be granted in this instance. Without again
15	going into detail, one of the offenses was not a minor
16	offense, and I made very clear in Cabinet my view that in
17	light of that offense and the recommendation of the Board
18	of Immigration that the Certificate of Residence should not
19	be granted, but Cabinet took a different view, so that is
20	an example that goes right back to the beginning of today's
21	session where the Governor advises and cautions, but
22	contrary to that advice and caution Cabinet took a

í	
1	different decision, but I thought it was a poor very
2	decision, indeed.
3	Q. What was the rationale for you saying that it was a
4	poor decision?
5	A. Because it was contrary to the criteria which
6	would normally be applied and contrary to the
7	recommendation made by the Board of Immigration, but I
8	recognize the Legislation Cabinet had discussion in this
9	area, so it was a decision which Cabinet could within the
10	terms of their discretion take what I thought was a very
11	poor decision, indeed.
12	Q. So, if you turn up page on the main bundle, the
13	Belongership Bundle, page 1441.
14	A. Yes, Mr Rawat. Thank you.
15	Q. This is the Immigration and Passport Act which
16	provides that subject to the other provisions of this
17	section, the Cabinet may, after consultation with the
18	Board, grant a Certificate of Residence to any person who
19	applies for the same in the prescribed manner and who is
20	(a) is of good character, and (b) in his or her application
21	has stated an intention to reside permanently in the
22	Territory.

6	
1	So, the requirement is that the person should be of good
2	character?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was it your understanding that that was because
5	this individual was not a good character that the Board
6	recommended the Certificate of Residence not be granted?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And did you conclude on the documents that were
9	before you that the person was not of good character?
10	A. Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I mean, page 1271,
12	which we already looked at, which is the Cabinet Memo for
13	this, it says "as stipulated in Section 18(1)(a), the
14	person saying the Certificate of Residence should be of
15	good character and therefore these persons should not hold
16	any criminal record within the Territory".
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
18	And I recognize there may be some very minor
19	offenses, let's say very minor traffic offense, which may
20	not necessarily go to the character of the individual, but
21	that was not the situation which wholly applied in this
22	instance.

1	BY MR RAWAT:
2	Q. If we look at the documents that you have provided
3	to the Commissioner with your Written Response, that is at
4	page 47 where we find the deliberations of Cabinet.
5	A. Yes.
6	Page 47 in the
7	Q. In the material that you provided to the
8	Commission.
9	A. Yes, thank you.
10	Q. Without going into the detail of it, it appears
11	that you raised concerns that the individual concerned had
12	clearly been convicted of an offense and, therefore, was
13	not of good character, but is it my understanding from the
14	discussion that ensued in Cabinet that the Cabinet
15	proceeded on the basis that they wanted to give this person
16	a second chance?
17	A. Thank you.
18	Yes. I think it would have been appropriate for me
19	to discuss individual views expressed by Members in
20	Cabinet, but that was the overall position of Cabinet.
21	Q. And the understanding given to you was that Cabinet
22	had the discretion to do that?

1	A. The advice given by the Attorney General would
2	seem to indicate that there was scope for Cabinet to take
3	the decision that it did.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	A. Because I suppose it ultimately depended on the
6	definition of "good character".
7	Q. If I move on to a different topic, please, we have
8	taken evidence as to Statutory Boards and the role they
9	play in the life of the BVI.
10	Now, seeing where we looked at the Constitution, there
11	are some entities for which you have responsibility in
12	terms of recruiting personnel to those bodies. Could you
13	just remind the Commissioner which bodies you would have
14	some involvement in recruiting individuals to?
15	A. I think the Statutory Boards to which I make
16	appointments include the Recovery and Developing Agency
17	Board because I make some of the appointments to that
18	Board; the Parole Board, together with the Premier, I
19	believe the Chairman of the Firearm's Advisory Committee.
20	And there are also Boards for Old Government House Museum,
21	and I believe the Virgin Islands Cadet Corp Board, so there
22	were a number of Boards for which I had responsibility.

