BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

HEARINGS: DAY 14

(FRIDAY 18 JUNE 2021)

International Arbitration Centre
3rd floor Ritter House
Wickhams Cay II
Road Town, Tortola

Before:

Commissioner Rt Hon Sir Gary Hickinbottom

Solicitor General Mrs Jo-Ann Williams-Roberts (instructed by the Attorney General), Mr Niki Olympitis of Withers LLP and Ms Lauren Peaty of Withers LLP appeared for various BVI Government Ministers and public officials.

Mr Richard Rowe of Silk Legal, Mr Deniston Fraser of Silk Legal, and Mr Daniel Fligelstone Davies of Silk Legal appeared for those members of the House of Assembly who are not members of the Government.

Counsel to the Commission Mr Bilal Rawat also appeared.

Hon Julian Willock gave evidence. Hon Andrew A Fahie gave evidence. Hon Mark Vanterpool gave evidence.

Court Reporter:

MR. DAVID A. KASDAN
Registered Diplomate Reporter (RDR)
Certified Realtime Reporter (CRR)
Worldwide Reporting, LLP
529 14th Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
United States of America
david.kasdan@wwreporting.com

Those present: Session 1 Mrs Jo-Ann Williams-Roberts, Solicitor General Mr Niki Olympitis, Withers LLP Ms Lauren Peaty, Withers LLP Mr Richard Rowe, Silk Legal Mr Fligelstone Davies, Silk Legal Mr Bilal Rawat Hon Julian Willock Mr Steven Chandler, Secretary to the Commission Ms Juienna Tasaddiq, Assistant Secretary to the Commission Mr Andrew King, Senior Solicitor to the Commission Ms Rhea Harrikissoon, Solicitor to the Commission Constable Javier Smith, Royal Virgin Islands Police Force Mr Dame Peters, Audio-Visual Technician Session 2 Mrs Jo-Ann Williams-Roberts, Solicitor General Mr Niki Olympitis, Withers LLP Ms Lauren Peaty, Withers LLP Mr Bilal Rawat Hon Andrew A Fahie Ms Juienna Tasaddiq, Assistant Secretary Mr Andrew King, Senior Solicitor to the Commission Ms Rhea Harrikissoon, Solicitor to the Commission Constable Javier Smith, Royal Virgin Islands Police Force Mr Dame Peters, Audio-Visual Technician Session 3 Mr Deniston Fraser, Silk Legal Mr Bilal Rawat

Hon Mark Vanterpool

Ms Juienna Tasaddiq, Assistant Secretary Mr Andrew King, Senior Solicitor to the Commission Ms Rhea Harrikissoon, Solicitor to the Commission
Constable Javier Smith, Royal Virgin Islands Police Force
Mr Dame Peters, Audio-Visual Technician

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 Session 1 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good morning, everyone. 4 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Before we hear the 5 6 evidence of The Honourable Speaker, I'd like to make a short 7 statement about the business of next week. The evidence on Members' Interests will be concluded 8 9 on Monday and will be followed by legal submissions on the 10 breaches of the Register of Interests Act, which have been 11 accepted by the various Members of the House and former Members 12 of the House. 1.3 The next topic is due to be governance and the 14 operation of the justice and law-enforcement systems, and the 15 evidence is due to commence on that topic on Tuesday. 16 The preparation of governance issues has been delayed 17 for two main causes: 18 First, the Ministers' Position Statement made 19 ser--makes serious allegations that the Governor and the UK 2.0 Government have acted unconstitutionally, in breach of 21 international law, and at least in the case of the latter, 2.2 contrary to its own policies. Those allegations have been made 23 without giving disclosure of documents in the Ministers' 24 possession and relevant to the issues they have raised. 25 In addition, I've directed the Attorney General to

make legal submissions identifying precisely the criticisms that are made and the legal basis for them. Once that disclosure and those submissions have been made, I am bound to give those against whom the criticisms are directed an opportunity to respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Second, a number of those who have lodged Position Statements have only in the last few days--some only yesterday--returned to us with requests to redact passages from those documents. In the case of the elected Ministers' Position Statement and its appendices, they clearly contain personal data, and the Attorney General considers they possibly contain documents over which others may have rights of confidentiality. The Ministers, however, have not identified the passages and appendices which are or may be confidential. The Commission of Inquiry team are having to make appropriate inquiries of their It's hoped that the redaction issues will have been determined in the course of the next day or so; and, as I have already publicised, it is my intention to publish all of the Position Statements as soon as those issues have been dealt with. That delay inevitably means that I have had to review how and when the investigation into governance will be conducted.

First, I propose to direct that the participants and any others in respect of whom particular criticisms are made in the Position Statements have an opportunity to respond in writing to the Position Statements of others and, indeed, any

oral evidence heard next week insofar as they are the subject of any criticism.

I emphasise that this is not an opportunity to do anything but respond to those matters. It is not an invitation to make new submissions other than by way of response. The time I have given to participants for identifying issues and potential witnesses in relation to the identified topics has passed.

Whilst it's open to any Respondents to this direction, in their representations to request further evidence in response to be called by me at a further hearing, I propose that the responses are essentially in writing, and I will direct that they be submitted to the COI by the 12th of July.

Most of the Witnesses due to be called next week can go ahead; but, in the absence of the disclosure and clarification to which I have referred, it would be premature, in my view, to hear from the Premier or from the Governor, who may wish to respond to the Ministers' Position Statement once the disclosure and clarification are given. Consequently, I, therefore, propose to stand down both the Premier and the Governor from appearing next week. They will be rescheduled later in the hearings when I will return to and conclude the issues of governance.

Thank you.

1.3

2.0

2.2

MR ROWE: If I may, Commissioner--

```
COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Just one moment, Mr Rowe.
 1
 2
              MR ROWE:
                         Sure.
 3
               (Pause.)
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that the live
 4
 5
    stream is not working in the sense that it is not live
 6
    streaming. It is recording what's being said, but it's not
 7
    going out live.
 8
              Now, Dame, do you want a few minutes to rectify that
 9
    problem?
10
                                   If possible, Commissioner.
              TECHNICIAN PETERS:
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: How long do you think you
12
    might need?
1.3
              TECHNICIAN PETERS:
                                   Three minutes.
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. I'll rise for those
15
    three minutes, and as soon as the live stream is back on,
16
    perhaps you can let me know.
17
              TECHNICIAN PETERS:
                                   Yes.
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good.
                                                  Thank you very
19
    much.
2.0
               (Pause.)
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand the live
2.2
    stream is back and working. I'll obviously not repeat my
23
    opening statement which was about the business of next week.
24
    understand that the recording of it will go onto YouTube later.
25
              And in terms of the directions that I made, those, of
```

```
1
    course, will form the basis of an order which hopefully will be
 2
    issued later today.
 3
              Yes, anything else?
 4
              MR ROWE:
                         Briefly, Commissioner.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 5
 6
              MR ROWE:
                         On Sunday last, we made submissions to the
 7
    Commission which you indicated earlier this week will have been
    heard on Friday, and you subsequently indicated that it would be
 8
 9
    heard today. You have not mentioned -- mentioned on Monday,
10
            What comes of that submission regarding natural justice?
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I think your submissions
12
    concerned the criticisms of individual Members and past Members;
1.3
    is that right?
14
              MR ROWE:
                        No, no.
                                 It raised the authority in the
15
    Virgin Islands which we're bound in the High Court that the
16
    Commission of Inquiry must be conducted in accordance with the
17
    Salmon rules.
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We dealt with that.
19
              MR ROWE:
                         We have not--you indicated earlier to that
2.0
    today but then earlier this week--
21
               (Overlapping speakers.)
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           No, no, no.
                                                        I'm sorry.
23
    You're confused, Mr Rowe. I did deal with it. What I said was
24
    that in relation to the criticisms -- any criticisms -- that were
25
    made of Members of the House or past Members of the House during
```

```
1
    the course of their evidence on interests, that I would deal
    with by way of legal submissions at the end of the evidence.
 2
 3
    That was going to be today. It's now Monday, and it will be on
 4
    Monday.
 5
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because we can't deal with
 7
    criticisms of Members until they have been criticised. And
    during the course of this week, I think--I think I'm right in
 8
 9
    saying--that the only criticisms of Members that might have been
10
    made is that they have been in breach of sections 3 and 7 of the
11
    Register of Interests Act.
12
              And in respect of those criticisms, all of the
1.3
    witnesses, bar one, have accepted all of the breaches put to
14
           So the legal submissions may be fairly straightforward,
15
    but I'll hear them on Monday afternoon.
16
                        Good. Wonderful.
              MR ROWE:
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
18
              MR ROWE:
                        Thank you.
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes, Mr Rawat.
              MR RAWAT: Commissioner, before I call the next
2.0
21
    witness, can I, for the record, introduce the representatives
2.2
    present in the hearing room today.
23
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes, thank you.
24
              MR RAWAT: We have Mr Niki Olympitis and Ms Lauren
25
    Peaty of Withers, who are here on behalf of the Attorney General
```

```
1
    and those that she represents.
 2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes.
 3
              MR RAWAT: We have Mr Richard Rowe, Mr Daniel
 4
    Fligelstone Davies of Silk Legal, who are here to represent on
 5
    behalf of seven Members of the House of Assembly; that is, those
 6
    Members who are not otherwise represented by the Attorney
 7
    General.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 8
                                           Yes.
 9
              HON JULIAN WILLOCK, COMMISSION WITNESS, CALLED
10
                         Our next speaker -- witness is The Honourable
              MR RAWAT:
11
    Julian Willock, Speaker of the House of Assembly.
12
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes.
1.3
              MR RAWAT: And I ask that he be invited to take the
14
    oath or to affirm, please.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes, thank you.
              COMMISSION SECRETARY: Good afternoon. Would you like
16
17
    to swear an oath or make an affirmation?
18
              THE WITNESS: I'll swear an oath.
19
              COMMISSION SECRETARY:
                                     If you could take the Bible in
2.0
    your right hand and read what's written on the paper in front of
21
    you.
          Thank you.
2.2
              THE WITNESS: I swear by Almighty God that the
23
    evidence I shall give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
24
    nothing but the truth.
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
```

```
1
              MR RAWAT: I think before I continue, Commissioner, in
 2
    your absence and before you came into the room, the Speaker
 3
    raised a question about how he would be addressed during the
 4
    course of the Hearing, which Mr Chandler advised him would be a
 5
    matter for you.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: How would you like to be
 7
    addressed?
 8
              THE WITNESS:
                            Honourable Speaker.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's how we'll address
10
    him.
11
                         Certainly. It makes The Honourable Speaker
              MR RAWAT:
12
    more comfortable and makes it easier for him to give evidence--
1.3
               (Overlapping speakers.)
14
              THE WITNESS: --easy or comfortable.
                                                     We've agreed
15
    that that's how I'll be addressed, so we can proceed.
16
              BY MR RAWAT:
17
              Can I, first of all, thank you, Honourable Speaker,
         Q.
18
    for coming to give evidence today. I would like to deal with
19
    some formalities, which I have dealt with every witness, and
2.0
    that is, first of all, to ask you to give your full name to the
21
    Commissioner.
2.2
              My full name is Julian Willock, and if I can say
         Α.
23
    before I start, I have a short statement to give, and I have an
24
    item that I'd like to enter into the record.
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Could I--what do you want
```

```
1
    to make a statement about?
              THE WITNESS: Well, I just want to give some short
 2
    outline.
 3
              It's very short.
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: What's it about, because
 5
    we're here to deal with the Register of Interests?
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                            Well, it's about my appearance here
 7
    today, Commissioner.
 8
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But you're here.
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I need to say something for
10
    the record.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You're here to answer
12
    questions. We've got the questions. I'm not quite sure what a
1.3
    statement could add to assist me.
14
              THE WITNESS: I can go ahead and read my statement, if
15
    you will allow, Commissioner.
16
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I just don't see that it's
17
    relevant to assisting--
18
               (Overlapping speakers.)
19
              THE WITNESS: Well, there are a lot of things that I
2.0
    don't believe relevant, but I'm complying.
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But will it assist me?
2.2
              THE WITNESS: It should. It should. It should.
23
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Then read it out, if it
24
    will assist me.
25
              THE WITNESS: I think it should, in my humble view.
```

Okay. Good morning. My name is Julian Willock,

Speaker of the House of Assembly of the Virgin Islands. While I
will have preferred not to be here, I have been summoned;
therefore, I have complied. I hope, before I leave the witness
chair, that I will learn more about the Commission of Inquiry,
on what basis I was summoned and fully understand if this is a
fishing exercise or the Territory can expect a transparent
process.

1.3

2.0

2.2

It is unfortunate that when--that we did not meet as when you arrived in January, you reached out to me, and I accepted the invitation and set up for the meeting to be held in my office. You refused but instead insisted that I meet you at your office.

You will appreciate, Commissioner, that a stranger cannot come to the Virgin Islands and e-mail the Speaker of the House of Assembly and demand that he comes to his office. It does not work like that in the Virgin Islands.

I have an office, too. With that said, I'm open for questions.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. I'm afraid, speaking for myself, I didn't find that helpful, nor is it factually accurate because when I invited you to discuss the workings of the COI in January, I was—I made it clear that I was content to meet you anywhere but not in the House of Assembly because this Commission of Inquiry is an independent

inquiry, and that was my reason for making that very minor

stipulation. It was not a stipulation, I'm afraid, that found

favor with you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

But now you are here, and I'd like to get on with the business of taking evidence from you.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Just to clear the record, the meeting was not in the House of Assembly per se. It was at the office.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, Honourable Speaker.

THE WITNESS: But we have to have the record accurate, Commissioner. You will appreciate. I mean, if you have a transparent process, you will appreciate that the record must be accurate. You invited me to come to talk about the work of the Commission. I said let's have the meeting at my government office. You refused. There was two or three letters back and forth. You insisted—your Secretary insisted that I come to your office.

Now, a stranger coming to the BVI, sending me an e-mail to meet them at the office, it don't work like that in the BVI, Commissioner. So, I hope you have an appreciation for that.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Honourable Speaker, I don't accept that that is factually accurate, but what I do wish to do, because you're here to assist the Commission of Inquiry,

```
1
    is for you to assist the Commission of Inquiry by answering the
 2
    questions that we have for you. Thank you very much.
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                            I will assist as much as possible.
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good.
                            Thank you, also.
 5
              THE WITNESS:
 6
              MR RAWAT:
                         Thank you, Honourable Speaker.
 7
              If I could explain some preliminaries, please. First
    thing is that as with any witness, and I say this to everyone, I
 8
 9
    do try and keep my questions short and simple, but if at any
10
    time you have difficulty understanding a question or you would
11
    like me to repeat it or to rephrase it, then please do ask.
12
              There are a number of bundles in front of you on the
1.3
           There will be no need for you to look at them now, but we
14
    may need to go to some of documents in due course.
15
              Finally, can I ask you this: Could you remember,
16
    please, just to keep your voice up and speak slowly. The reason
17
    for that is that the microphone in front of you does not
18
    amplify. It merely records; and so, it's important that your
19
    answers are recorded as clearly and accurately as possible on
2.0
    the Transcript of this Hearing.
21
              THE WITNESS: Certainly.
2.2
              BY MR RAWAT:
23
              The first question I'd like to put you, which again is
         Q.
24
    a question I put to all Members of the House of Assembly and,
25
    indeed, former Members of the House of Assembly, and that is to
```

ask them to give an outline of their professional background before they came into the House of Assembly.

A. Okay. While I have no objections in answering that question, could you at least enlighten me how that will help the Commissioner in his inquiry, giving my background?

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because I'm interested in the background of the witnesses that I--that come before me.

All of the witnesses, as is usual in such inquiries as this, have given a very brief résumé of their background so that I simply know where they're coming from.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, while I don't accept that premise, I will answer.

I started out after high school as a trainee, a

Traffic controller. Then I lived and worked overseas where I

did my college education. On my return home, I worked for

Government. I worked as an Assistant Secretary in the Ministry

of Natural Resource then, Ministry of Natural Resources and

Labour. I was then promoted to the Director of the Water and

Sewage Department. From there, I was further promoted to become

a Permanent Secretary in the Ministry of Communications and

Work. I left Government and was in the private sector until I

was invited to be the Speaker of the Fourth House of Assembly on

March 12, 2019.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.

BY MR RAWAT:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. In terms of your time in the public service, what year did you leave public service?
 - A. I think I left--I think maybe 2010. 2010--
 - Q. I--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- A. --Commissioner.
- Q. I'm sorry for cutting across you, Honourable Speaker, but you explained to me that you reached the position of Permanent Secretary.
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How long were you a Permanent Secretary for?
- 11 A. Just for two years.
- Q. And was there--what was the reason for you leaving the public service and moving into the private sector?
 - A. In 20--I think it was 2009 or 2008 or something like that, one of the local tabloid wrote an article claiming that I had a worker with one of my group of companies without a work permit, and the Public Service Commission then sent me on leave, and we obviously challenged it in court and won in court. All the evidence showed that that was a false report. Labour confirmed that I had no one working with me without a work permit. So, I was in office for one year, and then I was on leave for a whole year, you know, with pay.
 - And then I think there was some political changes in 2011, and I think there was no secret of who I supported, and I think once the new Government took office in 2011, they advised

- the Governor--and this is according to two of their Members who sat in Cabinet--they advised the Governor at the time that they no longer wanted to work with me. They were concerned why I was home without pay. So the Governor then terminated me, and that's why I left.
 - Q. During your time--and I appreciate it's a few years ago now, but did you come across the general orders that applied to all civil servants?
 - A. Yes, yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Now, I think the latest version of that is from 1982. In your time in the civil service—and I appreciate I'm taking you back some time and you may wish to caveat your answer, but in that time was that what governed the—how the terms and conditions, if you like, of public service for someone like yourself who was there as ultimately a Permanent Secretary?
 - A. All civil servants are governed by the General Orders.
- Q. And you said that you went into the private sector. Was there any particular interest that you pursued in the private sector before--
 - A. Well--
 - Q. --assuming the position of Speaker?
- A. --my family had a security business, and I sort of inherited it, and then, before that, I opened a firm called Advanced Marketing and Professional Services, where we do things like most like--most like a lobbying firm, most of our work are

done--is done overseas, and we also run an online newspaper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. I might come back to that when we go through your--the information you provided to the Registrar of Interests, but can I just--whilst the answer is fresh, you mentioned that it does lobbying.

Now, The Honourable Julian Fraser gave evidence a short while ago, and he--one of the things he commented on, that there isn't such a thing as lobbying in the British Virgin Islands. Is that a view that you would agree with?

- A. Most of the work that we do in terms of lobbying happens overseas. I haven't heard Honourable Fraser's evidence, so I can't speak to that.
- Q. And but--I appreciate you haven't heard it. I mean, lobbying comes in different forms anyway. Would you say that political lobbying isn't something that exists in the British Virgin Islands?
 - A. I don't know. I can't answer that.
- Q. Now, as you indicated earlier, you assumed the position of Speaker on the 12th of March 2019. Prior to that date, had you stood in any election for the House of Assembly?
- A. No. No. I think the--well, not publicly. There was an internal election within the party that I'm a Member of where you had to be nominated by the party through an internal election, so that's the only election that I stood in.
 - Q. And was that to be nominated to stand in the 2019

general election--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 (Overlapping speakers.)

- A. Yes, yes, nominated to be--to stand. So once you got out of that--I mean, in the States they'll call it a primary.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Yeah, but in all cases of an internal party election; the party votes for who they want to fill the slots to compete in the general election.
 - Q. Is that the Virgin Islands Party that you're-(Overlapping speakers.)
- A. You're correct.
- Q. Now, that's obviously internal process, the VIP applies to its selection of candidates. So, it's essentially a threshold election. If you get nominated, you can be nominated to stand either for a district or as an at-large candidate.
 - A. At large. You're correct.
- 17 Q. Thank you.

Now, this is just to ask you to explain the sort of process as to how you come to be Speaker. Obviously, the Constitution provides for someone who isn't--it doesn't require you to have been elected or to have succeeded in an election to become Speaker. So, how does the process work in circumstances like yours where--how did you come to be selected to be Speaker?

A. Well, throughout the history of the Virgin Islands, there has never been a Speaker from within because I think the

- 1 Constitution allowed both someone from outside or someone among
 2 the membership, and it happens every place. You're asked by
 3 whoever the ruling Government is if you wish to be the Speaker.
 - Q. Now, the--and so, in your experience, you're, I think, now the fourth Speaker to--fourth person to have taken on the role of Speaker. Is it right that everyone else was--

(Overlapping speakers.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Oh, no, we've had about nine or ten Speakers.
- Q. In the House of Assembly.
- 10 A. Well, you're talking about the House of Assembly or 11 you're talking about the Legislature?
- 12 Q. I'm talking about the House of Assembly.
- 13 A. Okay. We're at the Fourth House, and I think I am the 14 third person in the fourth--yes, in the Fourth House.
 - Q. And your predecessors were also people essentially invited to take on the role rather than--

(Overlapping speakers.)

- A. Yes, my predecessor were invited by whoever the ruling Government would have been after day two, invited if they wished to be Speaker.
- Q. Do you-having now taken on the role for some time, do you consider that to be a strength, that the Constitution allows for someone to be invited to take on the role of what is a non-partisan post?
- 25 A. I'm sorry, repeat the question?

- Q. Well, you're invited--you and your predecessors were all invited to take to the role. You don't have to come from the elected Members.
 - A. Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you consider that, having now been in the role for some time, to be a strength of the Constitution, that someone can be invited to take on the role?
 - A. I have not analyzed whether it's best. I think that will be a matter for the--for all governments to decide whether it's better for them to have someone from outside or someone from inside the Chambers. I have not did an assessment.
- Q. Thank you.
- Now aside--obviously one of the primary roles that any Speaker will exercise is that of presiding over House debates.

 But aside from that, do you have any other executive functions as Speaker?
 - A. You're talking about within the House of Assembly?
- 18 O. Yes.
- A. Well, outside of Speaker, I think I chair about two or three committees of the House.
- 21 O. And which Committees are those?
 - A. I am the Chairman of the Virgin Islands Parliament

 Committee. I'm the Chairman of the Privilege Committee, and we

 just set up an ad hoc committee a couple of months ago where we

 want to go green, and I'm the Chairman of the--we call it "Going

Green Committee".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And do you have responsibility for the administration of the House of Assembly and for its staff?
 - A. Not directly.
- Q. So, how does it work? I mean, is there somebody else who has direct responsibility?
- A. Well, there is a Clerk, and in the sense of throughout the Commonwealth parliamentary association, the Clerks are civil servants, because usually Speakers, they either come from outside or they're actually elected by the ruling party, the same way in the United Kingdom. The Honourable Speaker from the United—the House of Commons is with the Conservative Party. So the Clerk is the Administrator. She is the person who runs the day—to—day operation. She's in charge of making sure that the monies are spent correctly, et cetera, just like all other jurisdictions in the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association.
- Q. And within the BVI House of Assembly, that's the role of the Clerk, but do you as Speaker have to get involved in any of that at all?
- A. Well, not directly.
 - I see my role, same thing with like a Minister of Government. A Minister of Government will have a Permanent Secretary. His job is to liaison with his--his or her job is to liaison with the Permanent Secretary to execute their policy. When I meet with Members, obviously both sides, they will give

```
1 the Speaker some mandates, we need A, B, and C to happen.
```

- 2 | will then coordinate those requests through the Clerk in terms
- 3 of execution. If we need, if the--if former Members--for
- 4 example, if someone needs a vehicle because there are certain
- 5 privilege we give to our former Members, I will have to go back
- 6 to the Clerk and say, maybe do some legwork, get some estimates,
- 7 deal with the vehicle, deal with the Ministry of Finance, et
- 8 cetera.
- 9 So, it's not--I'm not there on a day-to-day basis
- 10 calling shots. I am more overlooking to make sure that Members'
- 11 | wishes and objectives are achieved.
- 12 Q. Would you--and tell me if this analogy doesn't
- 13 work--would you see yourself as the Chairman whilst the Clerk is
- 14 the Chief Operating Officer? Or do you see yourself, rather,
- 15 | actually as the link between Members and what their wishes are,
- 16 and indeed former Members, and the administration of the House
- 17 of Assembly?
- 18 A. Both analogies could be plausible.
- 19 Q. And which one do you think best fits what you have to
- 20 do--
- 21 A. Both of them--
- 22 Q. --as Speaker?
- 23 A. Both of them are plausible.
- 24 Q. You've obviously--it's a non-partisan role, and you've
- 25 explained that you meet--you have to meet with both sides--

1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 Q. --with all Members.
- 3 A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. But in terms of leading such obviously matters that may come before the House for debate, but is the Speaker in the BVI, are you to some extent the voice of Members of the House of Assembly?
 - A. I am the head of the Legislature, the Legislative Branch, so I see myself as the voice of the House of Assembly on matters that deal with the House, not necessary on politics but on matters that deal with the House.
 - Q. Can you give the Commissioner some examples of the sort of matters that you have to the lead the voice for-
 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 15 Q. --for example.
 - A. Sure. For example, there were reports in the press, and I think a Press Release came out from your office claiming that Members of the House of Assembly were not cooperating with the Commission of Inquiry. As the voice of the House, I made a statement refuting that, saying that as far as I was told, all Members of the House of Assembly were cooperating. So that was an example where I spoke for the House.
 - There are other times when I may give a statement and it's not necessarily for the--not necessary that all the Members of the House will agree with it. For example, my speech that I

```
want to make part of the record, I don't think that all Members in the House was--would agree with that statement, and that's why even in my speech I made that clear, that all the Members would not have agreed with my statement of last Monday.
```

- Q. As you may have gathered, one of the topics that has been canvassed with witnesses in the last week is the Register of Interests, which is--places obligation on Members of the House of Assembly--on all Members of the House of Assembly. As present, the Register is not available for inspection to the public. It can only be inspected in prescribed circumstances which are set out in the Act.
- 12 A. Well, in addition, it can be inspected now by the COI.
- Q. Yes. That's why I said "prescribed cir"-
 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 15 A. So you left that out.
- 16 Q. No, I didn't.
- 17 A. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. I said it can be inspected in prescribed circumstances
 in the Act--
- 20 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 21 A. Okay. Then you should have been a little more 22 specific.
- 23 Q. I can be--
- A. Because we're on the record.
- Q. I can take you to the Act and read it out--

```
1
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 2
              --prescribed conditions--
         Q.
 3
               (Overlapping speakers.)
              There's no need to do that. I was in the House when
 4
         Α.
 5
    it was passed.
 6
         0.
              That's good. Well, we'll look at it in a moment.
 7
              But I'm saying, you know, you're saying things and
         Α.
8
    you're leaving out specific things.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But it's not open to the
10
    public.
11
              THE WITNESS: As of now, no. But it's open to the
12
    COI. So--
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, it's not open to the
14
    public, I think is the answer.
15
              MR RAWAT:
                          Thank you.
16
                            Yeah, but everything must be taken in
              THE WITNESS:
17
    its context. It's not open to the public. Should we consider
18
    the COI public, Commissioner?
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           No.
2.0
              THE WITNESS: You said you're doing a public inquiry.
                                           It's a public inquiry.
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
2.2
              THE WITNESS: So, therefore, it's--it could be open to
23
    the public because you have access to it.
24
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, that seems to
25
    be a legal point but it's--
```

```
1
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 2
              THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not a lawyer.
 3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          No, quite. So I think
 4
    that if you--
 5
                             That's why I have Mr Rowe next to me.
              THE WITNESS:
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. If he--if there are
 7
    any legal points to make, I am confident that Mr Rowe will make
 8
    them. So if you--
 9
               (Overlapping speakers.)
10
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I'm just saying we have to be
11
    fair and transparent, Commissioner. The question I was asked,
12
    whether or not if the Register of Interests is open to the
1.3
    public. I sat in the House of Assembly where Members voted to
14
    give the Commission of Inquiry access. So I don't know how to
15
    answer that question because the COI, according to you,
    Commissioner, is a public inquiry, so one could now arque that
16
17
    it's open to the public. Wouldn't you agree?
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           No.
19
              THE WITNESS: Okay, we have a difference of opinion
2.0
    there.
            Okay.
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
              THE WITNESS: You're most welcome, sir.
2.2
23
              BY MR RAWAT:
24
         Q.
              What is your view on--if you have one, on the
25
    publication of the Register to the public?
```

- A. I don't have a view. Those are matters for the

 Members of the House of Assembly. As the presiding officer, I

 try my best not to be out there giving public opinion. I will

 leave that entirely to the Members.
 - Q. If you could please look, you'll see a bundle that is headed "Constitution and Legislation Bundle".
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If you turn to page 59 of that bundle, please.
 - A. 59.

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

- 10 Um-hmm.
- Q. This is the Register of Interests Act 2006, and as I
 explained earlier, it sets out certain obligations on Members of
 the House of Assembly, and that includes a Speaker and an
 Attorney General, not just elected Members.
- 15 If you could turn to section 3, please, which is at 16 page 61.
- 17 A. Yes, sir, I'm there.
- Q. 3(1) says: "A Member shall make a Declaration in the form set out in Schedule 1 within thirty days after this Act comes into force and thereafter on the date on which he assumes the function of his office and on each subsequent anniversary of that date".
 - 3(2) says: "A Declaration of Interests under this section shall be made in respect of interests held on the date on which the duty to make the Declaration arose".

And so, this is just so that you can orientate yourself, Honourable Speaker, but the Act imposes an obligation on Members to make a Declaration of their interests on the date that they are sworn and then on the anniversary of that date.

1.3

2.0

2.2

And if you look at section—so, if the Member doesn't do that, then they are in breach of the Act. If you look at section 7, which you'll find at page 64, section 7(a) provides that: "Where a Member fails to make a Declaration within a period of three months from the date on which the duty to make that declaration accrued, the Member shall be in breach of the provisions of this Act, and the Registrar shall within 14 days of the knowledge of such breach submit a report of such breach to the Committee, which shall meet to consider the Report within 21 days of its submission". That committee is a Standing Select Committee which is charged with considering the Register of Interests Act.

Now, that's, as I've said, to give you the framework in terms of obligations placed on all Members of the House of Assembly.

Do you agree with this, that it's--it was--it is the responsibility of each Member of the House of Assembly independently to satisfy those obligations as set out in the Act?

- A. I don't have an opinion.
- Q. Well, in terms of your own compliance with it, do you

have an opinion about whether the obligation fell on you or it
fell on others?

- A. I have complied with the law.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Sorry, that's not the 5 question.
- THE WITNESS: What's the question, Commissioner?

 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Would you repeat the
- 8 question, Mr Rawat, please.

9 BY MR RAWAT:

- Q. In terms of your own compliance with the Act, did you have a view that the responsibility fell on you or fell on
- 12 others?

- 13 A. I have complied with the Register of Interests Act. I 14 just answered that. Asked and answered.
- Q. And so, you didn't ever form a view as to whether it fell to you to do it or that you could delegate it to others.
- 17 A. The--you have just read the law to me. I have 18 complied with the law. I have nothing further.
- Q. As Speaker, have you ever been asked by a Member of the House of Assembly for advice about complying with the Register of Interests Act 2006?
- A. I can't remember. It's possible, but I can't remember.
- Q. Well, was there any reason why you can't remember?
- 25 A. I don't know. We all have memory lapse at times. You

```
1 have not been called before the Bar. Maybe you forgot. We all
```

- 2 have memory lapse, so I can't--I don't recall.
- 3 Q. Can we look at the Declarations that have been
- 4 disclosed to the Commission of Inquiry in relation to your
- 5 compliance?
- A. I'm sure we can.
 - Q. You will find the first one at page 84 in the bundle.
- 8 A. It's the same bundle?
- 9 Q. No. The bundle--there is a bundle with your name on
- 10 it.

- 11 A. Okay. Sure.
- 12 Q. If you turn to page 84 of that bundle, please.
- 13 A. 84?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- Now, it's a registration form sent out--it's a
- 16 reg--it's headed "Registration form for Declaration of
- 17 Interests". It's one that's issued by the Registrar of
- 18 Interests, and it carries her stamp at the top there, and
- 19 written in manuscript is the date 28 January 2020.
- Do you have that, Honourable Speaker?
- 21 A. I'm seeing that in the bundle you've provided.
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 If you turn to page 94, this is the last page of that
- 24 document.
- 25 A. Um-hmm.

- 1 Q. There is a signature there. Is that your signature?
- 2 A. It appears to be my signature.
- 3 Q. And the date underneath, is that in your hand?
- 4 A. It appears to be my handwriting.
- 5 Q. And for the Transcript, it's in manuscript.
- 6 What date is that?
- 7 A. What date is which--
- 8 Q. That's in there?
- 9 A. It appears to be the 22nd of January 2020, according
- 10 to what you provided to me.
- 11 Q. Do you have a copy of your own Declarations?
- 12 A. It should be in my office, on my file.
- 13 Q. This is a Declaration that was provided to the
- 14 Commission of Inquiry by the then-Registrar Ms Victoreen
- Romney-Varlack. Do you have any basis to say that it hasn't
- 16 been properly provided by her--
- 17 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. --to the COI?
- 20 A. You--I'm here. You provide me with a document. I
- 21 don't know where it came from. You're telling me now it came
- 22 | from the Registrar. Well, I--shall I accept what you're saying
- 23 to me?
- Q. Well, this bundle was provided to your legal
- 25 representatives.

```
1 A. That is correct.
```

- 2 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 3 Q. (Unclear.)
- A. And he did send me the bundle, but I wasn't able to print it off; yeah, so he did send me the bundle.
 - Q. Now, 22nd of January 2020. If we look at your second—or the second one that is in the bundle—and you'll find that at page 73.
 - A. 73?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

- Q. This is the second form stamped by the Registrar of Interests and written in there in manuscript within the stamp is the date 11th of August 2020, your name, and it says Speaker HOA at the bottom there. Is that in your handwriting?
- 14 A. That's not my handwriting.
- 15 Q. Do you recognise the handwriting at all?
- 16 A. This is the form that I filled out?
- 17 Q. Well, it's a form that the--
- 18 A. Okay--
- 19 Q. --Registrar gave to--
- A. Yeah. Okay. Maybe that's the handwriting of my
 secretary because I usually ask her to print out the form. I
 sit with her and go through, so maybe it's the handwriting of my
 secretary if, indeed, it matches what's in my office. Again,
- 24 I'm not going to assume anything. You have presented a document
- 25 to me. First time I'm seeing this document. You claim it's

```
1
    from the Registrar of Interests--
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 2
 3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I'm sorry.
 4
              THE WITNESS: --accepted that.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I thought you said you'd
 6
    seen the bundle.
 7
              THE WITNESS: I said I did not copy--I did not print
                 I saw the e-mail, but I did not print the bundle.
 8
    the bundle.
 9
    So I was confirming that Attorney Rowe sent me an e-mail with my
10
    bundle, but I didn't print it.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, you didn't check
12
    anything in the bundle?
1.3
              THE WITNESS: No, no, I did not.
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
15
              THE WITNESS: So I want to know that this is
16
    legitimate.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well, the Registrar--the
18
    documents produced by the Registrar under the Register of
19
    Interests Amendment Act, they are genuine documents provided by
2.0
    her.
21
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I'm saying, you know, this is
2.2
    a--this is a--this is a global world, and things could be
23
    doctored, things could be changed. Things, could be amended, so
24
    I'm relying on your credibility.
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Are you suggesting that
```

1 the Registrar changed this document? THE WITNESS: No, no, no, but this is something she 2 3 gave to you. 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. Thank you. 5 Thank you, Mr Rawat. 6 BY MR RAWAT: 7 If you go, please, to page 83--0. Α. If I could just--and I don't want to sound a little 8 9 assertive or not having the highest respect for this process and 10 you, Mr Commissioner, but if I could just simply cut through the 11 chase and say one thing: There were three filings by myself. 12 The first filing was done on time. The second one was late 1.3 because I wrote the Registrar a letter, which I have, 12 March, 14 simply saying to her that there was no change from the first 15 time. 16 And she wrote back to say no, according to the Act, I 17 still have to fill it out. So I fill out the second one, which 18 was not late because it satisfied the three-month period. And 19 then the third filing was on time. So, there was three filings, one in nine--one in 2019, 2.0 21 2020, and 2021. 2019 and 2021 was on time. 2020 may have been 2.2 a few days late simply because since nothing was changed, I

simply wrote a letter to say nothing has changed, and she then

returned a letter saying nothing has changed but you still have

23

24

25

to fill it out.

```
1
               So, for the record, I have been compliant.
 2
    been on time for my three Register of Interests.
 3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                            Thank you, Honourable
 4
               I suspect that -- that will -- that may help.
                                                            But I think
 5
    Mr Rawat would like to ask you some questions going through the
 6
    forms, and that may make the matter clearer for me.
 7
                             Okay. Well, I just don't--
               THE WITNESS:
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: For me, okay?
 8
 9
               THE WITNESS: Okay, for you.
10
               (Overlapping speakers.)
11
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
12
               Thank you, Mr Rawat.
1.3
               THE WITNESS: I just don't want to waste each other's
14
           I'm sure you're a busy man and I'm busy too.
15
               (Overlapping speakers.)
16
               BY MR RAWAT:
17
         Q.
               Page 83.
18
         Α.
               Page 83?
19
         Q.
               Is that your signature, please?
2.0
               It appears to be.
         Α.
21
         0.
               And what's the date?
2.2
               It appears to say 18 March 2020.
         Α.
23
               And is that in your handwriting, that date?
         0.
24
         Α.
               It appears to be my handwriting.
25
               So, the Registrar has provided the Commissioner with
          Q.
```

- 1 | two forms, one which you have confirmed is dated the 22nd of
- 2 | January 2020 and one which you have given as the date of 18th of
- 3 March 2020. Can you explain why those dates appear on those two
- 4 forms?
- 5 A. I'm sorry, repeat that?
- Q. The first form that we looked at is dated the 22nd of January 2020.
- 8 A. Um-hmm.
- 9 0. The second form is dated the 18th of March 2020.
- 10 A. 22nd of January and 18th of March. Is that--both of 11 them are 2020?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. As I explained to you, Commissioner, I got the
- 14 requests for the Second Declaration, and because nothing had
- changed, I wrote a letter on March 12, 2020, indicating to the
- 16 Registrar of Interests that nothing has changed.
- 17 Subsequently to that, on the 17th of March, she wrote
- 18 me back to say, notwithstanding anything has changed, it is the
- 19 requirement to fill out the form, and I complied by filling out
- 20 the form.
- 21 Q. So, that would be an explanation for why one of the
- 22 forms--
- A. That's why--
- 24 Q. --says the 18th of March 2020.
- 25 A. That's an explanation for why one of the forms could

```
1
    be considered late. However, under the Act, there is a
 2
    three-month grace period, and I've satisfied for the second form
 3
    that three-month grace period.
 4
              So, for the record, Mr Commissioner, the Speaker's
 5
    Declaration has never been late.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can we come to that?
                                                                  What
 7
    I'd like to do--
 8
              THE WITNESS:
                             Oh, I thought we were there already.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          No, we're not.
10
              THE WITNESS:
                                    Sorry to rush you ahead.
                             Okay.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm afraid you are rushing
12
    ahead.
1.3
                             Okay. My humble apologies.
              THE WITNESS:
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           What I'd like to do is to
15
    establish the dates first, the dates that these forms were due,
16
    the dates that you sent them in. And once we've established the
17
    dates, we can then go on to consider whether you were in breach.
18
              Can we establish the dates first, Mr Rawat?
19
              MR RAWAT:
                         Yes.
2.0
              BY MR RAWAT:
21
         Ο.
              The date on which the Honourable Speaker's
2.2
    Declarations fall due is the 12th of March of each year, so the
23
    first one would be the 12th of March 2019, and thereafter the
24
    anniversary would be the 12th of March 2020.
25
              On the Honourable Speaker's evidence that the second
```

1 form that I took him to, the last page which is at 83 and is

- 2 dated the 18th of March 2020, would be the 2020 Declaration.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. On the--
- 5 A. I'm sorry, I'm trying to follow you. Tell me when the
- 6 2020 Declaration was due.
- 7 Q. 12th of March 2020.
- 8 A. 12th of March. And when was it sent?
- 9 O. On 18th of March 2020.
- 10 A. Okay. It was due the 12th and it's sent in on the
- 11 18th. Okay. So--
- 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, that's 2020.
- MR RAWAT: That's the 2020.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: What about 2019?
- 16 BY MR RAWAT:
- 17 Q. Well, the other form in the bundle which is dated--
- 18 A. Okay, let's--
- 19 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 20 BY COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, no.
- 21 BY MR RAWAT:
- 22 Q. Can I just finish the question?
- 23 A. Oh, no, no, no, no, no.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Commissioner, Commissioner, if you're

```
1
    going to invite me to your process, you're not going to bully me
 2
    about--
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 3
                                           I'm not--
 4
              THE WITNESS: --questions and answers.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I'm not bullying you.
 6
              THE WITNESS: You have to give me a chance to properly
 7
    answer the question.
 8
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           But you have to--
 9
              THE WITNESS: Commissioner, I know you're in charge,
10
    but we're here as equals.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But first--
12
              THE WITNESS: I know you're in charge, but we're here
1.3
    as equals. Let's establish that.
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           First, Honourable Speaker,
15
    you have to be asked a question.
16
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And you haven't been asked
18
    a question yet.
19
              THE WITNESS:
                             He just asked me a question.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: When you're asked a
21
    question, you will have full opportunity to answer it.
2.2
              Mr Rawat.
23
              BY MR RAWAT:
24
         Q.
              The first form which is at page 94 in the bundle,
25
    that's the last page--
```

```
1
         Α.
              Are we on 2020?
 2
              I've dealt with 2020.
 3
         Α.
              Okav.
                     So, we established in 2020 it met the
 4
    requirement.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I'm sorry, let me make
 6
    clear what we have established. We've established that it was
 7
    due on the 12th of March; yes?
 8
              THE WITNESS: Um-hmm and sent in on the 18th.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It was sent on the 18th.
10
    Can we just pause there--
11
               (Overlapping speakers.)
12
              THE WITNESS:
                             (Unclear.)
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- on 2020--2019.
14
              THE WITNESS: Okay, but--
15
               (Overlapping speakers.)
16
              MR RAWAT: 2019--
17
              THE WITNESS:
                             (Unclear.)
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          I just want to--
19
               (Overlapping speakers.)
2.0
              THE WITNESS: I humbly and politely request to ask a
21
    question.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          What question?
23
              THE WITNESS: I want us to establish whether or not
    the Speaker of the House did not comply with the Act in 2020.
24
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We will do that, but we
```

```
1
    can't do it until we've established the dates, and at the
 2
    moment--
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                            But he just gave me the dates.
                                                             He said
 4
    it was due on--
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: For one year--
 6
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 7
              THE WITNESS: Okay, 2020.
 8
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Let's establish the dates
 9
    for 2019.
10
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And then we can deal with
12
    breach; yes?
1.3
              THE WITNESS: Okay. So I just want be--
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Right.
15
              THE WITNESS: --I just want to understand whether now
16
    we have finished with 2020.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: In establishing the dates
18
    for 2020, I think we've finished.
19
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But we haven't established
21
    the dates for 2019.
2.2
                            So, Commissioner, can you conclude for
              THE WITNESS:
23
    the record that I have complied with 2020.
24
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Not yet.
25
              Can we deal with 2019?
```

1 BY MR RAWAT: 2 2019, the last page of 2019, what--the last page of Ο. 3 the other Declaration is at page 94 in the bundle and carries 4 the date the 22nd of June--22nd of January 2020. 5 What page, I'm sorry? Α. 6 0. 94. 7 MR ROWE: At the back. Right at the back. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 BY MR RAWAT: 10 That would appear to be the 2019 Declaration filed on Q. 11 the 22nd or signed on the 22nd of January 2020. 12 Α. Well, for when, you say? 1.3 For 2019. Q. 14 I don't know--I'll want to check with my office. Α. 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We've obviously made requests for any documents that you have, and also for any 16 17 information that you have; but, at the moment, these are the 18 documents we've got from the Registrar. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, I'll--again, I'm not--let 2.0 me--you know, verify things. 21 BY MR RAWAT: 2.2 I appreciate, Honourable Speaker, that you will have Q. 23 your own records. You appear to have copies of your own 24 Declarations, and you obviously have copies of correspondence 25 that you had with the Registrar. But if -- on the evidence you've

If I

```
given us in relation to 2020, that explains the difference
between the 12th of March 2020 and the 18th of March 2020.
```

- 3 take you to 2019, on that form, that was due on the 12th of
- 4 March 2019, and it was signed by you on the 22nd of January
- 5 2020.
- A. Okay, I--the 12th of March was the same day I was sworn in so...
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Now, under the Act--and it doesn't matter whether
 11 you're an elected Member, the Attorney General or a
- 12 Speaker--under the Act, you have to file a Declaration on the
- day that you are sworn in. So, for you, on the 12th of March,
- 14 the Act obliged you to sign a Declaration, so--
- 15 A. Okay. What I wrote--
- 16 Q. Can I finish and put the question--
- 17 A. Sure, you can.
- 18 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 19 Q. --what follows from the Act is that because that is 20 dated the 22nd of January 2020, you, as any other Member would
- 21 be, are in breach of the section 3 of the 2006 Act.
- 22 A. Okay, that's your version. I--you have presented me
- 23 with stuff. I am unable at this time to verify the stuff that
- 24 you've presented me with, so I cannot sit here and say that
- 25 there was a breach.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We're not on--okay. Let's take this in stages.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Firstly, I have to act on evidence. This is the evidence we've received from the Registrar pursuant to the Act which you've referred to which was passed during your time as Honourable Speaker. You're aware of the Act. We've recovered these documents from the Registrar. This is the only evidence we have. We have given you opportunities to put in evidence, for example, copies of Declarations that you've had. Those opportunities have not been taken. This is the only evidence I have.

So, on this evidence, on the basis of the document that finishes on page 94, if that, indeed, is your 2019

Declaration—and it's dated the 22nd of January 2020—do you accept that it was not signed and submitted on the 12th of March 2019?

THE WITNESS: I will have to, Commissioner, go back to my office to verify the information that you're now presenting to me. If the information that you're presenting to me is—compares with what I have in the office, then it will appear to be a breach, but I am not sitting here accepting a breach knowing that you told me that you've gotten this from the Registrar of Interests. I don't know what happened between when you got it and when it came to the Commission of Inquiry. I can't assume these things.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can I put it this way:
                                                                    Ιf
 2
    this is your 2019 Declaration, you were in breach?
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                             If--
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You're going to check it.
 5
    I understand that.
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                            If what you have here I can confirm at
 7
    my office, then it appears to be.
 8
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
 9
              BY MR RAWAT:
              And on that basis, again, Honourable Speaker, if this
10
11
    is--page 94 is your 2019 Declaration and it compares with what
12
    you have at the office, then you would also be in breach of
1.3
    section 7 because you were outside the three months.
14
         Α.
              The same answer I just gave.
15
         0.
              Just for the Transcript, just repeat that same answer.
16
              If what I'm being presented here by a Commission of
         Α.
17
    Inquiry called by Mr Jaspert, if this is what I've been
18
    submitted, and I compare it to my office, I will have to get
19
    back to you to see if both information are accurate.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, if this is the 2019
21
    Declaration, it is in breach of section 3 and section 7.
2.2
    there is any other evidence, one assumes not because the
23
    Honourable Speaker has had an opportunity to put it in and has
24
    not put it in, but if there is any other evidence, then he must
25
    submit it later.
```

1 BY MR RAWAT:

- 2 Q. If we go just to 83, please, Honourable Speaker.
- 3 A. Page 83?
- Q. That's the 2020 Declaration, signed and dated 18th of March 2020 and due on the 12th of March 2020.
- So, if that again compares to what you have at your office, then again, you would be in breach of section 3.
- A. Wait. If this is the 2020, Commissioner, and it was due on the 12th and sent on the 18th of March, how is that a breach?
- 11 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because the 18th of March 12 is after the 12th of March.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, but there is a three months'
 waiver.
- 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, not under section 3.
- 16 Under section 7--that's a different breach--it's three months.
- 17 But under section 3, it has to be submitted on a particular
- 18 date. That date was the 12th of March.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. So, I was a week and some days
- 20 late.
- 21 MR ROWE: Six days late.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I was six days late. I admit.
- 23 In 2020, the Speaker of the House was six days late, so,
- 24 according to the Commissioner, he's in breach.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, no, no. No, no, no.

```
1
    No, not according to me.
 2
              THE WITNESS: It's according to you.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 3
                                           No.
 4
              THE WITNESS: You just sat there and said I breached.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           It's not according to me--
 6
              THE WITNESS: Commissioner--
 7
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --it's according to
 8
    section 3--
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but you--
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- of a statute which has
10
11
    been passed by the House of Assembly.
12
              THE WITNESS: I agree with that, but you have
1.3
    concluded based on that statute that I'm in breach. You have
14
    concluded that.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, you've accepted it.
16
              THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm six days late. My humble
17
    apologies.
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
19
              THE WITNESS: For the record, I apologise.
    Speaker has been six days late for 2020. I humbly accept and I
2.0
    apologise.
21
2.2
              BY MR RAWAT:
23
              Honourable Speaker, but before you go forward with
         Q.
24
    that, the other matter--and you may wish to check this against
25
    your own records--
```

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. --but the other potential breach that arises in relation
- 3 to 2020 is at page 73.
- 4 A. Um-hmm.
- 5 Q. Can you--have you got page 73?
- 6 A. I have, sir.
- 7 Q. If you go to the top, you'll see the Registrar of
- 8 Interests stamp.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. There is a stamp in the bundle that you have provided.
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 And it is—in there is written the date 11th of
- 13 August 2020. 11th of August '20.
- Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Now, that's the date on which the Registrar of
- 17 | Interests received the form. So, that again--the risk that then
- 18 | follows is that in relation to 2020, are you not--you're not
- only in breach of section 3 but you're in breach of section 7.
- 20 A. Okay. Again, I'll have to verify that.
- 21 O. Yes.
- Now, you said earlier that your recollection and your
- 23 evidence is is that you complied with the 2021 timeline for
- 24 filing that declaration.
- 25 A. That's according to my recollection, yeah.