1	Most of those are statutory.
2	And also appoint the Chairman of the Public Service
3	Commission and the Chairman of the Police Service
4	Committee.
5	Q. And in terms of recruiting for the posts to which
6	you appoint, what is the process that you adopt?
7	A. Well, for some of them yet myself as Governor come
8	to in terms of the process that I will adopt, but for
9	theI can tell you, Mr Rawat, is that for the Chair of the
10	Public Service Commission, the Chair of the Police Service
11	Commission, are two very important positions under the
12	Constitution. Those positions are advertised publicly.
13	And similarly in relation to the positions such that the
14	Commission of the Police and the DPP and Auditor General to
15	send on recommendations for those bodies, those positions
16	are also advertised.
17	And in relation to the Recovery and Development

Agency Board in the most recent recruitment, there was advertising for the Recovery Development Board. But that hasn't been always been done on a consistent basis, and I welcome the initiative which the Premier has taken in relation to advertising for Statutory Boards which fall

1	under his remit and going forward where we could perhaps
2	advertise further than we have not publicly in the past.
3	Q. And does that include advertising more widely for
4	posts, for example, on the Public Service Commission beyond
5	that of the Chairman?
6	A. I think it would be benefit of doing that, yes.
7	I think there may be some very specialist bodies
8	where operative advertising we has its limits, for example
9	the Firearm's Advisory Committee with a very clear criteria
10	for need to be appointed, so we advertise to a very
11	specialist audience rather than generally. But yes, I
12	think there is much to be said for moving to greater
13	advertisement taking place to, so I welcome the initiative
14	in that regard.
15	MR RAWAT: Thank you.
16	Commissioner, I have reached a point where I'm in
17	terms of the final questions we may need to deal with them
18	in private, so this may be a good time for you to hear from
19	Sir Geoffrey.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
21	Sir Geoffrey, we're coming to the end of the
22	questions, just have some questions on matters for reasons

1	I have explained earlier today, which we will go into
2	private session for.
3	Sir Geoffrey, what about your application to
4	question the Governor?
5	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm usually only up this late,
6	Commissioner, when I'm watching a horror show. It's 11:00
7	at night here, 6:00 your time, and I'm extremely reluctant
8	to lengthen the Governor's stay this evening, even in the
9	Virgin Islands. It's 6:00. However, I am, I'm afraid, I
10	think, obliged to pursue my application.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
12	SIR GEOFFREY: But I fear that I will have
13	certainly something approaching an hour, possibly a little
14	longer than that to canvasscertainly it's been shortened
15	considerably by Mr Rawat's questions, and I really wonder
16	whether you think it's appropriate for me embark upon it
17	this evening. I have to say that personally at this time
18	of night, even at 6:00, I would be reluctant to engage,
19	partly because I'm quite convinced the press could be
20	shorter and I'm also anxious not to start these things when
21	tired for that very reason, so I would submit to you, sir,
22	that the most appropriate and, if I may say so, fair thing

1	would not to request me to do it this evening, but if
2	possible to do it on another occasion which would, of
3	course, give me an opportunity to collect and focus in any
4	event, but, of course, I'm aware that the Governor is an
5	extremely busy man, and it would be a considerable favor if
6	he were to be able to return for a short time.

7 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Which are the areas
8 that you would wish to ask questions of the Governor, Sir
9 Geoffrey?

10 SIR GEOFFREY: I could say those fairly easily. It's chiefly the Public Service, and there are aspects of 11 12 the Ministers' concerns, which although Mr Rawat did a 13 valiant job of not being, I think, canvassed to the point 14 where I think some of the questions and answers that I can address to the Governor might very well help you. 15 Apart 16 from that, I think there may be one or two other areas that may revolve on the budgetary questions about which the 17 Governor gave evidence very helpfully. We haven't seen 18 19 those figures before, although I think those instructing me 20 wrote to the Governor's Office requesting that information. 21 I'm not sure if they got the material back. They may well 22 have done and I have simply missed it, but it will be, I