```
1
         Q.
              Now, the material that we--the COI had put in the
 2
    bundle for you was received some two weeks ago from the
 3
    Registrar of Interests and did not include your 2021
 4
    Declaration.
                  So she did not provide it to the Commission.
              Can you -- do you have -- can you assist the Commissioner
 5
 6
    at all with when you filed that 2021 Declaration?
 7
               I will have to get that information from the office,
         Α.
    but what I know for sure, and I just verified this with my
 8
 9
    secretary before I attended this hearing is that I'm up to date
10
    on my three years of Declaration.
11
              And did--was the information from your secretary in
         Q.
12
    terms of you being up-to-date that they all three had been filed
1.3
    with the Registrar of Interests?
14
              Yes, all three files. I mean, we can quibble about
         Α.
15
    some were late, some were six days late, but I know for sure the
16
    three were filed.
17
              And I can provide that, Commissioner, the third one
18
    that he claimed not to have received from the Registrar of
19
    Interests, I can make that available.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good.
21
               I think the--have you finished this line of
2.2
    questioning, Mr Rawat?
23
              MR RAWAT: Yes.
24
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: What I think we'll do,
25
    Honourable Speaker, is this: On the basis of the documents we
```

```
1
    have from the Registrar--and I accept that you want to check
 2
    them--
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- that you may have other
 5
    documents. On the basis of these documents, which is the only
 6
    evidence I have at the moment, you appear to be in breach of
 7
    section 3 and section 7 for all three years, on the evidence
    that we have.
 8
 9
              What I'll ask you, through Mr Rowe, to do, by, say,
10
    4:00 on Monday because this will only take you a matter of
11
    minutes--
12
              THE WITNESS:
                            Um-hmm.
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --is to write to the
14
    Commission of Inquiry either accepting the breaches or, if you
15
    have further evidence, submitting the further evidence.
16
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's fair.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Thank you very much.
18
              THE WITNESS: That's fair.
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes.
2.0
              BY MR RAWAT:
21
         0.
              Honourable Speaker, could you turn to page 90--
2.2
              So, are we off the Register of Interests?
         Α.
23
              Not entirely. I'd like to ask you a question about
         0.
24
    the form now, itself.
25
              If you turn to page 90, please.
```

```
1
             Commissioner, I'm sorry, I thought we had made--we had
        Α.
2
   come to a conclusion on the Register of Interests.
```

- Ο. We've come to a conclusion in terms of establishing the dates and establishing breach. What I'd like now to do is to ask you some questions about the form itself.
- 6 If you turn to page 90, please.

7 There is, if you look at the note at the bottom--of 8 the middle of that page, there's a reference to the Commonwealth 9 Parliamentary Association. The actual paragraph doesn't matter.

10 What I want you--

3

4

5

- 11 Page 19, you said? Α.
- 12 Q. 90, nine-zero. Nine--we're talking about the 1.3 same--yeah, nine-zero. Don't have any.
- 14 Overseas visit--yeah, that's it. MR ROWE:
- 15 THE WITNESS: This is it?
- 16 MR ROWE: Um-hum.
- 17 BY MR RAWAT:
- 18 Ο. Yes. It should be headed at the top "Six Overseas 19 Visits".
- 2.0 We're on the same page. Α. Yes, yes.

You probably have it.

- 21 0. Do you see that there's some italicised text?
- 2.2 "Note". If you look at the bottom--the middle of the page,
- 23 there's an italicised text which begins "Note".
- 24 Α. IJm-hmm.
- 25 The last sentence in that text refers to a Guidance Q.

1 Pamphlet on registration and Declaration of Members' Interests.

2 Have you ever received in your time as a Member of the 3 House of Assembly a Guidance Pamphlet?

- A. I can't recall. I'll have to go back and check.
- Q. Now--and when you say you'd go back and check, is that the sort of thing that if you had received it, it would have been kept in your office?
- A. Well, it would have been placed on the file. I mean,
 I answered here "no".
- 10 Q. Honestly, it's not actually about the answer that you 11 gave to the question. It's about the existence of the pamphlet.
- 12 A. Okay, it--
- 13 (Overlapping speakers.)
- Q. --question of other witnesses who are not aware of it.
- 15 A. Yeah.

- Q. I wanted to know whether you had ever been provided with such a document.
- A. I cannot recall seeing such a document. However, I'm willing to go back and check, and that can be included in the information that you, Commissioner, needs by 4:00 on Monday.
- 21 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Very well. Thank you very 22 much.
- 23 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. Thank you. In checking could you also--
- MR ROWE: We will work on that.

1 BY MR RAWAT: 2 --could you also ask the Clerks of the House of Q. 3 Assembly whether such a pamphlet exists? 4 Α. Sure can. 5 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And we ask particularly, 6 Honourable Speaker, because none of the other Members that we 7 have -- who've given evidence, know of any such pamphlet, it would be useful if a pamphlet existed that we have it. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay, yeah, I'll check, I'll check. 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much. 11 BY MR RAWAT: If you go, please--now, let's look at your 2019 form, 12 Q. 1.3 and it's at page 85, please. 14 Um-hmm. Α. 85? 15 Ο. The first--the form has ten paragraphs. The first 16 paragraph asks a Member of the House of Assembly: "Do you have 17 any remunerated or unremunerated Directorships in any company?" 18 You've answered "no" to that question. But if you turn 19 overleaf, the second section or paragraph that the Member has to 2.0 complete is headed "Renumerated Employment, Office, Profession, 21 Et cetera". You've answered "yes" to that, and what you've 2.2 listed are three things: Leonard and Associates, Advanced 23 Marketing and Professional Services, and rental income. 24 I take it those entries are in your--do they look as 25 if it's in your handwriting?

- A. They appear to be in the document you have provided.
- Q. But is it--does it appear in the document that we have provided, does it appear to be in your handwriting?
 - A. I think this is my secretary's handwriting, because, as I said, I remember, each time I get this form, I will have my secretary ask me the question, and she'll usually write it out and then I will sign. Yes, so it's her writing.
 - Q. Now, if you look at the last entry that's been put down by your secretary, it says "rental income".
- 10 A. Um-hmm.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. And, what the form asks the person filling it in asks
 the Member of Assembly to do is to set out details. Now, you've
 given rental income. If you look, please, at page 92, what you
 haven't done at (2) is specify the source of the rental income.
 You do at 92 give details, and is this again in your--does this
 - A. This appears as to what is in front of me to be my secretary's handwriting.

appear to be in your secretary's handwriting?

- Q. To give two, three whole properties in Sea Cows Bay,
 Tortola, was that—are those the source of the rental income
 that you declared in (2)?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. So, what's the source of the rental income that you declared in (2)?
- 25 A. It was an apart--it's an apartment building.

- 1 Q. Where is that?
- 2 A. Sea Cows Bay.
- 3 Q. Say that again, please.
- 4 A. Sea Cows Bay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. So, if you go to page 92, what 92 asks is do you have any land other than any home used solely for the personal residential purposes of you or and your family. You've answered yes. You were then asked to indicate below the nature of the land, which includes whether it's residential rented/leasehold land. And as I said, you put in two entries. Does that mean that the apartment building that gives you income is not listed there?
- A. See, I'm not sure which of those two properties, but there is a house on some land, and it's more of a house in collaboration with my family, so I wouldn't be the sole owner. It will be my mother and a brother and a sister, so I don't know if it falls on any of those two pieces of property.
- COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Are either of these pieces of property the home of the apartment to which you've referred?

That why I said I'm not sure.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You don't know, okay.

THE WITNESS:

- 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure, because I'm thinking 23 these are the pieces of property in my name, not tied up with
- 24 family.
- 25 BY MR RAWAT:

- Q. Well, you obviously--so, you've got two pieces of property in your name which you have listed in paragraph 9.
 - A. Um-hmm.
- 4 Q. Yeah.

3

8

9

16

17

18

19

2.0

- A. And then there's another property where the house is, apartment building where the rental income come from, which is with the family.
 - Q. If you like, let's call that a jointly owned property.
 - A. Um-hmm.
- Q. Yeah. Now, you've declared that at least income from that jointly home properly--property in paragraph 2. To step back from the detail of what you have declared, and looking at it now, do you think that it--there is--this raises any sort of uncertainty on--or doubt as to what exactly you're being asked to provide in terms of information?
 - A. Perhaps the--perhaps one could agree that the form may be a bit ambiguous, and perhaps it needs to be tightened up so it will have led to--because I think the other question was asking about income, so at least I was able to say I'm receiving income from a family rental.
 - O. Yes.
- A. But it doesn't necessarily say that I am the owner or the sole owner of that rental.
- 24 O. Yes.
- 25 A. So, perhaps there was some ambiguity.

- 1 Q. Because--
- 2 A. With the form.
- Q. To be fair to you, Honourable Speaker, what the--what paragraph 8, which is headed "Land", asks is do you have any
- 5 land. So--
- 6 A. This is on page 92?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So--
- 10 A. Yeah, so those are the lands in my name.
- 11 Q. Yes.
- And so, what the potential ambiguity that you have
 identified is that it's not clear—it may not be clear to the
 Member of the House completing this form whether they are being
 asked to declare land that they own solely or land that they own
 jointly.
- 17 A. Jointly, yes. That could be an argument.
- Q. If you go, please, to your 2020 form at 74--sorry?
- MR RAWAT: Give me a moment, please.
- 20 (Pause.)
- 21 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. Excuse me. In 2019, one of the sources of income that you put down was Leonard and Associates.
- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. And I've read the other two that you put down now.

```
1 But if we go to your Declaration in 2020, which was--we look at
```

- 2 page 74 and 75, please.
 - A. I'm at page 75.
- 4 Q. Thank you.

- You'll see that you've said no to directorships but yes to remuneration.
- 7 A. Okay. Let me explain because the--
- 8 (Mr Rowe consulting with the Witness.)
- 9 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, Mr Rowe,
- 10 firstly, the Honourable Speaker, I think, can look after himself
- 11 | in terms of questions. If he's unclear of a question, as he had
- 12 | in the past, I'm sure he'll make that clear to us, and we'll
- 13 repeat the question. But in this case I think he understood the
- 14 question and is just about to answer it.
- 15 THE WITNESS: And I thank my Attorney for intervening
- 16 on my interests. That's appreciated.
- 17 MR ROWE: Comment to Mr Rawat. I gathered that he had
- 18 | not finished.
- 19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I'm sorry, Mr Rowe. Let
- 20 Mr Rawat ask his question again.
- MR ROWE: Yes, please.
- 22 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And then the Honourable
- 23 Speaker can answer it.
- 24 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. I drew your attention, Honourable Speaker, to the

entries that you put in your 2019 form under paragraph 2 and took you back then to your 2020 form at pages 74 and 75, where you had, as you had in 2019 said no to directorships, but you said yes to remuneration but gave no details, so the first question was an explanation as to why you gave no details.

MR ROWE: That's the question.

1.3

2.0

2.2

in terms of businesses, one could obtain a trade license, so doing business as Julian Willock, doing business as Finance Marketing, and doing business as Leonard and Associates Security. When you come into directorship now, that's a different law, Companies Register Act, so where you have a director, shareholders, et cetera. So, I think most of my businesses, if not all, are simply trade—by trade license, so Leonard and Associates Securities by trade license, Advanced Marketing is by trade license. So the issue of Directorship did not apply as per the question on the bundle that you have provided.

- Q. And that's paragraph 1. But the question is why we don't see in paragraph 2 reference to Leonard and Associates, reference to Advanced Marketing and Professional Services, or references to rental income.
- A. I don't understand the question.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well, page 75 is the starting point, Honourable Speaker.

```
1 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
```

- 2 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Page 75.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Um-hmm. But I'd already listed the name
- 4 of the companies.

5 BY MR RAWAT:

- Q. But you had listed them in 2019. You didn't list them in 2020.
- A. Okay. I will have to--again, I'll have to check that because I could not have not listed them after I said to the
- 10 Registrar of Interests that nothing has changed in 2020.
- 11 Q. But on your evidence she told you you had to do the 12 form over again.
- 13 A. Yes, she had, yes.
- Q. And the point is that whilst saying you did have remunerated employment, you didn't give the same detail--
 - A. Okay, again--
- 17 Q. --as you had in 2019.
- 18 A. Yeah, I will have to--I'll have to recheck that. That
- 19 will be--that will be a part, Commissioner, of the information
- 20 I'll provide to you by 4:00 on Monday.
- Q. But the reason for asking is if you go to--because as
- 22 | you've explained, Leonard and Associates is a trade license;
- 23 yes?

- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If you go to page 95, there is a Resolution of the

House of Assembly. It is dated the 22nd of June 2020. You can see that on page 96.

- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. And it was Gazetted on the 17th of July 2020.
- A. Um-hmm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. And it relates to you, and it says: "Whereas The Honourable Julian Willock, Member of the House of Assembly, is an elected Member of the House of the Assembly and the Speaker thereof, whereas The Honourable Julian Willock elected Member and Speaker of the House of Assembly is a director in the company known as Leonard and Associates which provides private security services in the Territory", and then it goes on to set out the basis on which the Resolution sought, which was the Resolution sought and implemented that you be exempted under section 67 of the Constitution of 2007.

What that Resolution of the House of Assembly refers to is Leonard and Associates as a company and you as a director in the company. Is the Resolution inaccurate?

A. Okay. They have--perhaps there have--may have been some plain words there because, as I said, Leonard and Associates Security is via trade license, so perhaps--and in the BVI we often interchange words. When somebody said director-owner, it could be still be via trade license. Director-owner could be a company register. So, perhaps there may have been some interchange of words, but the fact of the

- 1 matter is that, at the time of this Resolution, I was the only
 2 director or owner of Leonard and Associates Security.
- Q. You were--for all intents and purposes, you were Leonard and Associates.
 - A. Yes, yes.

5

6

7

8

- Q. Now, just asking for your help in terms of how a Resolution like that is drafted. You're obviously the subject of the Resolution. Who is responsible for drafting it?
 - A. The Attorney General Chambers.
- Q. Now, your 2020 Declaration, which you looked at, which was filed on the 18th of March 2020, does not make reference to Leonard and Associates either as a company or a d/b/a. Should it have done so?
- 14 A. What page are you looking at?
- Q. Well, if you look at pages 74 and 75 that we've just been taken to, those are where one might expect to see a reference to Leonard and Associates, as we have done in 2019.
- A. Okay. I'll have to, again, look at my office and see what's there.
- Q. Because, would it be right that the June 2020
 Resolution obviously refers to Leonard and Associates as a going
 concern. Would it be right that it was a viable entity in
 March 2020?
- A. It referred to it as what?
- 25 Q. It's a going concern. It's a concern that enters into

```
1
    a contract with Government, doesn't it?
 2
                      Is that what the Resolution said?
          Α.
               Okay.
 3
          Ο.
               Yes.
 4
          Α.
               Okay.
 5
               You were the beneficiary of the Resolution.
          Q.
 6
          Α.
               Well--
 7
               Presumably you're aware or you remember a detail.
          Q.
 8
               It says my name; right?
          Α.
 9
          Q.
               Yes.
10
               You read Julian Willock on it.
          Α.
11
               Yes.
          Q.
12
          Α.
               So it means that obviously I would have been the
1.3
    beneficiary.
14
          Q.
               Yes.
15
          Α.
               Okay.
16
               But at the time that you entered into the contract
          Q.
17
    with Government in June 2020, Leonard and Associates was a going
18
    concern, was it?
19
               When you said "going concern", what do you mean?
          Α.
2.0
          Q.
               Was it a viable company?
21
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Was it trading?
2.2
               BY MR RAWAT:
23
               Was it trading?
          Q.
24
          Α.
               Leonard and Associates Security, I believe, were--I'm
```

not sure--in 2020? Yes, it was active.

The question is whether we had businesses? Whether we had security contracts?

- Q. Was it trading? That's the question.
- A. Well, you have to clarify what you mean by "trading".
- 5 There's trading means what we refer to on the basis of the Stock
- 6 Market. Trading could mean was it a viable operational company.
- 7 So you'll have to give me the definition for the purposes of
- 8 this exercise.
- 9 Q. Well, without--we don't want the language to get too
- 10 flexible. Is it a company or is it a d/b/a?
- 11 A. It's a d/b/a. I think I answered that already.
- 12 Q. Well, you just called it as a--described it as a
- 13 company.

- 14 A. Okay, again--
- 15 Q. Let's call it a d/b/a.
- 16 (Overlapping speakers.) And let's read the?
- 17 A. We--
- 18 O. --read the Resolution--
- 19 A. Commissioner, it's going in circles, and I think it's
- 20 | getting to a time where--we're going in circles.
- 21 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We're not going in circles
- 22 | but we're--
- THE WITNESS: I respect your opinion, but we're--I
- 24 hope you can respect mine.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So--but we're not making

```
1
    the progress I had hoped, so let's press on.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I'm saying, Commissioner, if a
 3
    question is asked and answered, I don't want any tricks trying
 4
    to ask me the same question five and six times.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           No, I'm--
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                            I'm not going to sit here and be bullied
 7
    by this Commission. I made it clear when I came in here. Asked
 8
    and answered and then we move on.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: These two trading entities
10
    which are referred to in the Declarations are both d/b/as.
11
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                   Whatever is there, they're
12
    d/b/a's.
              So, if there was some language that suggests it was a
1.3
    company, as a company under the Registers Act--
14
               (Overlapping speakers.)
15
              THE WITNESS:
                             That language was not accurate.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that.
16
17
              THE WITNESS: So everything that Leonard and Associate
    is d/b/a.
18
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes, thank you.
2.0
              THE WITNESS: Could you--is that clear?
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes.
2.2
              THE WITNESS:
                             Good. So we can move off that?
23
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes.
24
              THE WITNESS: Great.
25
              BY MR RAWAT:
```

Q. What the Resolution before the House said, as drafted as you said by the Attorneys General's Chambers, is that it describes you as the Director in the company known as Leonard and Associates which provides private security services in the Territory. It then says whereas the company is desirous of entering into a private security agreement with the Government of the Virgin Islands; then says whereas the Government of the Virgin Islands through the Deputy Governor's office has made an offer to the company by letter dated 14th of June 2020 to provide 24 hours private security services in the form of monitoring persons quarantined in private homes and residences in the Territory from the 2nd day of June 2020 to the 31st day of August 2020 at a hourly rate of \$18.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Now, that was the contract, and it refers to the interests that you have in the company.

Now, at the time of this Resolution and at the time of the offer from the Deputy Governor's office, was Leonard and Associates active?

- A. What do you mean by "active"? Do you mean if we had other contracts with other parties?
- Q. Well, did you--you've said that you are effectively Leonard and Associates. So, did you enter into negotiations with the Deputy Governor's office that led to an offer being made by letter on the 14th of June 2020 to Leonard and Associates?

A. Commissioner, allow me to give a little background.

1.3

2.0

2.2

In the peak of the COVID-19 situation in the BVI, the Government, I think, through a Cabinet Decision, wanted to hire private security companies to be posted at homes and hotels to assist with people complying with quarantine. They had exhausted all the security companies, because I have resisted working for Government for a lot of reasons.

The--I got a letter and a phone call from the Deputy
Governor's office saying that they were desperately in need of a
security company to assist them. They have gone through all the
companies. Some of the guys were exhausted, and they needed
help from my company.

I said to the Deputy Governor's office that I will help in the situation. However, as a sitting Member of the House, and knowing my history of transparency, I will bring a Resolution. I will ask that a Resolution to be brought to the House so I can get permission to enter into business with Government. Hence, this piece of document.

However--and I'm sure you might be disappointed,

Commissioner, that you have not found a contract between Leonard and Associates Security and the Government of the Virgin

Islands. I know you're very disappointed that you didn't find one because after the Resolution was passed, I did not engage with the Government of the Virgin Islands in any contracts. So, at least I did what was correct, what was lawful in terms of

```
1
    declaring, but there was no follow-up after the Declaration in
 2
    which I engaged in a contract with the Government.
 3
              As I said, I know how disappointed you are,
 4
    Commissioner, but in your research you have not found a
 5
    contract.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Honourable Speaker, first,
 7
    there are many contracts we haven't found.
 8
              Second.
                       The answer to the question is yes.
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yes, yes as to what?
10
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           The question.
11
              MR RAWAT:
12
         Q.
              And did Leonard and Associates --
1.3
              THE WITNESS: But I'm sorry. I just want to be clear
14
    what he's saying yes to. You're saying "yes" to, Commissioner.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The question was: Did you
16
    engage with the Deputy Governor in relation to this offer? You
17
    did.
18
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I engaged.
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
                                                 So the answer to the
2.0
    question--
21
              THE WITNESS:
                            Engaged to the extent of I went to the
2.2
    House of Assembly to get--because engaged is wide. Engaged
23
    could mean you accepted an offer, you signed the contract, you
24
    work, so we have to be very specific with this language because
25
    I see what's going on here. You're trying to trip people up,
```

```
1
    but that's not going to happen this morning with me.
 2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 3
              THE WITNESS: That's not going to happen with the
 4
              I can tell you that.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: We're not trying to trip
 6
    anyone.
 7
              THE WITNESS:
                            No, no, you are.
 8
               (Overlapping speakers.)
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           We---
              THE WITNESS: Commissioner, I'm going to tell you
10
11
    straight up you are, but it's not going to happen with the
12
    Speaker.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: There we are. We--you got
1.3
14
    your answer, Mr Rawat.
15
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay, but let's be clear, Commissioner,
16
    that engagement could mean a variety of things. There was
17
    limited engagement with the Deputy Governor's office once there
18
    was an offer, so I want that language to be on the record.
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           It's on the record.
2.0
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
21
                         If I may ask, Commissioner, the bundle we
    received -- this is the index to it--did not include this
2.2
23
    document. We will hope that if there are supplementary
24
    documents or if there is, in fact, an omission by the persons
25
    preparing it.
```

```
1
               THE WITNESS:
                             Oh.
 2
                          Sorry.
                                 Which--
               MR RAWAT:
 3
              MR ROWE:
                         Which documents which we are referring now?
 4
               BY MR RAWAT:
 5
               Turn to page 95 in your bundle.
         Q.
 6
         Α.
               I have--
 7
               MR ROWE:
                        I'm at 94.
 8
              MR RAWAT: Right. Well, it was sent to you as an
 9
    update.
10
                         We received this bundle like this.
               MR ROWE:
11
                            Wow, see, people make mistakes all the
               THE WITNESS:
12
    time, Commissioner.
1.3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           But--
14
                            People are late with documents all the
               THE WITNESS:
15
    time.
16
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                            But--
17
               THE WITNESS: You'll agree.
18
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But some errors are more
19
    important than others.
2.0
               THE WITNESS:
                             Oh.
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: This error isn't important
21
2.2
    at all because there is no doubt, is there, that this is
23
    Resolution number --
24
               (Overlapping speakers.)
25
               THE WITNESS: Well, commissioner, I can't believe you
```

```
1
    sit there and say that that's not important. My lawyer is given
 2
    a bundle with documents missing--
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 3
                                          No--
 4
              THE WITNESS: -- and you don't see that as important?
 5
    It speaks volume of this process, Commissioner. Commissioner,
 6
    it speaks volume about this process, and you're going to have to
 7
    convince this country that you have a transparent process.
                                                                  It's
 8
    not official exercise.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Mr Speaker.
10
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Honourable Speaker --
12
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --page 95--
14
              THE WITNESS:
                            Um-hum, which I have in my bundle.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
16
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Page 95 is a Resolution of
18
    the House of Assembly.
19
              THE WITNESS:
                            Um-hum.
2.0
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: A public document.
21
              THE WITNESS:
                            Um-hum.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: There's no doubt that it
23
    is what it says it is.
24
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, but why is it--
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I'm sorry.
```

```
1
              THE WITNESS: --missing from my lawyer's bundle?
 2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          I'm sorry. Is that right?
 3
    Is there any dispute that this is a genuine document?
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                            That's not the question, Commissioner.
 5
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It is the question I'm
 6
    asking.
 7
              THE WITNESS: The question on this table is whether or
    not why was this document left out of my Attorney's bundle?
 8
 9
    That's the question at hand. Don't switch the thing on me now,
10
    Commissioner.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It's not.
12
              THE WITNESS: Commissioner, we're here as equal.
1.3
    Let's talk to each other. Why--okay. Admit it's a mistake.
                                                                   We
14
    all make mistakes.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Firstly, I don't know
16
    whether it's a mistake. But secondly, if it is, there's no
17
    doubt what this document is. You've answered questions on it
18
    quite--
19
              THE WITNESS: But that's not the issue.
                                                        The issue is
2.0
    it's missing from his bundle, Commissioner. Commissioner, how
21
    can it be right when it you suits you, and when it suits me it's
2.2
    wrong?
23
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well--
24
              THE WITNESS: --that speaks volume to transparency and
25
    ethics and integrity.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Well, I'll have to
    consider those submissions, Honourable Speaker.
 2
 3
              Is there anything else on page 95?
 4
              BY MR RAWAT:
 5
                   The next question is whether during the course
         Q.
 6
    of--well, during the course of this engagement with the Deputy--
 7
              Let me just say, in your research, Commissioner, did
         Α.
 8
    you find a contract between Leonard and Associates and the
 9
    Government of the Virgin Islands?
10
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I don't know.
11
              BY MR RAWAT:
12
         Q.
              During the--
1.3
              THE WITNESS: No, no, I asked a question,
14
    Commissioner.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I've given you my answer.
16
              THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I don't know.
18
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry. You don't know.
19
    That's curious because I was told that one of the first things
2.0
    you guys asked for was to see that contract, a contract that
21
    don't exist. Well, that's another story. Continue, sir.
2.2
              BY MR RAWAT:
23
              I will continue with the questions.
         Q.
24
         Α.
              Continue.
                         I'm here at your disposal.
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And to answer--
```