1	think generally in the areas of the Public Service, the
2	Budgetary provision and arrangements made. There may be
3	one or two questions, if I have an opportunity to focus my
4	thoughts on the earlier part of the questioning to the
5	Governor, which revolves around the appropriate conduct and
6	the constitutional comity between the Governor's Office and
7	the elected institutions, but that will be relatively
8	short.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But I will come to the
10	Governor in a moment, but if we were not to start now but
11	to start fresh at some other point
12	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You would focus, you
14	would be narrow, and those are the sorts of issues.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: That's exactly it, yes.
16	And may I say that, given a little extra time,
17	maybe I can focus it even more sharply.
18	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I will come to the
19	Governor now, particularly because the Governor is not
20	compellable.
21	SIR GEOFFREY: Of course, that's why I said it was
22	a favor.

1	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And he's not been
2	obliged to answer any questions today, but I'm very
3	grateful that he has.
4	Governor, you've heard from Sir Geoffrey Cox. I
5	think ultimately under Section 13 it's a matter for my
6	discretion, but it may be sensible to canvass your views
7	but there are some questions that he would like to put to
8	on you on behalf of the Elected Minister and you've heard
9	how long they will take and the areas to be covered.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner. Well, of
11	course, I will be guided by your ruling on the matter, but
12	I have questions beyond those which have been asked by
13	Mr Rawat, I have no objection in principle to trying to ask
14	further questions from Sir Geoffrey who is acting on behalf
15	of the Attorney General in this matter. But I would wish
16	to review myself of legal representation in those
17	circumstances. I did not ask for legal representation for
18	questions put to me by the Commission, but I would wish to
19	avail myself for legal representation if I'm going to be
20	examined. Of course, normally the Attorney General would
21	be my legal advisor but these questions will be put on
22	behalf of the Attorney General, and so, I think I need

1	separate representation. So, that may take a little bit of
2	time to organize, but if that can be done. I'm actually
3	hoping to go away for a few days next week, Commissioner,
4	but subject to that, of course, I will be happy to assist
5	as best I can.
6	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And in terms of legal
7	representation, the length of time that it will take just
8	so that we can organize your coming back to give further
9	evidence, about how long will that take, Governor?
10	THE WITNESS: I would hope we could do it not next
11	week but the week after would be my hope, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sort of a couple of
13	weeks.
14	THE WITNESS: A couple of weeks, yes. If that
15	would be acceptable to yourself and the others involved
16	because I want to give the best evidence I can.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay.
18	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, may I suggest a way
19	forward.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
21	MR RAWAT: Firstly, I think you may need a slightly
22	better formulated application to determine. It may be that

ſ

1	the first step that can be done is for Sir Geoffrey to set
2	out in a letter perhaps with a little more detail the areas
3	that he wishes to cover with the Governor.
4	You can then determine that on paper, and that will
5	resolve that issue. That doesn't preclude the Governor now
6	putting steps into place in order to secure legal
7	representation.
8	We will need to ensure that whoever is appointed
9	receives the bundles, and in that sense having assistance
10	from Sir Geoffrey as to the areas that he wishes to cover,
11	with your permission, may also help us.
12	So, I'd suggest that as a next step, and then what
13	we will have to do is find a convenient time that suits
14	everyone where we can conclude this.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay, that's helpful,
16	Mr Rawat.
17	Sir Geoffrey, I think Mr Rawat makes a good point
18	about the areas in which you would like to
19	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm very happy to sketch them out in
20	writing. I wouldI mean, I hesitate to say that the
21	Governor has nothing to fear from me. This will be a very
22	temperate and careful exercise. It really is a question of
1	elucidation, nothing more on matters that, in some cases
----	---
2	have already been well covered, but I completely understand
3	his desire, and I shall set it out in writing if that would
4	be helpful.
5	My only concern, sir, is that the timing of the
6	return, if it's as long as two weeks away, could place me
7	in a difficulty because I'm due, I regret to be in a
8	completely different time zone for some time, and I'm a
9	little worried about that, but if it really is necessary, I
10	wonder if next week was too soon because it's not going to
11	be a lengthy examination by me.
12	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, a couple
13	of points.
14	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Firstly, although we
16	will obviously have fairness to the Witness is at the
17	forefront of my mind.
18	SIR GEOFFREY: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It wasn't a concern
20	about fear on the Governor's part about facing questions
21	from you. In terms of better outlining the areas, there
22	were a lot of documents, we have a lot of documents, and it