```
1
               (Overlapping speakers.)
               THE WITNESS: Ask away.
 2
 3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you very much.
 4
               THE WITNESS: Ask away.
 5
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat.
 6
              BY MR RAWAT:
 7
               During the period of engagement with the Deputy
         Q.
 8
    Governor, did Leonard and Associates become Eagle Security?
 9
         Α.
               Leonard and Associates Security -- no. Leonard and
10
    Associates Security was my company.
                                          I had a friend who also was
11
    interested in the security business, and what I said to him was
12
    why don't we form another company so that Leonard and Associates
1.3
    Security, who was Julian Willock doing business, will not be
14
    mixed in with his interest, so we formed another company called
15
    Eagle Security.
16
               And were you--so, you and that friend--is that a d/b/a
         Q.
17
    or a company?
18
         Α.
               Yes. Yes, sir.
19
               Let's call it a d/b/a so we don't get confused.
         Q.
2.0
               Yes. Yes, yes, yes.
         Α.
21
         0.
               That d/b/a, were you part of that d/b/a? Was it a
2.2
    joint d/b/a?
23
               Yes, it was a joint, yes. Both names are on the trade
         Α.
```

Right. And in terms of the issue that the -- or the

24

25

license.

Q.

- area in which the Deputy Governor was interested, did Eagle--did
 Eagle Security then engage with the Deputy Governor's Office in
 relation to the provision of security services?
 - A. What happened is that when I lost interest via Leonard and Associates Security, I think my business partner pursued the venture because I told him that I no longer have interests in working for the Government, and he pursued the same contract under Eagle, but again it never materialised.
 - Q. So, if we break that down, as Leonard and Associates, you engaged with the Deputy Governor in relation to provision of security services for quarantine purposes, but your evidence is that never materialised into a contract; is that right? Did you sign a contract?
 - A. Well, you didn't find one, so--
- 15 Q. Well--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

- 16 A. You will be aware that that is accurate.
- Q. But you were a potential party to the contract. You-(Overlapping speakers.)
- 19 A. Of course. I went to do a Declaration.
- Q. Yeah, but did you sign a contract or did you not as
- 21 Leonard and Associates?
- 22 A. With the Government of the Virgin Islands?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. The answer is no.
- 25 Q. Fine.

- A. You did not find a contract. You went searching for a contract, Commissioner. You didn't find one, so the answer is no.
 - Q. Did Eagle Security sign a contract--
 - A. Not to my knowledge. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Well, were you--at any point did you cease to be part of Eagle Security?
- 8 A. No, I'm still part of Eagle Security.
- 9 Q. And your partner didn't tell you whether or not he had 10 signed a contract?
- 11 A. Well, he could not have signed a contract because then
 12 I would have to go back and declare because remember now it's a
 13 different company.
- 14 Q. So--

4

- 15 A. Remember I'm transparent.
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. Your evidence is now that Eagle Security did not sign a contract.
- A. Eagle Security did not sign a contract, yeah, because
 I would have known because again, Commissioner, I would have had
 to declare under the law, in keeping with my transparency.
- Q. If you go back, please, to page 86, Advanced Marketing and Professional Services, please, can you remind the
- 25 Commissioner, please, what does that--it's a d/b/a, first of

all; is that right?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what does it--you've given some detail about it, but what does it currently do? What services does it provide?
 - A. Advanced Marketing and Professional Services, right now we're kind of limited because I had to kind of take a semi-leave from the company since I became the Speaker, so the most thing they do now is advertising and a news site, an online news site.
- 10 Q. And what's the on-line new site?
- 11 A. It's called Virgin Islands News Online.
 - Q. And you said that when you became Speaker, you took a step back.
 - A. Yes, yes. I wrote--I had an agreement with both the former Governor, Jaspert--your friend, Commissioner--and the Premier, that once I became the Speaker I'm to remove myself from all the editorial surrounding Virgin Islands News Online. It means that I can't write stories. I can't tell anybody what to write. I can't engage in blogs, et cetera, et cetera. So there was a removal. Because we didn't have a Marketing Manager, the only thing I do for the company is try and raise revenue, meaning if someone has an ad, you will send it to us, or send it to me, and we'll get it up for you. That's my method. And that has been long-established now, almost two-and-a-half years that I had nothing to do in terms of what

1 | is written on VNO.

- 2 Q. You said it's an agreement between yourself, the
- 3 former Governor and the Premier. Was that recorded in a
- 4 memorandum.
- 5 A. There are letters--there are letters written.
- Q. So. But it was an exchange of letters that's been
- 7 involved.
- 8 A. Yes, yes.
- 9 Q. And was that exchange of letters prior to your
- 10 appointment as Speaker or after?
- 11 A. No, I think it came after.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. It came after.
- Q. And so, the level of involvement that you've had with
- 15 this d/b/a since becoming Speaker, it's is essentially being
- 16 involved in marketing.
- 17 A. Yes, yes. Specifically advertising. Yeah, if someone
- 18 has an ad for VNO, they'll call--
- 19 Q. They'll call you.
- 20 A. They call me.
- 21 Q. Right.
- Now, because it's a d/b/a, I mean, you've explained
- 23 | that Leonard and Associates is essentially you, who is Advanced
- 24 | Marketing and Professional Services? Is it still just you
- 25 alone?

```
1 A. It's a d/b/a with me, but I have one or two persons
```

- 2 | who have interests in the company, Advanced Marketing, because
- 3 Advanced Marketing is the parent company of VNO.
 - Q. Right. But is it the d/b/a of VNO?
- 5 A. It's the parent company of VNO.
- 6 Q. So, it is a proper company.
- 7 A. It's a d/b/a.
- 8 Q. Right. So, as d/b/a, it can be a parent of another
- 9 entity.

- 10 A. Yes, as a d/b/a.
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 And so, there are one or two others who are also
- 13 involved in--
- 14 A. Yeah, they're involved.
- 15 Q. --Advanced Marketing.
- 16 A. Yeah, in Advanced Marketing.
- 17 Q. Right.
- 18 A. But it's not in a situation where it's registered so
- 19 you can go to the Registrar of--what is it called? Reg of
- 20 Corporate Affairs, where you'll see Director (1), John Brown,
- 21 Director (2).
- 22 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But there is a trade
- 23 license.
- 24 THE WITNESS: There's a trade license.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And whose name is the

```
trade license in?
 1
 2
               THE WITNESS:
                             My name.
 3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                            Thank you.
 4
               THE WITNESS:
                            Yeah, trade license in my name.
 5
              BY MR RAWAT:
 6
         0.
              And is it just in your name?
 7
         Α.
               Just in my name, yes.
               So, within the BVI, do d/b/a's work on this basis that
 8
         Q.
    person A can hold a trade licenses but others can also be
 9
10
    involved--
11
               (Overlapping speakers.)
12
         Α.
               Yeah, that practice has occurred more than once.
1.3
    can have--you can open, Commissioner, a car rental in BVI, and I
14
    can perhaps -- it's in your name, I can come in and invest in your
15
    trade license. I can say I'll bring you a car. When it rented,
16
    I get 50 percent, so that practice happens in the Virgin
17
    Islands.
18
         Ο.
               But in terms of leaving aside the fact that you've
19
    stepped back because of your Speaker role, because it's a d/b/a,
2.0
    what you would be described as?
21
               Well, it's--there is a trade license that shows the
2.2
    owner.
23
               Right. So, you are the owner of Advanced Marketing
         Q.
24
    and Professional Services.
25
              According to the trade licence?
```

- Q. Yes. And according to the trade licence, you're the sole owner.
 - A. According to the trade licence, my name appears on the trade licence.
 - Q. You say there are other investors. Are you still the majority shareholder in Advanced Marketing and Professional Services?
 - A. That would be correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Could I just go back to--again, your role as Speaker, and it may be that you can't help us with this, but you have--there should be possibly a loose-leaf piece of paper which is headed "Guidelines for Processing Assistance Grants".
- A. I will have that—where is that? Is it in this bundle? Just tell me the page if it's in a bundle.
- Q. It's not in the bundle. It's a loose-leaf piece of paper.
- 17 A. If I can get a copy.
 - Q. I don't need to take you to the detail of it. What
 Members of the House of Assembly have said is that, as district
 representatives or either territorial representatives, they have
 a fund. They are allocated a fund from which they can
 distribute assistance grants to individuals. Those individuals
 have to make an application to them which they will assess, and
 it then goes to the Clerk of the House of Assembly. It will go
 elsewhere as well as part of the process, but they've explained

in detail how the process works, and the guidelines have been provided to the Commissioner. The Clerk to the House has advised that these guidelines were issued between 2003 and 2007.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, my question to you is: As Speaker, do you have any responsibility at all for the guidance provided to Members of the House of Assembly in relation to assistance grants?

A. The whole issue of assistance grant, since I took office, I try my--well, I have made a conscious decision to stay away from that. It's awarded to the 13 elected Members. Those are the ones who are elected by the people of the country, so I have deliberately stayed out of it. It has--it's administered between the Clerk and the individual Member.

I am aware to some extent of the guidelines.

- Q. But you've not been asked to review the guidelines or consider whether they need updating or whether they need revising.
- A. There's always ongoing discussion about reviewing them. I remember when the matter of the COVID assistance grant came up, which is different from the regular assistance grant. There were calls by Members, rightly so, that they ought to take a look at the general assistance grant policy.
 - Q. Now, were those discussions on the floor of the House?
- A. No. They were--we have informal meetings where it's closed to the public, so those meetings were--
 - Q. And is your role as Speaker just to Chair those

meetings--

1.3

2.0

2.2

- A. That's--I--
- Q. --rather than to take a view?
- A. Wherever there is a meeting of the House of Assembly, it's Chaired by the Speaker, whether formal or informal. And again, I--and as I said earlier, I try not to impose my opinion. I preside as an impartial broker. I let the Members make the decision. I always often tell them I serve at their pleasure.
- Q. Going back to a piece of evidence you gave earlier, which was about your role, act as the link between Members of the House of Assembly and the administration of the House, have you been asked at all to take forward on behalf of Members of the House of Assembly any concerns they have about the current guidelines?
- A. There have been discussions about the guideline. As I said, it came up specifically when The Honourable Minister of Finance--during the COVID lockdown, it was a tough time for the country, tough time for people, people lost their jobs, and the Minister of Finance awarded each Member--each of the 13 of the 15--a sum of money to help people in and their constituents, and we sat through meetings with the Financial Secretary and the Accountant General and the Clerk, coming up with guidelines on how that fund is to be distributed.

At that same meeting, Members would have raised, informally, that there is a need to relook at the whole

```
1
    assistance grant process.
 2
         Ο.
              Thank you.
 3
              MR RAWAT:
                         Mr Commissioner, I've reached the end of my
 4
    questions. I have nothing further. Can I conclude by thanking
    the Honourable Speaker for coming this afternoon to give his
 5
 6
    evidence, and also thanking him for the way in which he has
 7
    given that evidence.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 8
                                           Yes.
 9
              Honourable Speaker, thank you for your time.
10
              THE WITNESS: I thank you, Commissioner, and I hope
11
    that you did not equate my passion with any attempt to
12
    disrespect your good office. I have the highest regard for you.
1.3
    It's just that I want to see--and this is the wishes of the
14
    public -- a fair, objective and transparent Commission of Inquiry
15
    and not one with--
16
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And that, Honourable
17
    Speaker, is what they'll get. Thank you very much.
18
              THE WITNESS: And let me just close by saying not one
19
    with a conclusion already written, but one that is going to be
2.0
    honestly fair and transparent. Thanks, sir.
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You've seen us at work.
              Yes, Mr Rowe.
2.2
23
              MR ROWE:
                       When will we get our updated timetable? You
24
    said we would--
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: For next week.
```

```
1
              MR ROWE:
                        For next week.
 2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes, but I'll be corrected
 3
    if I'm wrong. The updated timetable, the current timetable,
 4
    it's set for Thursday, which is when we're due to hear from the
    Premier and the Governor, and we're not hearing from either that
 5
 6
    day; is that correct?
 7
              MR RAWAT: Yes.
 8
              THE WITNESS: I just want to say something, one last
 9
    thing before I may -- if I may.
10
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But what about?
11
              THE WITNESS: The -- that your -- these -- these series of
12
    meetings and hearings have been very interruptive to the House
1.3
    of Assembly sitting, so I want you to be mindful of that.
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           We are mindful of it, and
15
    we've had extremely good discussions, particularly with the
16
    elected Ministers, who are, I suspect, as busy as anyone, and
17
    we've managed to accommodate their wishes in terms of sittings,
18
    I think--well, yes, in every case, and that's one reason I'm
19
    pressing on because I think the next witness is the Premier.
2.0
              MR RAWAT:
                         Yes.
21
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I'm anxious not to
2.2
    keep him waiting.
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I am--I concur.
24
               (Overlapping speakers.)
25
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- any longer that we need.
```

```
1
             THE WITNESS: I concur. I can exist the room.
                                                              Thank
2
   you.
3
             COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. We can rise--
4
             (Overlapping speakers.)
5
             MR ROWE: --because we don't--we can rise, but sorry.
6
             (Recess.)
```

1 Session 2 HON ANDREW A. FAHIE, COMMISSION WITNESS, CALLED 2 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: First, Premier, can I 4 apologise for the delay in getting to your evidence. For one 5 reason or another, the evidence of the Honourable Speaker went 6 longer than I hoped. 7 Yes. Mr Rawat. Thank you, Commissioner. 8 MR RAWAT: 9 BY MR RAWAT: 10 Premier, thank you for coming back to give further 11 evidence to the Commissioner today. There is no need for you to 12 be sworn. You took the affirmation on the last occasion, and 1.3 that still applies. 14 On the last occasion, there were some formalities that 15 we dealt with which I would normally do with a new witness. 16 don't have to do that with you, save, I hope, just to remind 17 you, please, to keep your voice up and speak slowly. 18 microphone that you see in front of you does not amplify--it 19 just records--and so, if you could observe those rules, it will be helpful so we could accurately record your evidence. 2.0 21 Α. Okay. (unclear). 2.2 I'm sorry? 0. 23 The mic is on now? Α. 24 Q. It is on, but it will just record. 25 (Overlapping speakers.)

- A. Okay. I thank you so much for that. And as usual, I pray that the Spirit will give us truth and justice and His will be done as we're here as I pray before every proceeding that I do, Amen.
- Q. On the last occasion, Premier, you also set out to the Commissioner some details about your background, and we also went through your time as elected representatives and the various elections that you stood in, so we have that already on the record, so we don't need to go through it again.

So, what--just to give some context, the purpose of the sessions that we are currently involved in is to look at interests held by Members of the House of Assembly and also the interests of Cabinet Ministers.

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. There has been, as you may have appreciated now, a number of current Members of the House and also former Members who have given evidence to the Commissioner.

You are primarily being called, Premier, as a Member of the House of Assembly rather than the Premier and the Minister of Finance; so, if I slip occasionally from calling you "Premier" and switch to "Mr Fahie". do forgive me.

- A. No problem.
- Q. Now, the first thing I think just to start off with is the question of the Register of Interests Act 2006, and this is a question I've asked of a number of people. The Act, at

present, makes--means that the Register cannot be inspected by ordinary members of the public. It can be inspected by, for example, a court or for purpose was a criminal investigation or, as you'll be aware, by a Commission of Inquiry following the amendment. But the Commissioner has heard evidence that, in the past, there have been suggestions--and by "past". I mean--I take you back to 2008 when the Act actually came into force--there have been discussions or proposals that it should be open to the public, and so the first question I would like to put to you is: What is your current view on whether the Register should be published to the public?

A. Thank you, Commissioner, for that.

1.3

2.0

2.2

I would say that, in 2008, when it came into force, if my memory serves me well on it, there was an overriding thought by all the elected Members at that time that it should not be a public document, and that was in 2008. But as time passed, even before becoming Premier, I saw the need for it to be reconsidered in my mindset, which is something that we were—we are working towards to give the House of Assembly in the near future two options: One, whether there could be a public Register or if it should be publicly accessible.

Given the reason that we wanted to stay parallel with what we--what we believe for the Financial Services, should it be a public Register, or should it be a publicly accessible Register? Of course, a public Register speaks for itself.

Publicly accessible Register will be now a matter of some checks and balances on the check--on being able to check, not that you want to hide anything.

1.3

2.0

2.2

And if I may, Commissioner, to be able to add some more context to it, in Financial Services, we do agree that we should attract transparent and upstanding business. But at the same time, too, we do agree that you should have an opportunity to look into the type of businesses that do business in the BVI, but there should be a safety net for certain parts because, if I can take an example where you might have an older lady or older gentleman--I'm not picking on a lady--an older person, I would say for that, who is filthy rich with assets, and they get someone to look after them and care for them. And if you have the Register open, they may look at it and plan something facetious. In hands we saw that day can somehow end up with the riches. These are things that you see on television but also exist in real life.

So, we see that there is a section that has to protect the vulnerable, protect the young, and protect certain other aspects. Of course, no protection for anyone in tourism--sorry, terrorist financing, no protection for anyone that's tax-evading, but we see a layer of protection for them in certain areas for certain entities. That level of thinking, now as I mature more as an elected official because, you know, 2008, you would agree with me that that is 13 years ago, as you get in

any profession, if you watch yourself, you will evolve with your thinking.

1.3

2.0

2.2

So, that is the concept we were bringing forward. As you know, we started to do the revision of the Register of Interests Act, and it had not reached Cabinet as yet, but there has been some trains of thought about before it reaches in the Cabinet. And even when it goes to Cabinet and when it gets to the House, I can't say what it will ought to be because you know the House of Assembly has a final say and a final vote, but those are the two areas that we had been able to put forward to Members now.

Q. The sum of what you've said in terms of the position in relation to the Register of Interests which applies to Members of the House of Assembly is that the two options will be open to the public or publicly accessible.

But focusing on that and leaving Financial Services aside, because there may not be Members of the House of Assembly who are either vulnerable or filthy rich or old persons, so on the Register of Interests, which one—which side of the line do you fall on? Are you for open to the public or are you for publicly accessible?

- A. Well, if I may, I don't think you can leave Financial Services out, and I want to explain something.
 - Q. Sorry to interrupt you, Premier-(Overlapping speakers.)

- Q. Sorry, I just need to perhaps give some context to my question.
 - A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. My question is about the Register of Interests Act as it relates to Members of the House of Assembly. I take your point that, as you have explained very eloquently to the Commissioner, that there are parallels that you see with the Financial Services, but if we could focus it—and the reason I'm not—I'm not trying to be rude to you, but there is quite a lot I need to get through with you.
- 11 A. Right.
- Q. So I would just like to kind of button down exactly where you stand.
 - Are you for a publicly accessible Register or one that is open to the public without any checks or balances?
 - A. Well, I would say, Commissioner, I do have something to say about it, and I would need to add that will explain the context of what I'm saying. And it may not be exact answer that you are seeking, but it will bring context, so Commissioner, if I may.
- 21 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 23 The reason that I say you cannot leave Financial
 24 Services out is I believe that when you are going to make laws
 25 now, you need to be consistent across the board. And we have

live in a very small community. You have to see it through all lens. You're talking about 30- to 35,000 persons unlike in the UK where there will be 67 million persons, and you don't want to put whether elected officials or senior public officers' life in danger of the same mind set of what I said with Financial Services of the vulnerable of persons who just would go and look, and you don't know what they will do to you and your family.

So, you have to look at it from a security point of view. You just can't look it that you elect it so everything needs to be open, but if it was publicly accessible and persons who go to it can explain why they come into it and what they want it for, and also explain in terms of what they're going to do with the information so that there are some safety nets for whoever is elected, whoever it may be now and in the future. So, that's why I'm saying we have to keep it parallel.

BY MR RAWAT:

Q. Thank you.

1.3

2.0

2.2

I want to just focus on the obligations, as the law now stands, on Members of the House of Assembly in terms of what they have to do in relation to the Register of Interests Act 2006. Now, I can do that in two ways, Premier. I can try and summarise it for you or I can take you to the law. Which would you prefer?

A. Any one is good for me.

- Q. Well, if the first option doesn't work, we will default to the second.
- The first obligation that falls on a Member of the
 House of Assembly is that, on the day that they are sworn into
 the House, they have to complete a Declaration of Interests. Do
 you agree with that?
 - A. That's what the law says.

7

8

- Q. The second obligation is that they have to then do that on every subsequent anniversary of that date?
- 10 A. That's what the law says.
- Q. Now, if that Member has not complied with that

 obligation to file on the date, then they are in breach of the

 Act, aren't they?
- 14 A. That's what the law says.
- Q. The Attorney General, when she gave evidence on
 Monday, confirmed that the Act doesn't allow for a defense of
 reasonable excuse; would you accept that?
- 18 A. That's not a matter of accepting. I could just say
 19 that's what the Attorney General says.
- Q. And so, you're caught--if you don't file on Due Date, you're caught, aren't you?
- 22 A. If you don't file on Due Date, you're delinquent, yes.
- Q. And then section 7--so that's section 3 of the Act.

 Section 7 says that, if the delinquent Member has not filed a

 Declaration within three months of the Due Date they are--and

these are words of the Act--"in breach of the Act". That's
right, isn't it?

- A. That's what the Act says.
- Q. Then the Act mandates that the Registrar has to write a report to a Standing Select Committee; that's right, isn't it?
 - A. That's what the Act says.
- Q. And that's the second way in which a Member of the House of Assembly can be in breach?
 - A. In--notified about them being delinquent, yes.
 - Q. If you're outside the three months--
- 11 A. Right.

3

6

9

- 12 Q. --you're in breach of it again, aren't you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Obviously, if you file within a month, you will be in breach of section 3 but you won't be in breach of section 7. If you wait six months, then it's a double-whammy, isn't it,
- 17 Premier?
- 18 A. I would say on once you file late, you're late.
- 19 Q. Well, can we look at your Declarations of Interests.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And this is again an explanation I've given to a
 number of Honourable Members who have given evidence to the
 Commissioner, but what my questions are directed to are looking
 at the system that is in place at the moment but also asking all
- 25 Members their views and their experiences of completing the

1 | form, and in particular I've directed questions towards whether

- 2 | the form is in any way ambiguous from their perspective as those
- 3 required to provide information.
- 4 Could you go, please, to page 153. There should be a
- 5 bundle in front of you with your name on it.
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. If you take it to page 153, please, Premier.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. This is a Declaration form in your name. Your name is
- 10 at the bottom along with your district, and it was provided by
- 11 the Registrar of Interests to the Commissioner, and it is
- 12 stamped with her stamp, and you can see that she has written
- 13 within the stamp the date "19th of July 2017".
- Do you have that page?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If you turn--the document is usually 10 pages long,
- 17 and if you turn to page 163...
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Now, you can see there a signature. Can you confirm
- 20 | that that's your signature?
- 21 A. That's my signature.
- 22 O. And underneath there are some dates written. Is that
- 23 in your hand?
- 24 A. That is in my handwriting.
- Q. And you've written "19th of July 2017 for 23rd of

- 1 June 2015".
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. So, this is your June 2015 Declaration--
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. --submitted as a date in 2017.
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, would you accept, Premier, that in relation to your 2015 Declaration, you were in breach of section 3 because
- 9 you did not file on the Due Date, which was the 23rd of
- 10 June 2015?
- 11 A. I would say that I submitted it, but it was late.
- 12 Q. And you also submitted outside three months. You
- 13 submitted it over two years later, so you were also in breach of
- 14 section 7, weren't you?
- 15 A. I would say that I submitted it, but it was late.
- Q. Well, it's important that we be quite specific about
- 17 what you are accepting and what you're not, Premier.
- 18 A. I'm accepting that it was filed late.
- 19 Q. Yes, but are you accepting that it was filed after the
- 20 Due Date?
- 21 A. That would constitute why it's late because of the Due
- 22 Date.
- Q. Well, the Due Date is the 23rd of June 2015.
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 O. You accept you filed it after the 23rd of June 2015?

- 1 A. Exactly. I filed it late.
- 2 Q. And the three months would have been September 2015?
- 3 A. Um-hmm.
- 4 Q. Do you accept that you filed it after September 2015?
- 5 A. Yes. It was late.
- 6 Q. If you turn to page 164--
- 7 A. Hm-umm.
- 8 Q. --there is some manuscript annotations there.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that in your handwriting at all?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And is that annotations that you made on your 2015
- 13 form?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. I see.
- A. Because I have a trade licence, and I realised that I
- 17 didn't put it on, so I added it on in the back to make sure I
- 18 was totally being clear about all that's in my name.
- 19 Q. Well, I'll take you to the detail of what you entered
- 20 in form shortly, so if you could bear that in mind, perhaps you
- 21 | could clarify that at that point. And if you want to, we could
- 22 look back at this page.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Let's go back to page 142, please, Premier.
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Yes. 2 Again, you can see on this page a Declaration form in Q. your name. 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 It's stamped by the Registrar of Interests at the top, Q. 6 and she has written within the stamp the date 19th of July 2017. 7 Right. Α. 8 Q. Do you agree with that? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 If you go, Premier, to page 152. 0. 11 Yes. Α. 12 Q. I take you to the bottom of that page. It's the last 1.3 page of that Declaration. Is that your signature, please? 14 Yes, that's my signature. Α. 15 Q. And you confirm this, that you have written in your 16 own hand underneath "19th of July 2017 for 23rd of June 2016"? 17 Α. Right. 18 And so, dealing with that, this is your 2016 19 Do you accept that you served your 2016 Declaration. 2.0 Declaration after the Due Date which was the 23rd of June 2016? 21 Yes, I accept that it was filed late. 2.2 Do you also accept that you'd served it after three 0. 23 months after the Due Date, which would have been 23rd of

I accept that it was filed late.

24

25

September 2016?

- Q. Without putting words in your mouth--and I just want to be clear what your evidence is, Premier--you said in answer to my questions, you accept that it's filed late.
 - A. Right.

4

5

6

7

9

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. I wonder if you could be a bit more specific. I want to be clear whether you accept breaches of section 3 and section 7--
- 8 A. Well, I--
 - Q. That's a matter for you.
- 10 A. Right, but I would answer it by saying that I accept
 11 that filed later based on the Due Date.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. Um-hmm.
- Q. And that you filed--and that the Due Date is that specified in section 3, so by accepting that you filed late, you accept that you breached section 3?
- 17 A. I accept that I filed late.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Do you accept the breach?

 19 Because I may have to make findings as to the breach.
 - THE WITNESS: Well, whatever the date is, once you hand it in beyond that date, you're late. So, if you call that "breach". I call it "accept that I'm late". So, if the law says within three months and you file after that, well, then, you're in breach of the law, so you're filing late. I filed late.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: My understanding--

```
1
               THE WITNESS:
                             We're saying the same thing.
 2
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           If I'm to put it this way,
 3
    if you're one day late--
 4
               THE WITNESS: Yes, you're late.
 5
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --then you're in breach of
 6
    section 3?
 7
               THE WITNESS: If you are one hour late.
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 8
                                           If you're one hour late?
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You're in breach of
11
    section 3?
12
               THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
1.3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And if you're over three
14
    months late, you're in breach of section 7?
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes. You're in breach of both of them.
16
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, in both of them.
17
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
18
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you very much.
19
               BY MR RAWAT:
               Thank you for that clarification, Premier. Let's go
2.0
         0.
21
    to page 131.
2.2
         Α.
               Yes.
23
               Do you have the page, Premier?
         0.
24
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
25
               Again, a Declaration form stamped by the Registrar.
         Q.
```

```
1 | She's entered the date in manuscript 19th of July 2017.
```

- 2 Do you have that, sir?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. If you go, please, to page 141.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you see, Premier, that on that page there is your signature, isn't there?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And you have entered in your own hand underneath "19th of July 2017 for 23rd of June 2017"?
- 11 A. Right.
- Q. And this perhaps shows the importance of being clear
- 13 about what you're admitting to because you were a month--well,
- 14 just shy of a month late there--
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. --so you're in breach of section 3, aren't you?
- 17 A. By a month, yes.
- 18 Q. But you are within the three months set by section 7.
- 19 So, on this case, would you accept that you're not in breach of
- 20 section 7?
- 21 A. I would submit that in time-
- 22 Q. Yes.
- A. --so I would say "no".
- Q. Can I just clarify one point with you. This looks or
- 25 is your 2017 Declaration.