1	would be very helpful to us all if we could focus on the
2	areas and therefore focus the relevant documents.
3	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, I can certainly do that. I'm
4	just a little anxious about the timing as I mentioned
5	because, next week would beif next week would be
6	possible, I would urge that we could conclude it then.
7	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Next week, as I
8	understand it is impossible for the Governor because the
9	Governor
10	SIR GEOFFREY: Ah, I didn't hear it.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:is going away.
12	THE WITNESS: Until the 1st.
13	(Overlapping speakers.)
14	MR RAWAT:to provide us with his availability
15	and a sense of the time difference that may exist. We can
16	try and see if we can slightly moving pieces around the
17	chessboard.
18	THE WITNESS: And avoid a late horror show, I hope.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sir Geoffrey, if you
20	could let us have both the areas.
21	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And dates that

1	aredates and times that are convenient for you. We will
2	certainly take those into account and do what we can to
3	SIR GEOFFREY: I'm most grateful, sir, as I say,
4	say, it may be that I just have to do it from the Eastern
5	hemisphere where I'm going at whatever the time difference.
6	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm afraid you may.
7	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because I have already
9	mentioned briefly the possibility ofwell, I've mentioned
10	briefly, we briefly discussed Closing Submissions.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, we have.
12	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And it may be that this
13	additional hour can be at the same time at the same hearing
14	as any oral submissions in closing.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, indeed, sir. Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Probably to be in two
17	or three weeks' time, I guess, so that may all sort of fit
18	together, but if you could let me have those details and
19	times.
20	SIR GEOFFREY: I will.
21	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Today is Tuesday, but
22	if you could let me have those by, say, the end of

1	
1	Thursday, Sir Geoffrey, that would be very helpful.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm due to be you with
3	tomorrow. I shall try my best to do the end of Thursday,
4	except that I shall also with you on Thursday, so it might
5	be a little difficult for me to do it while I'm, so to
6	speak, paying close attention to the proceedings.
7	Could we leave it like this, sir, I will do my best
8	to get it ready for you by Thursday. It may have to pop
9	into Friday if that weren't too long for you.
10	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That would be fine in
11	terms of the areas.
12	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Have your convenient
14	dates and times as soon as you can.
15	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That will just organize
17	things from a practical point of view, that's all.
18	SIR GEOFFREY: Yes, thank you very much, thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. Now, I think
20	that that is all in terms of the open session. We have to
21	deal with radar barges for which we're going into a private
22	session, and so I will just pause for a moment while that

in nritta	te sessic	n			
III PIIVA					
	(End of og	pen session.	. Private s	session begi	ns.)

1

1	PRIVATE SESSION
2	Session 3
3	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, now Governor, we are in
4	private session. We are not in live stream. This video will
5	not go out. We will have a transcript which this part of which
6	will be redacted as necessary, but everybody is, as it were,
7	still here.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat.
10	MR RAWAT: Thank you, Commissioner.
11	BY MR RAWAT:
12	Q. Governor, for our last topic, can I ask you just,
13	please, to first of all, if we return to bundle 1 on governance
14	in your position statements.
15	A. Yes, thank you. My first position statement, thank
16	you.
17	Q. If we go to page 824, please. Part 1, 824.
18	Drawing your attention paragraph 61.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You say "there have been a number of occasions on which
21	Cabinet has agreed to waive tenders in awarding contracts which
22	in my view have not involved exceptional circumstances