```
1 A. Yes, sir.
```

- Q. If you go, please, to page 100.
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Yes.
- Q. And we will be looking at some more Declarations in due course, but I just want to put this on the record so it's clear, but a Declaration form in your name again stamped by the
- 9 A. Yes.

Registrar--

- 10 Q. --she's entered the date 5th of May 2021.
- 11 Do you have that, Premier?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Go to page 110.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Again, can you confirm that's your signature?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It's the last page of the document, and it's the--the date is a mix of--a mix of manuscript and typescript, isn't it,
- 19 but is the manuscript entry as to date in your hand, sir?
- 20 A. Yeah, that's my--that's my writing.
- Q. And what's written there is "Feb 4 2021 for 23rd of
- 22 June 2017"?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So, it appears that, having submitted your 2017--your
- 25 | 2017 Declaration, as we've established a month late, you then

```
1 resubmitted it in 2021?
```

- 2 A. Right. That was an error.
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. That was an error.
- Q. Okay. But where we've got to, then, is that as of the 19th of July, you submitted three Declarations together: Your
- 7 2015, your 2016, and your 2017?
- 8 A. Yes, I submitted them all.
- 9 Q. If you go, please, to page 89.
- 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I think to be fair to
- 11 | the Premier, who has--the form on page 110 was simply an error.
- 12 If you look at page 111, Premier.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I mean, Mr Rawat may come
- 15 to this, but the Registrar of Interests wrote to you in
- 16 February 2021--
- 17 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --indicating that you were
- 19 delinquent for 2017.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 21 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, that probably
- 22 | triggered--
- 23 THE WITNESS: Triggered--
- 24 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: A second form?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. That's exactly what happened.

```
1
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                            Thank you very much.
                             Thank you, Commissioner.
 2
               THE WITNESS:
 3
               MR RAWAT:
                          Thank you, Commissioner.
 4
               BY MR RAWAT:
 5
         Q.
               Let's go to page 89, please, Premier.
 6
         Α.
               But for the record, Commissioner, you will see that it
 7
    was already in.
 8
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           No, no. It was a month
 9
    late.
10
               THE WITNESS: A month but eventually--
11
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, so the second form
12
    you sent in for 2017 was sort of--
1.3
               THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
14
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           --was an empty gesture.
15
               THE WITNESS: I didn't know whether I could find a
16
    way--
17
               (Overlapping speakers.)
18
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Because it had already
19
    been done?
2.0
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, Mr Rawat.
2.2
               BY MR RAWAT:
23
                     Let's go to page 89 and look at the others which
         Q.
24
    you submitted at that time.
25
               Um-hmm.
         Α.
```

```
1 Q. So again, Premier, a Declaration form with your name
```

- 2 on it, it's stamped by the Registrar dated, by her, "5th of
- 3 May 2021".
- 4 Do you have that page?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And I hope you will be able to confirm that at
- 7 page 99.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. We have your signature.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you have put in your--again, you've entered
- 12 February 4th, 2021, in your own hand, but added to that in
- 13 typescript are the words "for the 23rd of June 2018".
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. So, this is your 2018 Declaration--
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. --sent in on the 4th of February 2021?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. So again, for the record, Premier, do you accept that
- 20 you filed after the Due Date, which is the 23rd of June 2018?
- 21 A. Yes, I filed but I was late. I do agree.
- 22 Q. And so that's a breach of section 3.
- 23 And do you accept that you were also outside the three
- 24 months set by section 7?
- 25 A. I was outside of them, although I did submit.

- 1 Q. Could you go to page 78, please.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. We will find there another Declaration form stamped again by the Registrar of Interests and dated, by her, 5th of May 2021?
- 6 Do you have that page?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Please go to page 8, which is the last page of that 9 particular Declaration.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Again, Premier, can you confirm that that is your 12 signature?
- 13 A. That is my signature.
- Q. And if I take it quickly, you've written--or it carries the date that is "February 4th, 2021, for the 12th of March 2019".
- A. Right. I accept and got them all up to date at the same time, so wherever we get to the next is going to be the same.
- 20 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: There is a pattern here.
- 21 THE WITNESS: There is a pattern here because I did
 22 them all to make sure that I got them in time--not in time, but
 23 they were already late but to make sure that I submitted them.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
- 25 BY MR RAWAT:

1 Q. Yes. Well, we can get to that detail as we go through

- 2 | them, but what's important at the moment is that we establish
- 3 dates and what you accept happens about dates, about those
- 4 dates.
- 5 A. No problem.
- 6 Q. So again that's your 2019 Declaration.
- 7 For the record, do you accept that you filed after the
- 8 Due Date of the 12th of March 2019?
- 9 A. I do accept that they were filed and they were filed
- 10 late, yes.
- 11 Q. And so not only as after the 4th of March 2019 but
- 12 also filed after three months--the three months set by section
- 13 7? Do you agree with you?
- 14 A. I do accept that they were filed, but they were failed
- 15 late also.
- 16 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And in relation to 2020,
- 17 | the next year--
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --from what you said,
- 20 Premier--
- 21 THE WITNESS: Same thing.
- 22 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
- You accept that it was filed on the 5th of May 2021;
- 24 | it was 10 months late?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

```
1
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, it was in breach of
 2
    section 3 and section 7.
 3
               THE WITNESS: I filed them in their entirety, but they
    were filed late.
 4
 5
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much.
 6
              BY MR RAWAT:
 7
               If we go, please, to 56.
         Q.
         Α.
              Um-hmm.
 8
 9
         0.
               That's your 2021 Declaration which the Commissioner
10
    has dealt with 2020, so I will move to 2021, but that's 5th of
11
    May 2021 again, isn't it? And you've filed that, it seems, if
12
    we look at page 66. You've written there--that's your
1.3
    signature, isn't it, Premier?
14
               Yes, sir.
         Α.
15
         0.
              And you have written there "March 15 2021 for
16
    12 March 2021".
17
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
18
               So, not this time years or months but just a few days.
         Ο.
19
         Α.
               On top of lateness, yes.
2.0
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                            Thank you.
21
               BY MR RAWAT:
2.2
              Now, my question now is not directed to the content of
         Q.
23
    what you have put in these Declarations, but it's about what you
```

understood you had to provide, even though you were providing it

24

25

late.

Were you--did you have in your mind that what you had to give as a Member of the House of Assembly was a Declaration of your interests that were held as at the Due Date or Declaration of your interests held as at the date you submitted?

1.3

2.0

2.2

- A. I was always of the clear mindset that you have to register your interests. Whenever you register it, when you have it, even if you had divested yourself of any asset or anything you have to register, so no matter when you registered it, it had to include everything that you owned or that you are associated with, even if you were not associated with it anymore because that will allow for full transparency for persons who will take up the Register.
- Q. Now, you say that you were "associated with". What do you mean by "associated with"?
- A. Well, what I mean is—not in my case but in general, even if you had some asset or if you had a property and you the sold it or whatever the case may be or you bought something, after the Due Date, you have to make sure that you fully account for whatever you own, whether it's here or abroad because the form doesn't say "land in the BVI". It just says "land". It doesn't say "accounts in the BVI". It just says "accounts".

I read the file--to be clear, whatever you own, you have to account for it. That was my understanding from the first time we filled out the form.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The particular question

```
1
    Mr Rawat was asking, I think, is -- I mean, we can use an example.
 2
    On the 5th of May 2021, you submitted the form for the 23rd of
    June 2017.
 3
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: When you filled in the
 5
 6
    form, did you fill it in with the assets, et cetera, that you
 7
    had on the 5th of May 2021 or the assets that you had on the
    23rd of June 2017?
 8
 9
              THE WITNESS: Commissioner, if I may, for me, if you
10
    look across my whole Declarations, they haven't changed.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Right, okay.
12
              THE WITNESS: So, for me, it was the same thing. Only
1.3
    I think in 2021 there was a piece of land that I got, and I
14
    added that on. Nothing changed. I didn't have any more assets.
15
    I didn't have anything else to add all--what was on there
16
    remained the same.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, you didn't have to
18
    bring your mind to bear whether it was 2017 or 2021?
19
                            Right. Nothing to bear at all with
              THE WITNESS:
2.0
    that, that's correct.
21
               (Overlapping speakers.)
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Thank you.
23
              BY MR RAWAT:
24
              But, obviously, the requirement--the minimum
25
    requirement on a Member is to fill in the form once a year,
```

isn't it?

1.3

2.0

2.2

- A. It is--that is what the law would indicate for sure.
- Q. But the first page of the form also includes this:
 "That subsequent changes or additions to your entry should be notified to the Registrar within one month of any changes occurring".

So, if within that year you dispose of a piece of land or you acquired a piece of land, did you appreciate that you were expected to notify the Registrar of that change?

A. Based on how it was written, yes, but if I may: From the time this was introduced, I think I didn't get to watch or listen to a lot of other testimonies, but I can almost be sure that we would be hard-pressed to find two Members then who were on time with this form or who would fill it out in the same way.

And from the time I was in politics and this came up, being younger than you were kind of left to yourself to kind of figure things out. And one of the areas that we want to improve was to make sure that, in the future, you have seminars on this, whether they be the Register Registrar, which would be the one to have it, so that persons won't be lost because this have to guide other persons how to fill the form out or what it means exactly if you, yourself, from the inception, never had that training and no one's fault. It was just another—it was something new that came into the Constitution—I think it's 2008 it was passed, if my memory serves me right—and then it didn't

come into force until a little while after.

1.3

2.0

2.2

- Q. Certainly, it came into force. It was passed in 2006?
- A. 2006. It came into force in 2008. I stand for that correction. I know that was a delay. It came into force 2006--passed 2006, came into force two years later, which was 2008. And even then, although we were certain and did it, there was still some ambiguity in some of the numbers and the areas.

So, it is clear it's a good system, but it was—it definitely needs I would not only say "improvement". but it also needs consistent seminars to help persons to come on the same page with it, along with some additional—additional laws for the choice of words, not just from the Committee but from institution—wise because you can't leave persons who are busy in anything to be the ones to keep them up to date with anything. Although it doesn't sound good, but once you're busy, you need an institution that keeps you, I will call it, "in time" with what you have to file when it you have to file, when we have to do that. In the new amendment that we're doing, we're putting some tears in that would allow for that.

And if I may, Chairman--Commissioner--so much for Committees--I would say, too, that one of the areas we're also looking at is that Ministers would have to make sure that they have to account for even more than back-benchers or opposition Members, albeit they are in the Cabinet making some hefty decisions with it.

And the form also would have to take into consideration even from Ministers how do we expand that form as executive Members, and that's why we stated in our objectives for the future as to beef up the Cabinet Secretary's Office so we can make sure the Cabinet Secretary's Office that we are "Propriety and Ethics Unit"--I think that's what we call it--to make sure we advise Ministers of conflicts of interest, advise Ministers of timeframes, advise Ministers of dates because this is important. This is an important part of good governance, and it's something that we have to make sure that we have a unit, a body in place to help with this, not just leave it up to the Registrar or leave it up to the elected officials.

So, I just wanted to add that.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Q. The system that you, as a Member of the House of Assembly, operate under has been in existence for 13 years. The person—the Act does not impose upon the Registrar an obligation to notify you of the need to file a Declaration, nor does it impose on a Registrar the need to send notices to you. But that is what, in fact, this Registrar did. Time and time again, she sent—every year she would send Members a letter, notifying them, supplying them with the Declaration.

And if you look at page 119, please, we see examples of letters that she wrote to you, as a Member of the House of Assembly--and I don't want and will not be taking you, Premier, through every single letter, but there are a significant number

of them stretching back over years where the Registrar has written to you not only in advance of the date of the Declaration telling you when it was due, but also reminding you that you were overdue.

1.3

2.0

2.2

And you just appeared, as other Members also appeared to have done, to simply ignore the Registrar; is that right?

A. I wouldn't say "ignore the Registrar". Even now,

Commissioner--first of all, I will admit that the institution

has to improve. First of all, I will be forced to admit we are

working on having it improved. And this is a form that you have

to do once a year. And yes, the Registrar did go above and

beyond the call, and every now and then I would get a reminder.

So, there is no excuse. We understand this issue as to our governance, but this just happened to be one of those areas where we have to review and strengthen because there definitely—Commissioner, let me say this way in my current capacity: Nobody knows what it is to lead a country and a people until they're actually in that role, and you cannot—nobody is like, for example, Prime Minister Boris and President Biden of the UK and USA, respectively, and they cannot know at the same time how it is to lead. It's like comparing apples and oranges, but at the same time we know for sure the complexities of the office, and we know for sure that there are so many things competing for importance and while this was one of them. That is why when we analyze this area, we have to

improve it. We have no excuse about it. We have to improve it, but the key is that we are doing so.

1.3

2.0

2.2

And in this last few years, we cannot divorce ourselves from the challenges that our representatives are facing from all my area, we haven't rebound from yet, and we cannot divorce from the last 16-17 months of COVID. One would say what does that have to do with it? It has a lot to do with it because we spent over 90 meetings in Cabinet and the next sitting of the House, and there were a lot of things competing for the importance of time, and in competing importance for deadlines.

And I do not give any excuse for this, but it just didn't end up on the top of the pile at that time. But it cannot be used as the measuring stick for the competence and the seriousness all Legislators, whether past or present, to allow; position of Government for this and the House of Assembly.

So, my answer is germane no matter how many times I'm asked, the House has already dedicated itself to improve the system, and the Government side is working feverishly to get the Cabinet the legislation that is going to hold us more accountable in a manner that we cannot even miss without the Registrar giving us a prompt now and again. I do say that it's not correct, but it does not by any means paint a picture of how responsible we are as elected officials overall. This is one in many.

1 And may I say, Commissioner, with due respect, all of 2 us fall short in our professions now and again in some area, but 3 that doesn't -- that doesn't determine who we are, and even 4 without sin would have to cast the first stone. We fall short in this area. 5 6 I used to teach, Commissioner. I don't know if you 7 know that, but one of the things when you're teaching and you have an item where all the children are getting it wrong, then 8 9 you can't say that the children are bad. They might get an "A" on the test but get that item wrong, the whole class would have 10 11 to analyze the item. You have to analyze everything about it 12 and see what did I do wrong, what did the children do wrong, and 1.3 how do we improve it? 14 So, we welcome recommendations, and we have some 15 that's coming forward so that we can improve in that area 16 because we're deficient in that one area. 17 And I just want to say this because it doesn't reflect 18 who we are. We have a strong opposition, we have a strong 19 government, we have a strong democracy, and in this area we fall 2.0 short, and we have to do better, and we are going to do. 21 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Premier, I understand 2.2 that, and I suspect Mr Rawat may have some questions for you 23 about the system that's currently in place--

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --with the Registrar and

THE WITNESS: Yes.

24

25

1 Standing Select Committee. And given all of that -- and we know 2 because we've seen a draft bill, and I know it hasn't even been 3 to Cabinet yet, so it's in a very early stage, but we know that 4 reform is being considered -- let me put it like that -- but it would have been a good start, wouldn't it, and a show of intent 5 6 if you and, indeed, other Members had actually got their forms 7 in on time in response to the statutory obligation and in response to the Registrar's, I think somebody referred to them 8 9 as very "assiduous" reminders. That would have been a show of 10 intent, wouldn't it? 11 THE WITNESS: Commissioner, you said it well, other 12 than that I would say, if I may add, sir--1.3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: --that we did show that we do not take 15 it for granted but still we did show that it didn't get the 16 importance that it deserved to match with the other areas of 17 importance. And so why do I say that? Every Member even late 18 still made sure that they filed and filed accurately. As far as 19 I'm concerned, I don't have everyone's file based on, you know, 2.0 what I might hear here and there, but we also show that we are 21 open to criticism with it to make sure that we get better 2.2 because we are the ones who went and made this public to 23 yourself because the law originally did not allow the Commission of Inquiry to go, Commissioner, as you would know, to the 24 25 Registrar to get any information.

So, we knew going into it that some of us would be late into this, and you would find this. But to assist the Commission of Inquiry, because we're here to make your job easier because we have nothing to hide and to assist the Commission in doing its work, we went and we, as a Legislature, all of us, common and opposition, agreed to get this legislation amended to give you access.

So, we are here today because we allowed the access, and we knew that the access would show that we were late. We knew that the access would show the deficiencies of this particular important piece of legislation. But at the same time, too, we did it with confidence, also known we were working with this and other legislations to strengthen it buys we already had the first reading of Integrity in Public Life, which triggers some changes in the Register of Interests. We already just last evening passed the whistleblower legislation to help further strengthen good governance and contractor general legislation.

So, we may be delinquent in this area, which we are, but we are making every effort to come in line with it, but we also have shown in other areas that we are doing what we have to do.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand the programme that you have involved.

Mr Rawat.

1.3

2.0

2.2

BY MR RAWAT:

1.3

2.0

2.2

Q. The point, though, Premier, is that it's not about what may happen in the future or what changes may come in the feature. You can set up a unit to remind every House of Assembly Member that they have to comply with their obligations. A calendar on a computer might do the same thing.

What I'm going to suggest to you is that the evidence that the Commissioner has heard--you, regrettably, are an example of this--is that, irrespective of party, irrespective of experience, irrespective of length of time in the House of Assembly, repeatedly, this is an obligation, a statutory obligation, Members of the House of Assembly, current and past, simply had no regard for; and the Registrar, as the person reminding them, was someone that they simply had no regard for.

The correspondence that she has disclosed between her and yourself does not only include any correspondence back from you. The correspondence stretched over years, Premier, and your submissions were put twice, once in 2017 and once in 2021. So, the system doesn't work, and the reason the system doesn't work is because Legislators do not accept that it applies to them. They don't see it as important, do they?

A. Well, that is your conclusion, but with due respect, I don't see it totally or even much as you being stated.

You have flagged that area, Commissioner, rightfully so, that needs improvement, and I would sit right here and admit

to that, but what I can't sit and do with our busy schedule and that all we do, no matter what side of the aisle we sit on, is that reflects the nomenclature of elected representatives and of this House of Assembly and past House of Assemblies. We've all had to be in the country the way it is, and this is one area that we are weak in; we are delinquent in. We did not have it to be on the same level of importance as it should be with certain other areas.

1.3

2.0

2.2

But, given that I can't make any excuses for the past, what I can say, as a Legislator, as one of the leaders, is we are working on fixing it, and the only way you can trust a man's word on fixing something is to look at his track record. In the last couple of months we are fighting COVID, but we have done a lot to fix a lot of areas that we realised that we need to fix as we work as a Territory to mature.

We--Commissioner, you would agree with this--if you look at us through the lens of the UK, then we could never compete because that's hundreds of years all the country is. These mistakes we are making now was made before, and they were already taken care of. We are 70 years old, 71, of our House Legislative Council being coming back into being, and we are now putting all the different institutions and strengthening and further strengthening them so that when we reach to the point of our self-determination, whatever it is, that we are showing that we can police ourselves. This is an area where we can, but it

1 does not define who we are as Legislators. It does not define 2 who we are as a people. 3 So, I would sit here and say "yes". we're quilty of 4 not putting the importance of this to the level it should be, and the Registrar has done her job, but it isn't because we do 5 6 not think it is important. It isn't because we are roque 7 representatives. It is one of those things that just happened over the years that we intend to fix now. 8 9 I even can't tell you, Commissioner, how it continues 10 It's just one of those things that keeps happening. 11 We recognise that we cannot leave it up to ourselves anymore to 12 come compliant with these--with the deadline. We can comply 1.3 with many other deadlines, but now we recognise now that we have 14 to put in mechanisms in place to address this, and we're going 15 to do it, and any other recommendation that we get to do it we 16 can, but I'm not going to let this one thing, Commissioner, 17 define the Territory nor define us. 18 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: At the moment, we're just 19 looking at the Register of Interests. 2.0 THE WITNESS: I understand, but given that it's drilling down, I have to keep saying that because that's the 21 2.2 only one the public will hear. 23 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that. 24 THE WITNESS: Right. And I appreciate that.

We'll move on to other

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:

25

```
1
    topics.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
 3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But at the moment this is
 4
    the topic.
 5
              THE WITNESS: Um-hmm. And it's correct in terms of
 6
    analysis in terms of it being late and in terms of being
 7
    important; I do agree. I'm not -- I'm not going to differ,
 8
    Commissioner, at all.
 9
              BY MR RAWAT:
              Page 111 in your bundle. This is the letter of 23rd
10
11
    of February 2021 that the Commissioner referred to earlier, and
12
    it was just -- I just -- so, you'd submitted declarations covering
1.3
    2017 to 2021, and the Registrar thanks you for your letter
14
    transmitting those Declarations over those periods.
15
               If you go over to the penultimate paragraph, she says:
16
    "I've examined all your Declarations and find which that
17
    question 1, one word in your answer, which renders up are
18
    questionable. And now I accept you also returned those
19
    documents so that you may have them corrected and returned to me
2.0
    immediately.
                  Thank you for cooperation in this matter".
21
    the documents that we showed you, the ones that you submitted to
2.2
    her, she then returned them to you.
23
              Did you actually resubmit them?
24
         Α.
              Yes.
25
              You--given that I have come to realise that you were
```

- 1 | well-resourced you see the answer is consistent there is no file
- 2 to all the other years.
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. So, what I was asking the--at that time is, well, what
- 5 changed? I didn't change. So, you accepted it all those other
- 6 years, and the answer changed, I think, is a "yes" or "no"
- 7 answer, according to my memory.
- Q. Well, what the position seems to be--and I accept,
- 9 Premier, that in, as you said, with one exception in relation to
- 10 land--
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. --you gave the same information from Declaration to
- 13 Declaration.
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. But my point is--my questions are directed to this:
- 16 Having caught up, if you like, in 2021--
- 17 A. Um-hmm.
- Q. --the Registrar sent them all back to you.
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. And it's a simple question. She asked you to resubmit
- 21 them.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you resubmit them?
- A. For sure.
- 25 (Overlapping speakers.)

- 1 A. And it's the same as it was years ago.
- Q. Yes.

7

10

11

18

- It's not about whether there was a change in your

 content. It's about whether you resubmitted because what

 appears we received from the Registrar were the ones that you

 had first submitted, so she doesn't appear to have the ones that
- 8 A. Right, because we cleared it up--because it didn't 9 change.
 - Q. So, just to clarify, you didn't have to have--you didn't have resubmit, in the end; is that what you're saying?
- 12 A. As far as I know, no, because it didn't change.
- 13 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I think to be fair to
 14 the Premier, this batch of Declarations is dated the 4th of
 15 February 2021.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Right.

you say you resubmitted.

- 17 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: For various dates
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

beforehand.

- 20 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The Registrar's letter to
- 21 which Mr Rawat has referred was the 23rd of February.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 23 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Which is after that date.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But the forms you've dated

```
1
    the 4th of February she has stamped and dated the 5th of May.
               THE WITNESS:
 2
                             Right.
 3
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           So, it looks--I mean,
 4
    consistent with what you've said, that by the 5th of May, as it
 5
    were, things had been sorted out.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
 7
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And she had accepted the
    Declarations.
8
 9
               THE WITNESS: Plus the Registrar took ill, and there
10
    was some timeframe inside there.
11
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
12
               THE WITNESS: So that caused some of the delay--none
1.3
    towards her because that was also a factor in it, but it was
14
    sorted out, and the answer remained the same.
15
               BY MR RAWAT:
16
         Ο.
               Yes.
17
               And to give you some context, Premier, the
    Commissioner has received evidence in relation to Members of the
18
19
    House of Assembly about the Registrar being unavailable for a
2.0
    certain periods of time in 2021.
21
         Α.
               Thank you.
2.2
               Can I just take you to another point, though.
         0.
23
               No problem.
         Α.
24
         Q.
               Paragraph -- at page 6, please.
25
         Α.
               Yes.
```

- Q. This is a that the Commissioner caused to be sent to you dated the 19th of February 2021.
 - A. Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. If you turn to page 7, please. It made of you three requests, Premier. The first was to give the dates and contents of each Declaration of Interests you have made at any time during your tenure as a Member, but pause there. I'm not going to ask you about that. We understand that the position you then took was that you—that was something you could not supply to the Commission.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. But what it asked you at number 2 was: "Irrespective of any such Declarations you have made, the information document that you would provide in such a Declaration, if made now". at now being the date of the letter.

And then it asks you this at 3: "If during your period of appointment as a Member you failed at any time to comply with your obligation to make such a Declaration pursuant to section 3(1), in respect of each such failure, a full explanation as to why you did not make such Declaration".

Now, if you go, please, to page 9 in the bundle. I can take you to your response, which is 12th of March 2021.

Now, The Honourable de Castro has already explained to the Commissioner, so let's try and save you some time, that this was, if you like, a joint letter between the Government Members

- of the House of Assembly. Each one was at liberty to adapt it if they wanted, but the core of it was the same from letter to
- 3 letter.

8

9

10

19

- Now, what you've said at (a) is that: "As a Member of the House of Assembly and since the introduction of the Register of Interests Act, I have complied with section 3 of same in the
- 7 form and manner as set out in schedule 1 therein".
 - Now, we've already seen--and you have accepted--that you were, at least 2015 onwards, habitually late with submitting your Declarations.
- So,, is it actually accurate to have told the Commission that you did comply with section 3?
- A. Well, in terms of when I read it, I thought at the end that it meant that when you had submitted them, so that would have been a misunderstanding on my part, but I thought they mean that if I had submitted all of what was outstanding, et cetera, et cetera, so I did not understand it way you have said it, so I answered based on the way I understood it.
 - O. You understood it to be a reference to--
- 20 A. And submitted it.
- 21 Q. Did you get it in?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Not as to whether you failed to get it in on the Due
 Date?
- A. Now I understand that's what you're trying to get at.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And I understand that
 2
    explanation, but section 3 only does two things. It sets the
 3
    form, and the form was the form.
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You submitted the correct
 5
 6
    form, but the manner in section 3 only relates to timing.
 7
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's the only other
 8
 9
    thing that section 3 does.
10
              So, to say in the form and manner as set out in the
11
    schedule--that's not...
12
              THE WITNESS: Well, that was a misunderstanding.
1.3
               (Overlapping speakers.)
14
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           As you say, that's a
15
    misunderstanding. That's what--
16
              THE WITNESS: Because I know that you are going to go
17
    through the Register anyhow.
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
19
              THE WITNESS: So I'm not going to put something that
2.0
    that I know you're going to sort and find it, so that was my
21
    misunderstanding of how that was answered.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
23
              BY MR RAWAT:
24
         Q.
              You should, on the desk in front of you, Premier, have
25
    a loose piece of paper, which is headed "Resolution No 3 of
```

- 1 2016". If you look to your right, you might find it there.
- 2 A. Yes, for sure.
- Q. Now, the evidence the Commissioner has received is that the Act comes into force in 2008.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Part of the mechanism of the Act is the Standing
 Select Committee.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Because it's to the Standing Select Committee that the
 10 Registrar has to send her Reports.
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And if there isn't a Standing Select Committee, there is no way she could send a report.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, Dr Smith gave evidence--and he confirmed that--and others have done so as well--that this Resolution was
- 17 the first Resolution in the House passed to establish the
- 18 Standing Committee, and it was passed on the 25th day of April
- 19 2016.
- A. Um-hmm.
- 21 Q. And you were appointed--I think you were then in
- 22 opposition; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you were appointed to sit on that Standing
- 25 Committee?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, could I ask you this: It's obviously

 setablished--it's Gazetted on the 19th of May 2016. How many

 meetings of that Committee do you remember?
 - A. Which year?
 - Q. 2016 it's established.
 - A. '16?