1	justifying departure from the general procedures under the
2	Public Finance Management Act", and then you continue at 62, "on
3	other occasions it's not clear that Contracts have been awarded
4	under any proper procedure or with any cost benefit analysis,
5	for example, the EZ Shipping radar contract which to the best of
6	my knowledge was not agreed by either the Joint Task Force or by
7	the National Security Council prior to signature".
8	Now, where you say "best of my knowledge", you're presumably
9	referring to historical information that was provided to you?
10	A. That's correct, and for the papers which were
11	available to me.
12	Q. Because if we turn, please, to the radar barges folder,
13	it might be on the other side, if you go to Part 4.
14	A. Um-hmm. Thank you.
15	Q. The circumstances in which you had direct involvement
16	with radar barges is at 4014.
17	A. 4014. Yes.
18	Q. And this is the last contract for EZ Shipping where
19	Cabinet was invited to approve a new contract for two barges
20	with a total cost of \$360,000. That's \$12,000 per day, running
21	from the 23rd of December 2020 to the 22nd of January 2021. So
22	in these circumstances, I think by the time Cabinet met, the

Contract had reached an end. But on the 17th of February 2021, the paper was presented to Cabinet by the Minister of Finance, and you made various observations questioning whether Government was getting value for money with this platform as it seemed to be an expensive venture.

And you added that you were less impressed with the statistical report provided by the Joint Task Force, JTF, and EZ Shipping's daily charge for barges to serve as radar platforms for securing the Territory's borders, you said you saw EZ Shipping's detection rate of vessels as really low, and you asked whether the Cabinet was satisfied there was value in this venture.

You supported moving ahead to a full radar system to ensure marine security, noting it would take a few months for implementation.

And then if we look over the next page, you noted that the paper was requesting a retroactive payment. There will be additional months for which coverage would be needed, you were told that this was the last Contract for EZ Shipping and you asked what coverage would be in place if this was EZ Shipping's last month, and you were told that the Joint Task Force would develop a proposal and recommendation for implementation of a

1	
1	government-owned platform.
2	Now, that's the background. I think the Report which you
3	saw must have been, I'm going to suggest, one which we find in
4	the Part 3 radar barges bundle.
5	A. One second.
6	I've got it, yes.
7	Q. You if turn to page 2042, please.
8	A. 2042?
9	Q. Yes.
10	This is a joint border patrol monthly report. It's said to
11	be August 24, 2021 through to 31 of January 2021, but that looks
12	to beit's a summary of a number of reports, but certainly for
13	the period with which you were concerned, which we see at the
14	bottom, you've got in December, one vessel detected, three
15	vessels intercepted, none fined, no court proceedings. In
16	January, 16 detected, 16 intercepted, one fined and detained and
17	no court proceedings. I won't cite the others but does this
18	look like the sort of Report that was in front of you at the
19	time?
20	A. I think, Mr Rawat, the Report that I had at the time
21	of this meeting in February, I think that the figures I had
22	before me were the figures for November, December, and January.

1	I'm not sure that I had the figures for August, September,
2	October. I would need to doublecheck them. My memory is it was
3	over the last three months.
4	Q. If we just read the figures for November, then it was
5	12 vessels detected, four vessels intercepted, none detained and
6	fined, and no court proceedings.
7	We set out this sort of factual background, but just to deal
8	the detail and returning back to the Minute, what were the
9	concerns or what was the substance behind your concern or your
10	question as to whether Government was getting value for money?
11	A. I had obviously prepared for this meeting. I would
12	look at such past papers as were in the Government's office on
13	this subject. I, of course, consulted my staff within the
14	Governor's Office, and so I was aware of the concerns of my
15	predecessor's Governor and the Police Commissioner as to whether
16	the harm to the barges constituted value for money. They were
17	expensive compared to alternatives of using small boats, which
18	consist in radar detection, I think the total cost of the barges
19	amounted to over \$2 million in the total expenditure, and I was
20	unconvinced from what I had seen and advice from colleagues and
21	from the Police Commissioner that there couldn't be systems
22	which would be at least as effective which would cost less.