5

6

7

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 How many meetings do you remember that there were?
- 10 A. One meeting.
- 11 Q. One meeting.
- 12 And the Chair was The Honourable Mark Vanterpool?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you confirm what sort of other witnesses have said about how committees worked, that it's down to the Chair to decide when to convene a meeting?
 - A. Yes. It was down to the Chair to decide when to convene a meeting. I remember that there was one meeting in 2017, I think it was, and we didn't have the follow-up meeting because the election was in 2019 early, so things got a little busy in the political hemisphere, to be fair to the former Chair, Honourable Mark Vanterpool, so we didn't have that other meeting but we did have one meeting.
- Q. One of the obligations under the Act--and it's an obligation that falls on the Committee--is to approve the form

of the Register, so not only is the Registrar obliged to collect these Declarations, but she has to maintain a Register.

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

Q. She had described in previous reports and has been reported publicly. She described it as being a "blank book".

Now, it falls to the Standing Committee to approve the form of the Register. During your tenure on that Committee, can you remember if the form of the Register was ever agreed?

A. I don't remember what happened that one meeting well. I'm trying to remember if we discussed the form, so I don't want to give any misinformation. I didn't research that before I come, so I don't want to speak out of turn. I really don't remember if we discussed that form. I'm trying to recollect. I don't know.

I know that we discussed in general about the Committee and the functions of the Committee because that was the first Committee to ever meet. So, I know there were general conversations, but I don't remember the form.

- Q. Now, obviously, since 12th of March of 2019, you are now in Government.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And we have heard from Honourable Members who sit on the current Standing Select Committee for the Register of Interests, which I will call the "Register of Interests Committee". and can you confirm that you chair that Committee?

- A. I'm the Chair of it, appointed by the House of Assembly, but we didn't meet as yet.
- 3 Q. Yes.
- It is The Honourable Neville Smith's evidence that he had been assiduous not only in submitting his Declarations on
- 6 time but checking dates. He said that Committee was set up on
- 7 the 2nd of September 2019, Gazetted on the 12th of
- 8 September 2019. Does that accord with your recollection,
- 9 Premier?
- 10 A. That accords with my recollection.
- 11 Q. Is it usual in the House of Assembly to take six
 12 months to establish a Standing Select Committee?
- A. I really have to say I never researched--I like
 statistics and all that. I don't like to answer just like that
 because I have done my research, how long it took any other
- 16 Committee to do, but sometimes it takes a while.
- Q. But you have been in legislative politics for a significant period of time.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. From your experience, is it usual to take six months
 to establish a Standing Select Committee?
- A. There were committees that took a little while as far as I could remember, but remember now we doing our and you were going to check, so I don't want to say anything that I didn't get to verify.

```
COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can we--can we say this:
 1
 2
    You recall that some committees over the 20-odd years period--
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --you have been in the
 5
    legislative body.
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
 7
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Over that time, that some
    of the committees have taken some time to set up.
8
              THE WITNESS: Some took some time to set up.
 9
10
              And based on the new Constitution that we have, some
11
    haven't been set--some most likely haven't been set up yet
12
    because we do have our institutional capacity issue, so there is
1.3
    only a certain amount that we can do, given the assistance to
14
    the committees usually about the same persons all the time.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Thank you.
16
              BY MR RAWAT:
17
              And you say that so far the Committee hasn't met?
         0.
18
              Not so far, but definitely we're going to meet.
         Α.
19
         Q.
              Right.
2.0
              Could I just take you now through a sample of one of
    your forms as an example because, as you've said--and I will
21
2.2
    take you to the one change -- the information you have provided
23
    has been the same since--certainly since 2015, Premier.
24
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
25
              So let's go to your 2021 form at page 57.
         Q.
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Now, going through the form--and I would just like you to confirm this, please, so hopefully we will take it quite quickly, but the first paragraph of form is described as "Directorships", and you answered "yes" to that. And then what you say is: "All below are basically dormant and in most cases absolutely no remuneration is received", and you then listed Big Ben's Incorporations, Big Ben's Superette, Big Ben's Piano School, Big Ben's Properties, Purpose Driven Consultancy, and Organo Gold.

Can you confirm that, in terms of those entries, firstly, they are accurate?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And they are the same throughout the forms we have taken you to?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. If you go over to paragraph 2, where you're asked to disclose remunerated employment of an office or profession, what you say is--again, you say "yes". Premier, but you add this explanation in terms of detail. You say: "Big Ben's Superette has been rented to Hazeem Bazaar and Jamaal Bazaar. The month rent is paid on account in the name of Iris Fahie, who's majority owner of Big Ben's Superette who I have accounts with. No set amount of rents have been agreed to be remunerated monthly, however at times benefits of varied amounts monthly is

```
1
    remunerated to me from the rent".
 2
               Now, again, can you confirm that that is accurate as a
 3
    Declaration?
 4
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
               And it's the same--we're looking at 2021, but you
 5
 6
    maintain the same detail and, in fact, the same wording
 7
    throughout your Declaration; is that right?
 8
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
 9
         0.
              Now, we get to 3.
10
               Now, you've answered "no" to 3, which is "Clients".
11
    but I want you, please, Premier, just to step back from the
12
    answer you have given just to assist the Commissioner with this:
1.3
    What do you think the purpose of paragraph 3 is?
14
               If any of this is attached to me being as a Member of
         Α.
15
    the House of Assembly or official, but no business that I
16
    does -- that I do or didn't ever had a contract with Government,
17
    so I--and I just look at it as if my position is influenced in
18
    any of these businesses is how I read it, or cause me to have a
19
    pecuniary advantage or anything along that line, and the answer
2.0
    is "no" because none of them ever did business with Government.
21
         Ο.
               Yeah.
                     I'm not--I'm not trying to drill down into
2.2
    whether it's your particular answer or your particular
23
    circumstances.
24
         Α.
              No, no--
25
               (Overlapping speakers.)
```

- Q. That's your understanding of what it would require any Member of the House of Assembly to declare, but it's right, it's not about whether or not you hold a government contract, is it?
 - A. Um-hmm.

1.3

2.0

2.2

- Q. It's about whether you have used or you have used your position as a Member of the House of Assembly to facilitate client access to Government.
- A. Well, all of that entailed in it, but you see that's why I'm saying that seminars have to be done with this because, if I tell you "Look out below" and I'm on the roof, some people move because they feel something is coming down to land on them or some people may come out and look up.

So, persons can read the same thing and have different connotation. That's why now we have come to the conclusion of how to improve it is have seminars because me reading it, that's my interpretation along with what you've said. But your office or your business, whichever one, does not have a pecuniary advantage to get with the contracts from Government or to be able to get certain things that you're using your office for. So, I never used any of my business to do that. Big Ben's Superette is a supermarket. You come in and buy bread, milk, and water, and leave, so there is no contract to have with Government with that, and we ran that and then rent it out.

So, we--as elected official, I have no business--the Government never had business with that contract or anything

along those lines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. You've returned again to the theme of seminars, but is it a deeper question than that, that the form needs revisiting?

 If different—if there is a risk that different Members will look at the same wording and interpret it in a different way—
- A. Yes.
- Q. --fundamentally, doesn't it mean that the form needs revisiting?
- A. You're a hundred percent correct, but we have to add on, you know that.
- Q. Well, I'm not--
- A. Commissioner, you have to add because the form isn't the only problem. The institution of building on it and also some extra layers of laws to help with the timeliness is needed. I say that because changing the form or adjusting the form alone obviously is not going to do it because the same problems will arise.

Now, in hindsight, which is always 20/20, I recognise being younger in politics with this and now being older and watching it from two different lenses of age, I would have made sure, which we are going to do now, that there are more legal eyes on this and to explain to us legally what is happening. At that time, we were glad to fulfill—I was in the opposition but I give the Government today the credit from the 2007 Constitution to move in this direction, so we were glad that we

```
1
    were advancing politically and constitutionally, but we needed
 2
    some more legal advice on these.
               Now that we're here, 2020, I see that because some of
 3
 4
    the things that we have been reading into these over the years,
 5
    obviously listening to you today and other times, I recognise
 6
    they could have been interpreted differently, so that's why I'm
 7
    saying that we need other layers, not just the form but other
    layers.
 8
 9
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           So, just to summarise it,
10
    but tell me if this is wrong, the form needs clarification, but
11
    that's not enough?
12
               THE WITNESS: That's what I'm saying, Commissioner.
1.3
    You're exactly correct.
14
               COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes, yes.
15
               BY MR RAWAT:
16
               Could I actually just jump forward in your form to
         Q.
17
    page 60, Premier.
18
         Α.
               60?
19
               Six-zero.
         Q.
2.0
               Thank you.
         Α.
21
         0.
               This is "Sponsorship", it's headed?
2.2
         Α.
               Um-hmm.
```

answered "no", and secondly: "Do you benefit from any form of

benefiting from sponsorship before election to which you

There are two aspects to it which, firstly, is about

23

24

25

Q.

```
sponsorship or financial or material support as a Member of the
House of Assembly?" Now, you have answered "yes" to that, so
that's ongoing sponsorship, financial or material support as a
Member of the House of Assembly. But when you are asked to give
details, what you have written on each form "See #2". What does
that mean, Premier?
```

A. Yes.

7

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- 8 Where is it?
- 9 Q. Well, it's--
- 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Page 60.
- 11 BY MR RAWAT:
- 12 Q. Page 60.
 - A. The "See #2". for me, basically says that, if I could remember correctly—I could stand corrected—is that we now work these forms, whether leaked or otherwise, which is not the way we want to be, tried to make sure that we are as detailed as possible. So, Big Ben's Superette Incorporation never operated as a company; it only operated as a d/b/a. So, for me now, it would have been to explain that that's where I would have received any monies based on what I explained earlier in the front part of the page.
 - Q. So, were you referring back to paragraph 2?
- A. That's what I was referring to with that.
- 24 Q. I see.
- 25 So--

- A. Not "See #2", but based on my memory, I know that's what I would be saying or any other area I am doing business as.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. So--but if you look at what you're asked to declare in terms of or the guidance you're given--
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. --is you're asked to Register any financial or material benefit, direct or indirect, for example, the provision of free or subsidised accommodation or provision of services of a research assistant. So, what you are you're asked in this section, as a Member of the House of Assembly, is to declare any support that you might be receiving--

(Overlapping speakers.)

- Q. --of sponsorship to do your job, so if someone came along and offered you a free office to be a Member of the House of Assembly, you would have to declare that. Was that your understanding of what you were supposed to be doing here?
- A. Not at that time when I was filling these out, no. My understanding was that if you had any other's income that's coming to you other than your House of Assembly income that supports what you're doing. That's how I understood that at that time.
- Q. Right. Possibly there may be a need for more than one seminar, Premier.

```
1
              You see, you majored in law. So, for me who didn't
         Α.
 2
    major in law, this is where I'm saying where the ambiguity was
 3
    because, Commissioner, you would see it that way along with--
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 4
                                          Well, it's worse than
    that, Premier--
 5
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
 7
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: --because this form is
    scheduled to the statute.
8
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, this is the form at
11
    the moment--
12
              THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- that has to be
14
    completed, and many Members have said it lacks clarity.
15
    clear from other Members that it is filled in in different ways.
16
              THE WITNESS: Right.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But at the moment it's a
18
    statutory form.
19
                            Absolutely, but Commissioner--you're
              THE WITNESS:
2.0
    correct, and there is no dispute on that, but until I came here
21
    today, I did not read it the way that my law firm has stated it.
2.2
    So, for me, you know, that's what I'm saying, that more than the
23
    seminar we are going to have on this new paradigm that we're
24
    doing with it to have more legal eyes on it and also help with
25
    the legal eyes to do explanation. Never before did we have
```

1 legal opinions on these forms in terms of explaining to us or any explanation at all. The most you would do is try to get by 2 3 the Registrar and see if she would help, and that limited what 4 she can do. You know, she would state what she can or can't do, 5 so you're left on your own from the time I was in politics. 6 So, a lot of what I learned really relates to this 7 since this was something new, was routinely done, and that's why I'm saying there is room for a lot of improvement here. 8 9 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I understand that. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But I say this with the 12 caveat -- and it's a big caveat --1.3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- that the draft bill that 15 you referred to has not been to Cabinet let alone the House of 16 Assembly yet, but the schedule is the same. The form is the 17 same in the draft bill. But that's with a big caveat. That has 18 not been considered by Cabinet or House of Assembly yet. 19 THE WITNESS: And I'm glad that you brought that up 2.0 because now it's important because there are some additions that 21 they already noted down by our Members for being carried 2.2 forward. But being here today and now hearing some other items 23 that I didn't look at in that light has me to add on some more 24 areas to look at. That's why I'm saying this has to be looked 25 at with eagle's eyes and not just regular eyes but also with

```
1
    legal eyes to help us to know we make sure we're all reading
 2
    into each question exactly what is intended because there are
 3
    times, Commissioner--you will appreciate this--in the House of
 4
    Assembly we pass bills, and we are clear--even when we are in
 5
    dispute of certain areas, we're clear what we have passed, but
 6
    sometimes when you see being administered in the courts and you
 7
    will hear how it's interpreted, you saw that's not how we
    intended it when we passed it.
 8
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: I well understand that.
10
              THE WITNESS:
                             So this happens to be another one before
11
    reaching the courts, which we should not say is going to--that
12
    we have to hammer down and drill down on more.
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Yes.
14
              THE WITNESS: So I do admit that we have to improve in
15
    this area here.
16
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you.
17
              THE WITNESS: I never read it that way before.
18
              BY MR RAWAT:
19
              If I could just take you to 4(a), please, and it's
         Q.
2.0
    not--again, I stress asking you about the answers that you've
21
    given, but again it's about your understanding of the form.
              The Member of the House of Assembly is asked:
2.2
23
    you benefit from any sponsorship before election where, to your
24
    knowledge, the financial support in any case exceeded in
25
    aggregate 2,500?" The note at the bottom--and it's Note 2,
```

1 Premier--is: "You should register money given to you by your

- 2 | Election Campaign Committee, money spent by your Election
- 3 Campaign Committee in connection with your election with your
- 4 permission".

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Now, I hope it's a simple question, but what do you understand by the term "sponsorship before election"?
- A. Sponsorship would be--I would see any financial assistance given towards the campaign above that amount of money. That's what I would understand it.
 - Q. So, would you take it to include a donation to your campaign above 2,500?
 - A. If that's a sponsorship—in our culture, once you—once you see and maintain anything for whatever reason, if it happens—I'm not saying that it happened with me, but it's looked upon as a sponsorship, and that's the only way you can look at it upon it as this. Even if you phrase it as a donation, it would be legally a sponsor. I'm not saying it happened in my case, but my interpretation of a sponsorship would be in kind or cash. That's a sponsorship.
 - Q. So, the provision of in-kind services that went over 2,500 is something that you would consider should be declared?
 - A. Well, Commissioner, in this community that is so small, some of these answers are straight "yes" and "no", and why do I say that? And I want to help the Commission to bring context to a culture while making submissions. Most persons

```
here are family, and so if you are doing a function to say—to
feed homeless children or homeless parents and you get whatever
your sisters or whatever to help you cook, that's a donation of
time. But, in our culture, we want to register that as a
```

- sponsorship to you. They're helping you, yes, but they help the community.
 - So, those are things that we don't register as donations. We look at it as--we call it working together for a better community even in the middle of an election.
- So, when we are going to define these things, even with the amendments coming up and even with recommendations, we have to do them context of our culture.
- 13 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR RAWAT:

7

8

- Q. So, can I just move forward through to page 62, 16 Premier.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, this is section 6, and it's headed "overseas visits". I'm not going to ask you anything about overseas visits, to be honest. I'm going to ask you about the note at the bottom. Can you see that there is a reference at the bottom "Guidance Pamphlet on Registration and Declaration of Member's
- 23 Interests"?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, this is 2008. I mean, I think that--you know,

- that's a number of Houses of Assembly in which you have sat. At any point have you been given a Guidance Pamphlet?
- A. I heard about it, but I don't remember seeing it. I heard about it.
- Q. When did you hear about it? Take it from 2008, 6 Premier.
 - A. Yes. That's why I have to be careful here because whenever you say you are going to look, I don't want to say the time when I read it, but I don't think it was early, though. It was later on I heard about some pamphlet, but I never received one.
- 12 Q. Did you go and ask for it?
- A. No, I didn't go and ask for one because, for me, that was clear.
- Q. The Guidance Pamphlet, Premier, with respect, I don't think it's just a guidance pamphlet on oversees visits.
- 17 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

- Q. It's a guidance pamphlet on registration and declaration of Members' interests, so it seemed to be a pamphlet that's been prepared to give guidance on how to fill in this form, so it's somewhat surprising that (a) Members of the House of Assembly don't know it--know about it; and (b), if they do know about it, they go and ask for it.
- A. Well, I heard about the pamphlet somewhere in the past in all the years, but that's what I mean: That shouldn't be

```
something I'm hearing about: "That's supposed to be something from the time you're elected. This is your packet. We are going to do orientation, and let us go through this pamphlet".

So, I heard that in passing somewhere, but then you're
```

already in routine to fill out the form, so all that you were doing, it wasn't something that struck out to me to go and get the pamphlet because you thought you were filling out the forms correctly and didn't know it existed. It was not a big talk among elected officials a problem, so I can't say that I heard from it from officials. I just heard about it in passing, but I want to be truthful to the Commission. I heard about it, but I never saw it.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.

BY MR RAWAT:

Q. Paragraph 8, please.

Now, that deals with land; and, as you've pointed out, it just doesn't deal--it's not specific to land in the BVI.

18 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

- 19 Q. But it is--it does exclude land that you use solely
 20 for your personal residential purposes.
- 21 A. "Exclude", you said?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. So, you're not obliged, are you, to put in your home?

 Now, we're looking at your 2021 form, taking it back

to throughout—and this is a point you made earlier—in earlier

Declarations, you leave this blank. Here, in 2021, you've made

an entry saying the nature of the land is residential. And I

didn't give the detail, but the address is Fresh Water Pond D,

Spyglass Hill and Towers, but can you just clarify to the

Commissioner a little bit more about what this piece of land is

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

about?

- A. Well, I didn't have that piece of land before in all the other submissions, although candidly they were late, but this one now that I was able now to get in my name piece of land now, so I wanted to make sure that when I did my Declaration that I let the Registrar know and put it on the file that I now own this piece of land so that it wouldn't be something that, when searching for my interests and it's not declared and they find it, they will ask if I ever go and see what lands I have, "How come you didn't declare this?"
- So, I was trying to be as brutally honest as I can be by registering it.
- Q. And looking at paragraph 8, is the obligation on you to declare any land you hold, or is it to declare land also held by your immediate family?
- A. Well, again, Commissioner, you have to define, in a small society like the BVI, what we mean by that "immediate family" and what we mean by "family".
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: But leaving that aside

because I understand that it may be a grey area, but does
paragraph 8 refer just to you personally, or to any wider family
as well?

THE WITNESS: Well, it said, "Do you have any land other than homes used solely for the personal residential purpose of you or your family", "residential purpose of you or your family". So, with that, I look at it as any land that I would own. That's how I read the form very clearly.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.

BY MR RAWAT:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. If I could take you--we can skip through this. When you were asked at paragraph 9 to disclose shareholdings, you always answered "no" to that, but go to paragraph 10 because the answer that you've given in relation to paragraph 10 which is headed "Miscellaneous", you set out a number of interests. You were asked there: "If bearing in mind the definition of 'purpose' set out in the instruction to this form". and I could take you to that if you need to remind yourself of it, Premier, "If you have any relevant interests which you consider should be disclosed but which do not fall within the nine categories set out above, please list them".

Now, what you've said is that—and you referred to a building in Mount Sage, and you say one building with four apartments in which three are rented and "my family and I live in one". You then speak of your wife and yourself being

- 1 | invested in a dot.com enterprise with its Shares in a start-up
- 2 | web page, which has not yielded any significant remuneration to
- 3 date, and then a trade licence for Andrew Fahie d/b/a, "I
- 4 | Deliver Reality" and then trade licence for Andrew Fahie d/b/a
- 5 | Tortola Eastern Kim Natural Medicine Business Doty Estate. Is
- 6 that Doty Estate?

- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. That's your full Declaration here.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. So again, before we get into the detail--and as you
- 11 have said, this you set out in every form, but did you
- 12 | take--you've referred to interests held not just by you alone
- 13 but by your wife.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. So, did you take this paragraph 10 to encompass that,
- 16 to encompass not just you, Andrew Fahie, but also your spouse?
- 17 A. Commissioner, the answer would be "yes", but as we go
- 18 through this more and more, I'm sure that each Member would have
- 19 a different interpretation, which goes back to what the impetus
- 20 of what I'm saying from answer of why we are revamping this
- 21 | whole area in terms of amendments, in terms of strengthening
- 22 | with different things because everyone--not everyone, but most
- 23 persons are reading the same sentences and having different
- 24 interpretations.
- 25 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.

```
1
              THE WITNESS: But one thing I must put for the record,
 2
    Commissioner, which you will bear me out with, is that, no
 3
    matter where I put them--and for a legal mind it might not sound
 4
    right, but no matter where I put the interest, I made sure I
 5
    registered everything.
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: No, Mr Rawat's questions
 7
    aren't going towards your--
 8
              THE WITNESS: It's my interpretation of it.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It's your interpretation--
10
              THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: -- of the form and the lack
12
    of clarity in the form?
1.3
              THE WITNESS: Exactly, which lends to all Members.
14
    They may--most likely will have all the information scattered
15
    probably in the different or incorrectly, depending on how you
16
    interpret what each section says your interpretation of it.
17
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, thank you.
18
              BY MR RAWAT:
19
              Can I ask you, just to move on to one topic -- and I
         Q.
    will take it shortly--if you go to page 11 in your bundle,
2.0
21
    please, Premier.
2.2
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
23
              Yes.
24
         Q.
              If you give me a moment, please.
25
               (Pause.)
```

- Q. This was a letter sent to you as Premier.
- 2 A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

2.0

21

2.2

23

Q. And it related—it was in relation to Declarations of
Interests under the Cabinet Handbook. And it set out there the
relevant extracts from the Cabinet Handbook.

Now, 2.25, if I draw your attention to that on the next page, please, Premier, says that: "Ministers or Members having doubts or uncertainty about their interests in a Cabinet matter should inform the Premier in advance of the matter coming up for Cabinet discussion", and you were then asked whether to give details of whether any Ministers or Members had raised matters with you, and your reply is at page 14.

- A. Right. Which interests prior. I remember that.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. That word "prior".
- Q. I'm trying to save it shortly.
- 17 A. The word "prior" is very important.
- 18 Q. I will read it out properly for you, Premier.
- 19 A. Okay.
 - Q. "Since your appointment as Premier to date, please provide details of whether any Ministers or Members have raised matters with you under paragraph 2.25 of the Cabinet Handbook which were not then later raised in the Cabinet meeting itself".
- Now, your answer is at page 14.
- 25 A. Right.