1	Q. Now, in terms of your position, you obviously, as
2	you've explained, can advise, can raise concerns.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. But you cannot vote, and this went through.
5	But in terms of what subsequently has happened to that, has
6	there been and please don't give details, but have there been
7	steps to implement an alternative to the use of radar barges?
8	A. Yes.
9	What we're working onand I don't think this involves
10	any oversensitive matter, is indeed, moving ahead in the
11	proposal to try and have a proper radar system put in place for
12	the Territory.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: A fixed radar system?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, it depends on what's chosen, but
15	yes, it will be a fixed radar system or systems.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
17	THE WITNESS: Which would assist in the Territory.
18	And the UK Government has offered assistance in the
19	proper procurements to assist beyond there. In the meantime
20	we're supporting, for example, better training of the Maritime
21	Police to improve their capacity as well.
22	BY MR RAWAT:

1	Q. When you say "we are supporting", who is the "we"?
2	A. The Governor's Office.
3	Q. And is that with UK funds?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. I see.
6	A. With support from the Ministry of Defence, a colleague
7	of naval, a colleague providing training in this area, he
8	provided assistance in Bermuda when I was there to improve the
9	maritime capacity of the Royal Community Regiment and he's
10	assisting in the capacity of the Maritime Police here.
11	Q. In that regard, can I ask you just to go back to
12	bundle 3?
13	A. Which bundle is that?
14	Q. I'm sorry, it's now back to the Governor's bundle 3, so
15	the small one we were looking at. I will ask you one question
16	about a letter, a memorandum that you provided.
17	A. Please.
18	Q. If you go to page 251, please.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So, it's a memorandum from yourself dated the 8th of
21	April 2021 to the Financial Secretary.
22	A. Yes.

ſ

1	Q. Headed "coastal surveillance system for the British
2	Virgin Islands tender process".
3	Is this what you're speaking of when you speak of the
4	efforts that are being made?
5	A. Yes, it is.
6	Q. You say that "at the discussion with the Deputy
7	Governor Chaired on 22nd of March 2021, I understand it was
8	agreed that a paper would be submitted to the National Security
9	Council setting out the agreed approach to procurement of a
10	coastal surveillance system. I would like this to be a decision
11	rather than Information Paper".
12	You then suggest that the best procurement approach is that
13	recommended by the Maritime and Coast Guard agency of a two
14	separate procurement process, separate and design-build to two
15	separate tender activities rather than design-build. This is
16	best practice for procurement of this type of sophisticated
17	technological system. Helps mitigate any design compromise or
18	unsuspected contract variation.
19	So, where you refer to the Maritime and Coast Guard
20	agency, are you referring to the UK's Maritime and Coast Guard
21	agency?
22	A. Yes.

1	Q. You then go on, on the next page, to refer to support
2	that can come from International Association of Marine Aids to
3	Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities, which could facilitate a
4	risk assessment, ensure all contractors and suppliers are
5	recognised. You say to access much of the support, BVI would
6	need to be a Member of IALA. The UK's willing to fund BVI's
7	membership as membership is important to help ensure BVI meet
8	international standards. Membership would also enable BVI to
9	take advantage of IALA's extensive range of capacity-building
10	programmes, support tools and training. My team set up a
11	meeting with IALA and the GOVI team to discuss membership
12	options in the risk assessment, but I understand this was
13	postponed.
14	So, in terms of this, as a decision, it goes first through
15	the NSC and then to Cabinet or is it something where the NSC can
16	make the decision?
17	A. The contractual arrangement I think was ultimately
18	made by Cabinet, assuming it involves financial expenditure but
19	it would go first to the National Security Council, and it would
20	make a recommendation as necessary to Cabinet.
21	Q. And in terms of the funding from the UK, the letter
22	speaks of funding membership to the IALA, but above and beyond

1	
1	that, what are the other areas where UK is providing funding
2	here, if at all?
3	A. Well, Maritime security were providing substantial
4	funding for thethat was included in the overall figures I gave
5	you from 2018 onwards. We were providing, in particular,
6	maritime training for the Police, and we've also provided
7	assistance in getting some of their vessels back up and working,
8	the "Intersula" (phonetic) in particular, which is the main
9	police vessel which was not working, has been repaired and is
10	back on the water, and UK funds have helped pay for that.
11	Q. I see.
12	Could I just ask you one last matter, which is perhaps
13	returning where we started, which is Section 60. I just want to
14	ask just a question about Section 68. "Where the Governor
15	acting in his or her discretion determines that the exercise of
16	any function conferred on any other person or authority other
17	than the House of Assembly would involve or affect any matter
18	mentioned in subsection (1), the Governor may, acting after
19	consultation with the Premier, give directions as to the
20	exercise of that function and the person or authority concerned
21	shall exercise the function in accordance with those
22	directions".