```
1
         Q.
              March 12, 2021, addressed to the Commissioner from
 2
    yourself, and you say: "I do not recall an occasion on which
 3
    Ministers or Members raised matters with me under paragraph 2.25
 4
    of the Cabinet Handbook that were not later raised in the
    Cabinet meeting itself". A little bit of time has passed on,
 5
 6
    and I just wanted to clarify your position.
 7
              Is it the case that, since you have sat in Cabinet as
    Premier, no Member or Minister of Cabinet has approached you
8
 9
    under paragraph 2.25, or is it the case that you can't remember
10
    them doing so?
11
              Let me state, Commissioner--and also make sure that we
         Α.
12
    don't have a mix-up in interpretation of language.
1.3
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes.
14
              Can I just say this, this may be the point that you're
15
    making.
             Paragraph 2.25 refers to lack of clarity. If there is
16
    a lack of clarity or you're uncertain, go and see the Premier.
17
              THE WITNESS: Right.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It doesn't bear on the
18
19
    separate question where you have a conflict that you recuse
2.0
    yourself, you leave the room. That's a different--
21
              THE WITNESS:
                            Oh, okay.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's a different issue.
2.2
23
    This is purely on where there is a lack of clarity, go and see
24
    the Premier, in paragraph 2.25 it says.
25
              THE WITNESS: We never had a lack of clarity like
```

that, but again when it comes to Cabinet, the convention has been that once you identify that there is a conflict with anything that has come to the Cabinet, the particular Minister would recuse himself from the meeting, and he would leave—he or she would leave the meeting, and we would then discuss the matter and make whatever decisions without the Minister.

1.3

2.0

2.2

And generally, believe it or not, although we may be in the same Government, we never go back to that, they would see in the minutes, of course, how many minutes, how it was decided, but they would have recused themselves.

Sometimes persons would know about the context of matter being discussed, but the intricacies of the Ministries that we deal with ideally were no more than all the Ministers who are not in that Ministry; meaning that sometimes some of the information that comes out of the Government you may discuss general topics, like should we put—allow persons who are vaccinated to be allowed in the Territory as an example, and then we would have a lot of paragraphs, as an example, and then we would have a lot of paragraphs—as an example, we would need to hire/fire persons to do so with some kind of procedure, and then the procedure would be taking place. It may come to Cabinet and say, "All right, these are the names". and that is when you may know that, "Well, one of those persons may be a relative". and then I will excuse myself or the person would excuse themselves.

1 I just want to make clarity to it.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you. That's perfectly fair. Right.

BY MR RAWAT:

1.3

2.0

2.2

- Q. Under the Cabinet Handbook, have you ever had to recuse yourself from a Cabinet meeting either when you were as Premier or as Minister of Finance, or I think you have served in the previous administration as Minister of Education?
- A. I don't recall whether I had to or not because again, more for all of the country, especially coming out of a well-timed event such as this, although most persons may say otherwise, it gives us the scope now to realise that we have to define certain things, define the sort of legal—we know the definition based on our culture, of what is family, who is family in terms of that context, how fair it would spend. And for us, "immediate family" means your wife and children or your husband and children if you are female. So, that's what we understand by "immediate family" or if it's—or if it's, I guess, be a mother or father, but even then the culture may be that your mother and father are not together or it's a step—dad. There are all kind of variations here in this Territory because of our small nature and our culture and our makeup.

So, I cannot recall having to leave--that's the answer--but at the same time to this exercise we have to first off recognise that we can't take certain definitions anymore for

granted. We have to have them fully defined.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Since assuming the Office of Premier/Minister of Finance, you have not exempted yourself from a Cabinet meeting?
- A. I cannot say "yes", I cannot recall because I don't want to say "no" and then the record shows that I did it.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: You can't recall any?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

So, I don't recall it at this time. I'm trying to scrape my mind. I don't recall at this time. But if it is there, I will stand corrected, but I can't recall.

BY MR RAWAT:

Q. Final topic, I hope, Premier. We--the Commission has taken evidence about the operation of section 67 of the Constitution of 2007, and specifically that a motion can be brought before the House, where the House decides that an Honourable Member can be exempted from the requirement to vacate his or her seat in circumstances where they have a contract with Government.

And the Commissioner has heard in particular about the circumstances of The Honourable Neville Smith, and he explained those in detail to the Commissioner, so we don't need to go over that or into that particular situation.

But the first question is this: Since 2019, when you assumed the Office of Premier, have you, yourself, ever been exempted from vacating your seat under section 67?

```
A. I want it to be abundantly clear: No. I could never have any contracts with Government. I stayed away from contracts with Government because the--the--it is something that I recognise that I don't--I just stayed away from that because, you know, sometimes when you're trying to get someone, you have got to needle him, so I make sure I stay away from any contracts with Government. I'm not saying that other persons would not have because they may have expertise, and as a small country you may need it. There may be in a profession that you need. They may have material and equipment that you need and as a small country.
```

1.3

2.0

2.2

So, some of them may be elected officials or immediate family around you, so you have to make sure that you come and ask to be exempted, so it is possible that it could happen for anyone else. But for me I stayed away from it. Like a cat's fear of water, I stay away from any contract with Government.

- Q. Now, can you remember, since 2019, how many resolutions under section 67(7) have been brought before the House?
- A. They don't come fast and furious, but the number of them--I don't remember how many of them. I didn't research that, but I know a few of them came in my tenure.
- Q. And when they do, is it the convention that it is the Premier that moves the motion?
- A. Yes. It's always the Premier that moves the motion.

- Q. I said that I have got to the last to topic. I spoke too soon. I just want to ask you--
- A. No problem. I put aside all day for the Commission.
- 4 I want to help you as much as I can.
 - Q. What I hope you also have on the desk in front of you is a report headed "Office of the Deputy Governor Report from the ad hoc Elections Legislation".
 - A. I do.

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- 9 Q. We've already asked questions on this of The
 10 Honourable Marlon Penn because he sat on the Committee, but just
 11 to help you orientate yourself around the document, if you look,
 12 Premier, at page 1--
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. --you will see there that, to summarise it, the Cabinet agreed an ad hoc Elections Legislation Committee be appointed by the Governor. At the bottom we see that the Deputy Governor was appointed serve as Chair, and there is a list there of a number of persons both from both sides of the House but also from the public service and from the private sector who were asked to sit on that Committee.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I just want to ask for your response to a couple of recommendations the Committee made.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. First, if you go, please, to page 6, Premier.

- A. Yes. I'm right there.
- Q. It says: "Electoral campaign financing Rules be drafted to regulate campaign financing". That's the date.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

- Q. That was a recommendation to supervise the election from the 2019 General Elections?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The Committee agreed that and said this: "The
 10 Committee agrees with this recommendation as it creates a fair
 11 and transparent process and eliminates acts of corruption.
- 12 However, research will be undertaken to determine how this will
- 13 work in the Territory. The following comments were made:
- 14 Options of financing should be included in the rules to support
- 15 those who do not have as much money to finance their campaign
- 16 but still have an opportunity to fully participate. Some
- 17 | controls may be necessary".
- I want, before I put my question, Premier, just to take you to page 14.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. And we're dealing with a now the Committee 's responding to the Observer Mission's recommendations from the 2019 General Elections, and the recommendation made then at 10 was this: "To increase trust in the system comply with the UNCAC"--I think that's the UN Convention Against Corruption?

A. Yes.

- Q. And remove the risk of corrupt practices, "The Virgin
 Islands authorities must legislate and institute policies for
 candidates and party financing and the registration of political
 parties for financial reporting services. Committee agreed with
 that recommendation and said--
- 7 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: The comments, I think, are 8 identical.
- 9 MR RAWAT: Exactly the same.
- 10 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Those Mr Rawat read under 11 paragraph 8.
- 12 MR RAWAT: Yes.
- 13 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. So, the question becomes this, and I appreciate that there may be detail behind this, but we don't need to go into that, but as the position of principle, do you agree that there is a need for campaign finance reform in the BVI?
- A. Commissioner, I don't know if you could reform it. It
 just doesn't exist. I agree that it needs it because there is
 no law on campaign financing.
- Q. That's very helpful, then, Premier.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Because it then comes down this, and you agree that if
 there was such, I appreciate someone can be legitimately make
 the point that the ins and outs will need discussion.

1 A. Right.

2

3

4

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. But that if there was campaign finance reform, it would increase trust in the system and it would decrease the risk of corrupt practice?
- A. Well, first of all, we have to conclude that they have corrupt practices. That's not why we needn't--why it would help. I want to make that clear.
- 8 Q. You, I think--listen to my question, Premier, with 9 respect.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What I said is that—I said I didn't premise it on the 12 basis that there was corrupt practice.
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. That it would decrease the risk of corrupt practice, if you had it.
 - A. Right. But that's always the first thing that people draw to make the topic attractive, but you need it so that you can further show to the people of the Virgin Islands and yourself that you have the ability as a country moving towards self-determination to police yourself. That's how I look at any legislation that brings in--that comes in.

Of course, you hope it reduces any illegal activity as much as you can, but it further strengthens your good governance to assure that you can police yourself, and it then shows as much as possible, although this doesn't exist, is the word that

```
1
    we say, it creates a playing field among persons who may have
    the ability to come and represent the people but may not have as
 2
 3
    much cash as someone else who may have big corporations funding
 4
    them; and, therefore, their message will not get out because
    they don't have that same kind of financial backing.
 5
 6
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: So, for those reasons,
 7
    it's a matter of principle.
              THE WITNESS: A matter of principle.
 8
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: There is a lot of detail--
10
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          As a matter of principle,
12
    you would support the implementation of some form of regulating
1.3
    public financing?
14
                            I said that publicly.
              THE WITNESS:
15
              But I want to add this, Commissioner, if I may,
16
    because this is a very important topic. This is one area we
17
    want to work on legislatively. And if you ever, which I know
18
    given when I recognise the work that the Commission is doing
19
    that you are thorough in terms of research, our legislative
2.0
    agenda and also our House of Assembly meetings are one in which
21
    we continue to be bring more and more legislation to help, as I
2.2
    say, add more layers of good governance to further strengthen
23
    our good governance.
24
              But one of the areas of challenge which I say that we
25
    cannot divorce COVID-19 is we had delays due to COVID-19, and
```

the Attorney General's Office, we used to have a joke and call it the "Ministry of Health's Attorney General's Office" because everything for the AG's Office was dealing with health, and rightfully so. So, we had to shift our resources there.

1.3

2.0

2.2

So, they only have a certain number of manpower or womanpower, personpower--let me get out of gender--peoplepower inside the Attorney General's Office to be able to help bring about this legislation.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Now I understand.

THE WITNESS: That's why some of these reforms—even the Registrar of Interests reform got not on the back burner because we wanted it to but because we're trying to save lives by making sure all these suppression orders and all these amendments to the health are there so that we can have our people safe so that we could go to the second leg of the journey of policing ourselves further and for our self-determination by policing ourselves.

Now, we have a little breathing room because teams are helping and other people of this Territory and the Government of how we handled COVID-19. So, if you notice, we speed up somewhat our legislative agenda, independent of anything that's happening but they have always been worked on in the pipeline but now we can give some more help. But again, though, we have to look at the capacity issue in the Attorney General's Office and different areas that also plays a factor, so I just need to

chip that in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

To state the long story--to state the short story,

Commissioner--thank you for letting me say--is that this is one
of the areas that we will be bringing forward in terms of
campaign legislation.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Now, I understand why you made that point, Premier. The long story is, in fact, for another day, but I understand why you made those points now.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR RAWAT: Commissioner, I have come to the end of my questions. Can I conclude firstly by thanking The Honourable Premier for the time that he's given us today, but also thanking him for the way in which he has given the evidence to the Commission.

THE WITNESS: Thanks.

COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: And can I echo that.

Thank you, first of all, for your patience at the beginning, and thank you for your time and the clear and courtesy way that you have responded to the guestions.

THE WITNESS: Commissioner, I thank all of you who are coming out of this. And as I tell the people of the Virgin Islands that we're going to come out better because we're a young nation growing and going to come out much better with these recommendations and many other things that we're working on. It's only going to make us stronger, so I thank you.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           Thank you, Premier.
 2
                         Commissioner, can I ask to rise briefly
 3
    whilst we prepare the room for the next witness?
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes, I will.
 5
              Mr Olympitis, are you staying for the next witness?
 6
              MR OLYMPITIS: Well, I was going to address you, sir,
 7
    on whether I could make the submissions you're expecting from us
 8
    before the next witness.
 9
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: How long will they be,
10
    then, Mr Olympitis?
11
              MR OLYMPITIS: Very short.
                                          I can make them now.
12
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: How short is "short"?
1.3
    Because I know you're a lawyer, Mr Olympitis, and sometimes
14
    shortness of time for a lawyer is not that short.
15
              MR OLYMPITIS: All I'm going to do this afternoon is
16
    ask you for an extension until tomorrow. You need to know what
17
    it is that I'm asking to be extended. Not the submissions.
18
    What I'm asking--let me tell you--
19
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Mr Olympitis, firstly, if
2.0
    they are going to be brief, as brief as your First Submission, I
21
    will hear them now, but can we release the Premier now, please,
2.2
    because he will no doubt have other things to do.
23
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you so much.
24
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you, Premier.
25
               (Witness steps down.)
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, Mr Olympitis, because
 2
    the Honourable Mark Vanterpool has been waiting for some time.
 3
              MR OLYMPITIS: I saw him outside.
 4
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
              MR OLYMPITIS: Sir, just in relation to two matters
 5
 6
    that were mentioned in the letter that the Attorney General
 7
    wrote to you yesterday and there has been a lot of
 8
    correspondence about in reply to you today, in connection with
 9
    the Minister's Position Statements, there are documents that you
10
    want, and there are submissions that you want.
                                                     In term of the
11
    documents, they are being prepared, and I'm hoping that you will
    have some of those tomorrow. And more I'm expecting you will
12
1.3
    have and the total by Wednesday, which is what we had said in
14
    the letter.
15
              The other aspect was that you wanted legal submissions
16
    today in respect of the various issues in relation to the
17
    allegations that were being made. You may know that Sir
18
    Geoffrey Cox was delayed last night. He has arrived in the
19
    Territory but he was unwell when he got here. He has said to me
2.0
    that he has the draft, wants to review it. You will have it
21
    tomorrow morning.
2.2
              And as for time, I would like to say 11-ish.
                                                             Given
23
    it's midday, there is only so much in the day left.
24
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much,
25
    Mr Olympitis.
```

```
1
              Particularly in light of the directions I gave this
 2
    morning, I'm content to give until noon tomorrow for the legal
 3
    submissions, and those legal submissions will set out precisely
 4
    the criticisms the Ministers are making, and I say that because,
    in their Position Statement, which I know is currently
 5
 6
    confidential, but I can refer to it to this extent.
 7
    Position Statement, for example, in paragraph 25, it says: "The
 8
    elected government strongly believes that the UK Government
 9
    has". and it goes on to say, "acted unconstitutionally and not
10
    in accordance with the law".
11
              And I understand that beliefs are very important, but
12
    I'm also interested in the legal basis for any allegations that
1.3
    the United Kingdom or the Governor acted unconstitutionally, not
14
    in accordance with their own policies or not in accordance with
15
    international law, and then submissions focused on those precise
16
    allegations -- criticisms.
17
              MR OLYMPITIS: Yes.
18
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes?
19
              And in relation to the documents, you're going to get
2.0
    those to us, what? On a rolling basis before next Wednesday?
21
              MR OLYMPITIS: Precisely.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Given the change in next
23
    week's business.
24
              MR RAWAT: We will have to live with Mr Olympitis has
25
              I think what would be helpful is, ahead of that, if we
```

```
1
    could get indication of the loading of documents that they
 2
    anticipated, that might be helpful.
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
 3
                                           Yes.
              MR OLYMPITIS: I can't answer that because I left the
 4
 5
    office and the copying is being done, so I just don't know the
 6
    answer.
 7
                          Perhaps a short e-mail would prefer.
              MR RAWAT:
              MR OLYMPITIS: You did say in the letter that if we
 8
 9
    could get you some by Friday, we would; otherwise, rolling basis
10
    until Wednesday.
11
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: As long as we get it all
12
    in by 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday because this disclosure--this is
1.3
    obvious, but this disclosure will not end with us because this
14
    is disclosure in relation to criticisms of essentially the
15
    Governor, and consequently he will need a proper opportunity to
16
    respond before the 12th of July, which is the date I have set in
17
    the directions.
18
              Good.
19
              MR OLYMPITIS: Before we finish, one other matter just
2.0
    to mention to you for your consideration in relation to--
21
              REALTIME STENOGRAPHER: M
                                             r Olympitis, can you
2.2
    come closer to your microphone, please.
23
              MR OLYMPITIS: Thank you.
24
              In relation to the breaches of the Register of
25
    Interests Act.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes.
 2
              MR OLYMPITIS: I'm troubled, and so I'm mentioning
 3
    this really for you to consider further rather than me making
 4
    specific submissions on, but I'm troubled in relation to
 5
    Mr Rawat when he says there are two breaches, section 3 and
 6
    section 7.
 7
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          Yes.
 8
              MR OLYMPITIS: Section 3 imposes a duty. It imposes a
 9
    duty to make a Declaration.
10
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                          An obligation.
11
              MR OLYMPITIS: Obligation.
12
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: It's a statutory
1.3
    obligation.
14
              MR OLYMPITIS:
                              I accept that.
15
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: If you don't comply with
16
    it, you're in breach.
17
              THE WITNESS: You're in breach--well, let me--
18
               (Overlapping speakers.)
19
              MR OLYMPITIS: Let me take it a little bit further.
2.0
              It doesn't say you are in breach of the Act until
21
    three months have gone by.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM:
                                           I mean, I'm not going to
23
    hear this, not to be discourteous, but these are the submissions
24
    I'm yet to hear on Monday. But Mr Rawat has put the legal
25
    position as follows:
                          That section 3 mandates--it's a statutory
```

```
1
    obligation to put in Declarations on a particular date.
 2
    Consequently, if a Member does not put in his Declaration or her
 3
    Declaration by that date, that is in breach of section 3.
 4
              Section 7, he says, is a separate breach, as he puts
 5
    it, to which there is a sanction--there is no sanction for a
 6
    breach of section 3 but there is a sanction in section 7.
 7
    Whether those are looked at as a single breach or continuing
 8
    breach or two breaches I'm not sure matters very, very much, but
 9
    those are the matters I'm going to hear.
10
              MR OLYMPITIS: That's my point. It could be other
11
    possibilities.
12
              COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes, but I will hear
1.3
    submissions on that on Monday.
14
              Yes, thank you very much, Mr Olympitis.
15
              So, I will just leave for a few minutes while the room
16
    is reconstituted. Thank you very much.
17
               (Recess.)
18
```

1 Session 3 HON MARK H VANTERPOOL, COMMISSION WITNESS, CALLED 2 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. First, 4 Mr Vanterpool, before we start, can I just apologise for the delay, and thank you for your patience, which is much 5 6 appreciated, but we are now ready to proceed. 7 Mr Rawat. Thank you, Commissioner. 8 MR RAWAT: 9 Q. Mr Vanterpool, thank you for coming back to give 10 evidence today. 11 As you recall, you briefly appeared before the 12 Commissioner on Monday when you were sworn. That oath still applies. 1.3 14 Also, I think on that day I asked you to give your 15 full name and your professional address. We don't have to go 16 through that again. 17 Can I briefly deal with some quick formalities. 18 try and keep my questions short and simple, but if at any time 19 you have difficulty understanding one of my questions, please do 2.0 ask me to repeat it or to rephrase it, and I'll do so. 21 might be quite a daunting set of bundles sitting in front of you 2.2 on the desk and also some pieces of paper. We won't need to 23 look at them now or--and some of them we might not need to look 24 at all, but I'll take you to the documents as we go through, if 25 I may.

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- Q. The last thing just to ask you to do is just please keep your voice up and to speak slowly. The microphone that's in front of you does not amplify; it just records, but we do want, and this is important that we get, an accurate record of your evidence this afternoon.
 - A. Thank you.
- Q. Can I start off, as I have done with all the Members of the House of Assembly and, indeed, former Members that have given evidence thus far, and that is to ask you to give a brief outline of your professional background before you entered politics.
- A. I first started as a teacher for a short, brief period, and then I entered the banking profession, followed by entering into my own business in the late 1980s.
- And then in 1999, I was elected to office, in the House of Assembly at the time as new Council.
- Q. I'll come to that in a moment. So, in effect, have you run your own business or businesses since leaving the banking profession?
 - A. Yes, pretty much so.
- Q. You mentioned that you were elected first in 1990 to the Legislative Council, and it was on behalf of the National Democratic Party, was it?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And it was to represent the Fourth District.
- 2 A. That is correct, sir.
- 3 Q. And have you been their representative ever since?
- A. I had a brief sabbatical in 2007 to '11, when I lost
- 5 | the election that I contested in that large constituency.
- Q. I see. You're right, of course. I should have taken to it in stages.
- 8 Obviously, you went into politics in 1999,
- 9 successfully elected. So, between 2003 and 2007, you were
- 10 actually in opposition because you had left the NDP and joined
- 11 | the Virgin Islands Party; is that right?
- 12 A. Correct, in about 2002, I think.
- Q. And then you--as you mentioned, you were unsuccessful
- 14 | in the 2007 election, but you returned as the representative of
- 15 | the Fourth District in 2011, and that was now again as the NDP
- 16 | candidate, wasn't it?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Now, in that administration, which 2011 to 2015 would
- 19 be the Second House of Assembly, did you hold any ministerial
- 20 office at that time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what was that?
- A. Minister of Communications and Works.
- Q. In 2015, when there was the next election, you were
- 25 again successful as a representative. Did you--in that

- administration, which was Dr Smith's administration, did you hold Ministerial office again?
- A. Yes, same office, Minister of Communications and Works.
 - Q. And again, you were re-elected again in the most recent election in 2019. I appreciate that for--we'll come--we don't need to go into the detail, but for various reasons, you were actually sworn into the House at a later date than every other Member of the House of Assembly.
- 10 A. That is correct; in July I think it was.
- 11 Q. I think it was the 16th of July 2019.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- 13 Q. Now, how many people live in your district?
- A. We have about 1200 registered to vote. How many live might be more than that, but that's the registration, registered voters.
- Q. Do you have an idea of what the population of voters and non-voters is?
 - A. I don't really have an accurate--you know, it's in cities, they're estimated probably about a total of 5,000 people maybe living in the vicinity, in the area.
 - Q. And I'd just like you to confirm this, but the official record on the BVI Government website was that in the 2019 Election, 889 votes were cast. You received 442 of those votes, which equated to 49.70 percent of the votes cast. Does

that accord with your recollection of your success at the polls?

- A. I can't remember, but it's possible, yes.
- Q. Now, one of the topics or issues that the Commissioner has been taking evidence on is the operation of the Register of Interests Act of 2006. You'll be aware, having voted for it in the House of Assembly, of the most recent amendment that's been made to the Act, but one thing that hasn't changed about it is that the Register itself is not available to the public for inspection.

Now, where do you stand on that point? Are you in favor or against publication of the Register to the public?

- A. I have no objections to that.
- Q. Have you always held that view?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

I accept that it's the law and presently that it's not available to the public, but it—I don't object to it being available to the public. And it depends on which form it is. In other words, you know, I'm always a bit cautious that your Declaration that may—that you may have to declare, it depends on how—what—what level of details, especially of businesses that may be exposed to the public. But generally speaking, whatever businesses you own and whatever interests you may have should be made public, yes.

- Q. So, in principle, you're for the proposal?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. I appreciate that there may be, as there often is, 2 details to be worked out as the measure goes through.
- The Register of Interests Act--and I can take you to the law, if you want me to, I can take you to the Act itself, but I hope you'll suspect this from me. What it requires from you as a Member of the House of Assembly and indeed every other 7 Member of the House of Assembly is that on the day you're sworn, what you should do is to file a Declaration of Interests with the Registrar of Interests.
- 10 Α. Um-hmm.

3

4

5

6

8

- 11 Do you agree with that? Q.
- 12 Α. Yes. That's the--
- 1.3 That's the law. Q.
- 14 That's the law. Α.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 Α. Yes, it is.
- 17 And what it then requires you to do is to file that 0. 18 Declaration on every subsequent anniversary of that date.
- 19 That is the requirement as I understand it, yes. Α.
- 2.0 And that, just so that you can be clear, Mr Vanterpool 0. 21 is it comes under section 3 of the Act.
- 2.2 Α. Yes.
- 23 Section 7 has a--provides that if within three months 24 you still haven't sent in your Declaration, within three months 25 of the date that it's due, then you are--you're taken to be in

breach of the Act, and the Registrar must write a report about you to a Standing Select Committee. Was that a provision that you were aware of?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. So, in effect, there are two potential risks under the Act for a Legislator: Firstly, that you'll be in breach if you don't file on the date it's due; but, secondly, you will be also be in breach if you haven't filed within three months, but in that occa--on that instance, the Registrar has to write a report about you. Would you accept that's the framework in which you have to operate?
- 12 A. Yes. I haven't read the law that is stated that is
 13 the case, yes.
 - Q. Now, obviously, you have been—apart from your brief sabbatical—you have been in either Legislative Council or the House of Assembly for some years. The Register of Interests came into force and into effect in—on the 18th of February 2008. From that time, has it always been your view that it's the responsibility of each Member of the House to confirm and complete their own Declaration?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And so, it's not for more senior Members to police the activities of more junior Members or more inexperienced, shall we say.
- 25 A. The answer to the question is yes.