1	I just wanted to ask if you could assist the Commissioner
2	with this. In what circumstances do you envisage as a Governor
3	having to make such a direction?
4	A. Thank you.
5	May I just read the subsection again, Mr Rawat?
6	Q. Of course.
7	(Pause.)
8	A. I have not sought to resort to that provision since I
9	have been Governor, and I'm not sure to what extent my
10	predecessors have resorted to that Section, but I think it's
11	something of a safeguard power in the words "revolver effect"
12	are used here. So, if person falling outside the normal
13	authority of the Governor wishes to carry out a function which
14	could involve or affect special responsibility of the Governor,
15	then the Governor can give directions as to the exercise.
16	So, I haven't quite answered your question, Mr Rawat,
17	but say, for example, there was a wish by Customs or others to
18	purchase a radar system for the security of the Territory, and I
19	felt that that system would not suffice to protect our security,
20	or, you know, could in some way be detrimental to our security,
21	then I suppose I could give a direction in those circumstances
22	but I give that to you as a hypothetical example, not as an

1	
1	example which has so far been acquired in practice.
2	Q. And where it says "after consultation with the
3	Premier", do read that as with the agreement with the Premier or
4	merely that you have to consult with the Premier?
5	A. You really have to consult. There's very clear case
6	law that the term "consultation" in relation to Overseas
7	Territories is that consultation does not imply agreement.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, if I could have a moment,
10	please.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sure. Thank you.
12	(Pause.)
13	MR RAWAT: Commissioner, I have reached the end of my
14	questions.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: What I propose to do, I
16	think, is we will go back to live stream just to clear the
17	business for the day.
18	(End of private session.)

1

1 OPEN SESSION COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: If we can go back to live 2 3 stream. We're back now on live stream. 4 Can I just say that we have now concluded the matters 5 that we needed to deal with in private session. 6 Sir Geoffrey, what I suggest is that when the 7 Transcript of today is available, then if the Attorney can let 8 us have any redactions from the part that has been in private 9 session that she considers are necessary, then hopefully we will 10 be able to publish some, if not all of the private session, but 11 that's the way we will deal with that. 12 Mr Rawat. 13 MR RAWAT: Commissioner, just to say that I have 14 concluded my questions, and can I thank the Governor for coming to give evidence today. We started a little later than he 15 16 perhaps expected, and we have also possibly finished a little 17 later than he perhaps expected, but we're grateful to him for 18 making himself available, but can I also thank him, not just for being here but for the way in which he has given his evidence. 19 20 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And can I echo that, 21 Governor, particularly as you're not a compellable witness, and 22 therefore you are here voluntarily. Thank you for your time,

1	which is slightly longer, as Mr Rawat said, than we had perhaps
2	hoped. Thank you for your time and thank you for the evidence
3	that you have given, very helpful. And thank you for agreeing
4	to come back to have some questions put by Sir Geoffrey within
5	the next couple of weeks or so, and we will make arrangements
6	for that. Thank you very much.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you,
8	Mr Rawat.
9	SIR GEOFFREY: May I also thank the Governor for
10	agreeing to come back, and I think if I may say on behalf of the
11	Elected Ministers, I think the exercise has been extremely
12	valuable. I address the Governor in saying this. I think it
13	has been most helpful. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you, Sir Geoffrey.
15	And tomorrow, Mr Rawat?
16	MR RAWAT: Tomorrow we start with another witness.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: At 9:00?
18	MR RAWAT: 9:00.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Okay. We will close now
20	until 9:00 tomorrow. Thank you.
21	MR RAWAT: Thank you.
22	(End at 6:30 p.m.)

ſ

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, David A. Kasdan, RDR-CRR, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were stenographically recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewritten form by computer-assisted transcription under my direction and supervision; and that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in this proceeding, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

Davi a. Kla

DAVID A. KASDAN