- Q. So, in your time, has anyone ever come to you and asked for advice about how do I fill this annual form?
 - A. Not that I can recollect, no.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. And when you, yourself, if you have done, have sought advice about the form, where have you gone?
- A. On the form is I don't think very complicated, but, you know, you need clarity on certain things, and when I had completed a form, I would have consulted in certain instances with the Registrar or asked for advice, sometimes perhaps legally, as to how to complete it in certain areas of the form. But it is not a complicated form. It just can be onerous if you--especially the first time you fill it; after that, it's fairly straightforward.
 - Q. Could I just take you to the forms, the copies of the forms that we have. They've come from two sources. One is from the Registrar herself and the other one is yourself because you supplied copies of the forms that you held.

And I'm going to take you to the ones from the Registrar. If we go to page 96, please.

Now, this is the copy that came from the Registrar. You can see her stamp at the top of the page, and can you see the date on there, 15th of September '20?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm going to suggest that this is your 2020 Declaration.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. If you go, please, to--through to page 104.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You have it.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 104, is that your signature?
- 8 A. That is.
 - Q. It's dated the 7th of September.
- Now, what we've got to remember is you're slightly--in
- 11 fact, it is your unique position that, of course, the date on
- 12 which it was due would have been the 16th of September--the 16th
- of July 2020 rather than 12th of March 2020, which would apply
- 14 to every other Member of the House.
- Now, in this case, would you accept, Mr Vanterpool,
- 16 that you filed your Declaration for 2020 after the date it was
- 17 due?

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it's within--so, you're in breach of section 3,
- 20 | but you're not in breach, are you, of section--if my math is
- 21 | right, of section 7 because you're within three months of that
- 22 date, aren't you?
- 23 A. That would be my interpretation, yes.
- Q. If you go, please, to 105, this is stamped by the
- 25 Registrar, dated the 3rd of September 2019, and it's your

```
registration form for 2019. So, you'll see the last page is at 2 112.
```

- If I could have a moment, please, Commissioner.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Yes.
- 5 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. Yes, I've got it. 112, please, Mr Vanterpool, sorry.
- 7 Again, can you confirm that's your signature on that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And it's dated the 17th of July 2019.
- Now, again, that is, I think, to be fair to you, it's
- 11 just a day out after the day on which it was due, so that's your
- 12 2019 Declaration. So, technically would you accept that you
- 13 missed the due date in that case?
- A. It's off a day, it's off a day, certainly, yes.
- 15 Q. The other Declaration that we have for you is your
- 16 2017 Declaration, which if you look at the version that the
- 17 Registrar gave us, it's at 113. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. It's not stamped this time, but if you go back through
- 20 | to 120, you can see there that it's got the date of 20th of
- 21 | February, hasn't it?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. With your signature on it.
- A. Correct.
- Q. If you turn through, please, Mr Vanterpool, to

- 1 page 23, I can take you to the first page, if it will help you,
- 2 | but this came from you, and it appears to be another copy of
- 3 | your Declaration for 2017, which is--carries your signature,
- 4 | doesn't it, sir?

6

7

- 5 A. Yes, yes, sir.
 - Q. But the one I showed that came from the Registrar is dated the 20th of February 2017. The one that came from you is dated the 4th of July 2017.
- 9 Can you help at all with the difference in dates?
- 10 A. 20th of February that's--the 20th of February is the 11 Registrar's copy; right?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- A. And the 4th of July--I think both are identical, but there could have been another Declaration I did that was due for the period. Let me--I haven't compared it, too, so I don't know.
- Q. If it assists, I have tried to compare the two, and they do appear to be identical apart from the date, but if you can't shed any light on it, you can't.
- 20 A. Not I can recall, but, yes-21 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- A. That's a separate Declaration, I suspect.
- Q. But if you look there, on the table in front of you, there should be a file which is not the file that you're in at

```
1 the moment but one headed "Governor's Disclosure'. It should be
```

- 2 one of the black files.
- A. "Governor's Disclosure", you said?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. Ah, yeah.
- 6 Q. You have it, thank you.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. I don't want to go through the detail of what I'm
- 9 going to take you to, Mr Vanterpool, but if you go to the
- 10 page--if you go through and you'll see a memorandum from the
- 11 Governor, and it's dated the 20th of December 2020.
- 12 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Which page number, Mr
- 13 Rawat?
- MR RAWAT: The first two pages are numbered one and
- 15 \parallel two, and then we start again with the numbering, and it's page 1
- 16 | when we start again.
- 17 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: That's it on the left-hand
- 18 | side, Mr Vanterpool.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 20 BY MR RAWAT:
- Q. And it's got the date on it, typed date of 20th of
- 22 December 2020.
- A. I'm with you, yes.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- Now, what it's referring to is it's addressed to the

- Registrar of Interests, it's dated 20th of December 2020, and it is thanking her for what is described as a status report on Members of the House of Assembly. You will find the Report itself starting at page 3.
 - And what--to summarise, the Registrar has set down there is the obligations under section 3 on a Member, and at the bottom she refers to you as the Member of the Fourth District. She makes the point that you took office late on the 16th of July, and then refers to you submitting your 2019 Declaration late, which you've already talked about. But if you turn over through, on page 4 the Registrar signs and dates the status report as 15th of December 2020. But if you go to page 5, please.
 - A. I'm with you.
- 15 Q. You're with me.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

- This is a report which shows—it said show the status of all Members of the House of Assembly. I don't want to read it all out, but it just draws your attention to the last sentence, which I do have to read out: "The Honourable Mark Vanterpool did not file a single Declaration for the period 2011 through 2018".
- Is that something that you accept, Mr Vanterpool?
- A. Until 2017. I filed a Declaration in 2017 as you correctly pointed out, yeah.
- 25 Q. So--

- 1 A. So 2018 is not correct.
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. But it's--
- 4 Q. But subject to that correction, what--in your evidence
- 5 is that what this should say is that The Honourable Mark
- 6 | Vanterpool did not file a single Declaration for the period 2011
- 7 | through 2017.
- 8 A. 2016, correct.
- 9 0. 2016.
- 10 A. Yes, because I filed one in 2017.
- 11 Q. Right. But that was for 2017.
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. So, you had missed 2016 as well.
- A. So that's why I said 2011 through 2016.
- 15 Q. I see.
- So, for all of those years, you accept that you
- 17 | completely failed to file any declarations.
- 18 A. I accept that, sir.
- 19 Q. Now, during your time as a Member of the House of
- 20 Assembly, did you, like other Members of the House, receive
- 21 notifications from the Registrar reminding you of the Due Date
- 22 for Declarations and, in fact, sending you a form?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And did you receive from her, and another Member
- described her as assiduous in sending correspondence, but did

you receive from her reminder letters when, you had, in fact, missed the Due Date?

- A. As I recall, yes, I had, on occasions.
- Q. Could we go, please, in your bundle, to page 10. It's
- 5 a letter addressed to you from the Commissioner, 19th of
- 6 February 2021, and it's asking about the Register of Interests
- 7 Act 2006. If you go, please, to 11.
- 8 A. Page 11?
- 9 O. Yes.

- 10 A. It's page 10?
- 11 Q. You've got page 10. If you go to the bottom of
- 12 page 10, the requests from the Commissioner start there, and
- 13 they continue over to page 11.
- 14 A. Ah, yes, I'm with you.
- 15 Q. Numbers 2 and 3.
- 16 A. I'm with you.
- Q. One of the questions that was asked of you was: "If
- 18 during your period of appointment as a Member you failed at any
- 19 time to comply with your obligation to make such a Declaration
- 20 pursuant to section 3(1), it's in respect to each such failure a
- 21 full explanation as to why you don't make such Declaration".
- Now, your answer we find at page 13. And what you say
- 23 | there is: "I enclose all documents relevant to the above in my
- 24 possession at this time". And in fairness to you,
- 25 Mr Vanterpool, what I should say for the record is what you

disclosed was copies of those Declarations that you had in your
possession together, indeed, with copies of Election Notices
that you'd filed.

Your letter continues: "This letter gives consent to allow the Registrar of Interests to provide the Commissioner with a copy of the pages of Register that register my Declarations of Interests".

But what you did not do was answer the question that the Commissioner had asked. Why didn't you disclose that for six years you had not even filed a single Declaration?

- A. Commissioner, I would not try to defend anything or try to make an excuse. It was not done. It was negligent on my part, and I have learned my lessons from that, and it's something of such importance I would suggest, especially for the purpose of future persons involved, that it need not—you need not be negligent on important matter like this, but I was, and I don't have any specific reason I can give you to make an excuse for that.
- Q. Because what you also failed to reveal to the Commissioner in response was that, even after 2016, you had failed to file on time on instances; that's right, isn't it?
 - A. That is correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Now, you described this as an important obligation.

The obligation is to fill in a form sent to you by a Registrar of Interests once a year. From your perspective, why is that

such an onerous obligation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. I did not suggest it was onerous. I suggested that it was negligent on my part. It wasn't done, so that is the best explanation I can give, and I wouldn't add anything to that or subtract anything from that.
- Q. Now, 2021, you--I think your Due Date for 2021 would be the 16th of July 2021, so you're not yet in difficulties.

 Have you taken steps in relation to your 2021 Declaration?
- A. Yes, Commissioner, I have. In fact, I have it. It's ready to go. I believe, in the next day or two it should be with the Commission--with the Registrar.
- Q. Now, part of the mechanism under the Act is to have a Standing Select Committee.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And again I hope that those on the desk in front of you, a Resolution from 2016, which for the first time set up that Standing Select Committee.

Now, we have read into the record reports and letters from the Registrar which point to her seeking the establishment of a Standing Select Committee between 2008 and, certainly, 2014. It wasn't set up until 2016. You were obviously in the Legislature at the time that the Act came into force. You would have—and the Registrar wrote in one letter that she was reduced to begging Members to complete their Declarations. You would have been in the House of Assembly when the Registrar was making

- her pleas to the House. And yet it took six years for a

 Standing Committee to be established. Again, because you were

 there, from your recollection, can you give the Commissioner any
 reason as to why it took so long to set up a Standing Select
- 5 Committee?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- A. Commissioner, I don't--I can't say specifically why it wound up taking that long, but the only thing I can recall in terms of the technicality of it was that the Standing Orders did not include such a committee, and, therefore, the Standing Orders Committee had to be convened to make that adjustment to establish such a Committee.
- Now, there is no excuse for it taking that long for that to happen, but until the Standing Orders Committee was convened and revised to include such a committee, it wasn't done, but that, there's no excuse for it taking that long. But I understand that was on the technicalities that had to be established.
 - Q. That step had to be there--
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. --before the Committee could be established.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And, you know, you've accepted it took six years. In effect, it spanned two houses of Assembly, didn't it?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And the--again, from your experience, if the Standing

- Orders Committee needs or wishes to move quickly on something, they can do so, can't they?
- A. Yes. You know, it first has to be established in each
 House, and then once it's established, the Standing Orders
 Committee must make its amendments and bring them to the House

And I recall that there was a major upgrade, I should say, a major overhaul of the Standing Orders that were not overhauled for maybe 20 or 25 years, and the Standing Orders Committee proceeded to upgrade the Standing Orders and included this Committee in that upgrade.

- Q. Now, the Resolution I hope you found had not only effect of establishing the Committee, but making you the Chairman of it.
- A. Yes.

for approval.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Now, the evidence that—and you can correct this, if you wish, but the evidence from other Members who were on that Committee and have already given evidence and includes former Members was that the Committee met once and then did not meet again in that session. Is that your recollection?
- 21 A. Yes, that is correct. Met in, I think it was, 2017.
- Q. Can you give the Commissioner a sort of idea of when in 2017 it met?
- A. I don't recall the exact date, but I know we met at the Office of the Registrar and established the Committee there,

- 1 and that was in 2017. It could have been mid-to early 2017.
- 2 | I'm not sure. And then the Committee had met since then, yes,
- 3 that is correct.
- Q. So, Committee is established in April 2016, and in effect has its first meeting a whole year later.
- 5 effect has its first meeting a whole year later.
- Now, one of the tasks of the Committee--and it falls
- 7 to the Committee to do this--is to approve the format of the
- 8 Register. If there isn't a Register, the mechanism under the
- 9 Act has not been fully complied with. To your recollection, did
- 10 the Committee which you chaired approve the format of the
- 11 Register?
- 12 A. I don't recall specifically, but I know the meeting
- 13 that we had on that date at the Registrar's Office, from my
- 14 recollection, the Register was established thereafter and,
- 15 therefore, it must have been some format agreed, from my
- 16 recollection, but I don't recall all that transpired on that
- 17 date.
- 18 Q. Now, you--if there is a Standing Committee, and if
- 19 there are--and this has been the Registrar of Interests'
- 20 words--if there are delinquent Members who fall afoul of
- 21 | section 7, she's obliged to write a report.
- Now, did you--this is 2016 that you were appointed the
- 23 Chair. Did you not see that there could be a potential conflict
- 24 of interest for you in chairing and being a Member of a
- 25 | committee where you, in effect, had been habitually

non-compliant with the Act?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- A. I may say so, but I think when we convened and tried to get the Committee moving, I think the effort was made by many persons at that time to submit the form and become compliant, and so--you know, yes, it may--it can be considered a conflict of interest, yes.
 - Q. Did it trouble you?
- A. It would have concerned me, yes, but we--we proceeded to try to make that correction, but yes, it is not something that would be--would have been comfortable to me.
- Q. And to be fair to you, Mr Vanterpool, you did thereafter, after 2016, begin to submit Declarations?
- 13 A. Correct.
 - Q. But would it also be fair to say that it didn't concern you sufficiently so that you actually started submitting Declarations on time? You were still late, weren't you?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. I'm not singling you out for criticism because other members of the House of Assembly and former Members of the House--I think with one exception--have accepted before the Commissioner that they, too, failed to comply with their statutory obligations under the Act, but you are someone who was there from the beginning. You are still there.

Doesn't the fact that it took so long to set up the
Standing Committee and the current Standing Committee has yet to

meet in this session, but taking of all that together and using
you as an example, doesn't it actually come down to a set of
Members of the House of Assembly who showed a complete disregard
for this important statutory obligation?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. I would not describe--I would not describe it that way, "disregard". I would say that it's an important aspect of our duties. However, we did not pay sufficient attention to it, and we should have, but I would--I cannot say in your words that it was disregarded.
- Q. Well, what word would you use to describe someone who doesn't file declarations for six years?
- A. I just--I just said that we didn't pay enough attention to it, but we should have, but I cannot say it was disregard. We filed it sometimes, we filed it sometimes late. That is no excuse. That's not correct, but it's not--I wouldn't say it's disregard.
- Q. Could I ask you, please, just to turn up page 32. I just want to ask you some questions about your--the details of your Declaration.

Page 32 is the first page of the form. The form is attached to the Act, so it is what it is. The words are what they are, and they won't be changed. But what it says is: "The main purpose of the Register of Interests is to provide information of any pecuniary interest or other material benefit which a Member receives which might reasonably be thought by

- 1 others to influence his or her actions, speeches or votes in the
- 2 House of Assembly or actions taken in his or her capacity as a
- 3 Member".
- 4 So, asking you, firstly, as a Member of the House of
- 5 Assembly required to complete these forms, but also as someone
- 6 who was appointed as Chair to the Standing Committee, what did
- 7 you take to be the purpose of the Act? What was it trying to
- 8 | get you to do?
- 9 A. In my words, the Act--the purpose of the Act was to
- 10 ensure that Members will declare within the Registry their
- 11 | interests as they operate within the House or within the
- 12 Executive Branch of the Government that there would be no
- 13 | conflict of interest and that there is--one can check with the
- 14 Registry to ensure that and see where that might have been.
- Q. And I think you will accept that this purpose is only
- 16 fulfilled if, from the beginning, people file on time?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And it's only fulfilled from the beginning that the
- 19 detail is accurate and can be checked?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And where you have a situation where any Member--and
- 22 you're not the only one who doesn't file on time--the first step
- 23 | in the process isn't working, is it?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 O. And the Act--the sanctions under the Act include a

- fine and include being suspended from the House of Assembly. To your knowledge, has that ever occurred?
 - A. No, it hasn't. It hasn't occurred, to my knowledge.
- Q. Now, if we look at page 33, you've set out there--and I don't need to read them out, but--and I'll explain why--
 - A. For those before you, I was following this today and realised that there are two companies missing, if I may add those.
 - Q. Can I take--can I take those in stages, if necessary?
 - A. Okay, no problem. Yes. Thank you.
- 11 Q. If I explained, Mr Vanterpool, the reason--the

 12 reason--and this is if I've got my cross-referencing right, if

 13 we look at your Directorships from A to I--and I can show you

 14 this, if you need to see it, but from A to I, which is "Umbrella

 15 Construction Limited recently incorporated". all of those

 16 details and the wording is exactly the same appear in your 2019

 17 Election Notice. If you want me to show you it, I will--
 - A. No, that's okay. I agree with you.
- Q. And then J, Shoreside Development was actually the subject of a resolution before the House, wasn't it?
- 21 A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And you've added additional details which include
 Shoreside East Development Limited. Is that linked to Shoreside
 Development BVI Limited?
- 25 A. It's not linked, no. It's two different companies.

1 Q. I see.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.2

Now, it's a matter for the Commissioner, but it may not be necessary for you to--if you have two additional Declarations and/or companies, they're going to be on the 2021 form, I don't think we necessarily need to put it into evidence at this stage.

- A. I missed that.
- Q. You mentioned a moment ago that there were two additional companies that you wanted to declare.
- 10 A. These are two companies that I missed from declaring.
- 11 Q. I see.
- 12 A. That should have been declared long ago, and I went 13 back and checked, and they weren't on it.
- 14 Q. All right.
- 15 A. I need to make note that these were not declared.
 - Q. So, your evidence is in effect that—I mean, you have listed under Directorship, Directorships in various companies from A to N, but that having reviewed your forms, you note that there are two companies that should have been on these forms from long ago, and you've omitted them.
- 21 A. That's correct.
 - Q. So, in that sense, the forms are deficient?
- 23 A. Yes, correct.
- MR RAWAT: I think we can leave it there,
- 25 Commissioner, unless you want me to explore it.

1 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you very much. BY MR RAWAT: 2 3 Ο. Could I just take you through to page 35, please. And 4 it's a question I've asked about those. You will see at 4 there 5 is a reference to "Sponsorship". 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 And you've answered "no" to this question. I don't Ο. need to--it's not about exploring your answer or the accuracy of 8 9 it, but it's about your understanding of the information you're 10 being asked to provide. 11 You're asked: "Did you benefit from any sponsorship 12 before election where, to your knowledge, the financial support 1.3 in any case exceeded in aggregate 2,500?" My question is this: 14 What do you understand by the term "sponsorship before 15 election"? 16 Α. I would suggest it means any donations or financial 17 contributions to a campaign or any--directly into the campaign 18 or any sponsorships; let's say a radio programme or something 19 That's what I understand it to be. 2.0 So, if someone were to sponsor a radio programme on Q. 21 your behalf, you would have to declare that--2.2 If it exceeds \$2,500, yes, sir. Α. 23 --at that point? Q.

donation of 2,500 or above, that's something you should declare?

Yes, but it's also if they turned up and gave you a

24

A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. Can you confirm this--and others have said this as well, but there is--there aren't any rules, are they, governing how much you can spend on your campaign? It's just down to you as an individual.
 - A. Not to my knowledge in the general rules, no.
- Q. I don't--I mean, the Honourable Neville Smith said to the Commissioner that you could spend \$2 and lose and spend \$2,000 and win. You may not want to adopt those words, but there is no upper limit, is there?

(Overlapping speakers.)

- A. I don't have any rules that I'm aware of.
- Q. Can I turn to a different topic, if I may.

 You have served in Cabinet in a previous
 administration.

When you were in Cabinet, what was the process if you, as a Minister, needed to declare an interest in an agenda item?

- A. You would inform the--either the Cabinet Secretary or the Chairman if there were a conflict, and you would not enter the room for the discussions or the decisions on such a subject.
- Q. And if you had any doubts or uncertainty over whether a conflict did, in fact, exist, who would you take those doubts or uncertainty to?
- A. I think you would normally discuss it with the
 Premier. In the past--if I had an item sometimes I would ask

1 the Attorney General's opinion, if that were necessary. 2 And I just want to--one of the--I suppose one of the Ο. 3 themes that has emerged from the evidence that different 4 witnesses have given is the concept of family in the BVI. than one witness has said, and I quote, "we're all related". 5 6 but -- and it's the idea of what is "immediate family" and where 7 the line is struck. Can I take you to the large grey bundle 8 that you see there, just... 9 Turn, please--I'll explain--10 Α. The one that says--11 (Overlapping speakers.) 12 Q. I will explain what this is in a moment. 1.3 Commissioner made a request of the Cabinet Secretary, Sandra 14 Ward, and the request was to make an affidavit exhibiting those 15 Cabinet Minutes that she could locate where a Declaration of 16 Interests had been made, and you featured in one of those, and I 17 just wanted to just ask your opinion or will invite you to give 18 evidence on the point. 19 If you go, please, to I think it's page 5, please. 2.0 And it's the slightly larger numbering in the bottom. 21 Α. I have it. 2.2 I think if we're on the same page, it will be the 0. 23 Cabinet meeting on Wednesday, the 22nd of August 2018.

And you are there in your capacity as Minister for

24

25

Α.

Q.

I'm with you.

Communications and Works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

24

25

If you take--if I take you through to page 13, please.

- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. I don't want to go or need to go into the detail, but one of the agenda items was reappointment of Members of Scholarship Trust Fund Board, and the first entry if you see, is yourself as Minister for Communications and Works declares an interest in the matter due to the fact that the Chairman is a family member, and we see the name of the Chairman there, Dion Vanterpool. What was the family connection, or what is the family connection?
- 12 A. That's my son.
- 13 Q. I see.

And in terms of guidance as to where to draw the family line, were you given any once you sat in Cabinet?

- A. I don't think there was necessarily any direct guidance, but one would have to obviously use his right judgment in a case like this, for example.
- 19 Q. For you, what sort of criteria guided your judgment?
- 20 A. I saw family members within the ambit of children and 21 spouse.
 - Q. If, for example, a Cabinet Minister had a--there was an agenda item that involved a Cabinet Minister's first cousin, adult first cousin, is that the sort of thing that you would expect them to declare?

- A. No. I mean, where do you draw the line? I would say--I would suggest that I wouldn't--I wouldn't necessarily think that I have to declare that.
- Q. So, for you, the line would be spouse and adult children?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. So, if your brother had an interest, you wouldn't declare that?
- A. I would—I would say depends on what it was, but you have to—one has to use judgment, you know. Depends on what it is. For example, if it was a Board that was being formed and my brother was on the Board, I wouldn't suggest I need to declare an interest for that. If it's something that involved financial matters, I probably would.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Depends on the circumstance.
- 17 Q. Thank you very much for that.
- Can I move on to a different topic, and I'll take you back to your own bundle, please. And the last page.
 - The Commissioner has been taking evidence from the operation of section 67 of the Virgin Islands Constitution of 2007. Section 67 governs the tenure of Members of the House of Assembly, but it also sets out circumstances in which you have to vacate your seat.
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. Under section 3(e), you would have to vacate your seat if you became, putting it simply, a party to a contract with Government.

A. Um-hmm.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Now, you could be exempted from that if in the circumstances it appeared just to the House of Assembly to do so, so it's not automatic. The House has to vote on it, and they may vote against you being exempted.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if we look at page 121 and 123 of the bundle that is, if you like, your bundle--
- A. Yes.
 - Q. --there are two resolutions of the House there both made on the same day, 22nd of September 2020, Resolution No 18 and Resolution No 20. If I look, please, with you at 121 in the first resolution, that was an exemption which was--I'll summarise it, but it's: "Whereas The Honourable Mark Vanterpool is a director of K Mark's Foods Limited trading as OneMart, and a company which is said to provide the sale of general food, furniture, appliances, and household items.

And then it continues: "Whereas you have been providing items to all government ministries and departments and residences and offices of the governor". and it sets out that the Ministry had made an offer to you and entered into a contract with you, with your company, to provide food and

sanitary supplies in the amount of \$869,773.34. And then that was to assist the Virgin Islands population during the COVID pandemic.

So that was an exemption because, otherwise, you would have had to leave your seat; that's right, isn't it?

- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. Now, in relation to that contract with K Mark's, was that a contract entered into without a tender process and without any kind of procurement process?
- A. Yes. It was without--without that.
- 11 Q. I see.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 12 And how long did the contract continue on for?
- 13 A. Commissioner, may I have to just quickly explain so
 14 you can make your own judgment there.

This was—I think it could have been a day before what we called one of the lockdowns that the country had, the major of it the day before. I got a call from the Governor at the time, as the owner for K Mark's, and asked if it's possible that I could provide—my company could provide a certain number of food packages within 24 hours, and I said, "In the circumstances, yes, we will attempt to do so". and he said "Okay". It was a very short conversation. He said: "You will hear from the Department of Disaster Management".

And very shortly thereafter, the same day--I think it was Sunday afternoon--I got an e-mail or text or something from

- the Disaster Management Chief, who suggested--who asked me if I could do that formally, and we answered "yes". and she let me know that myself and two other competitors--two other companies would do a similar process, similar amounts, and we agreed to do so, and that's--that was done a day or two after that to provide such packages to the Government.
 - Q. And, obviously, the House voted positively on a motion to exempt you?
 - A. That's right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Now, what it also says in this resolution is that the company has been providing services for the sales of general food, furniture, appliances, and household items to all government ministries and departments and the residence and Office of the Governor. Now, in those circumstances where there is, if I describe it as an "ongoing relationship" between your company and various entities of Government, why did you not have—as the Director, why did you not have to be sort of regularly exempted?
- A. Those I don't consider as contracts. That--we have a supermarket. Anyone comes to purchase, including Government offices and Members. They don't come to me to ask for contract. I don't go to them to ask them--to make an offer. They come and buy. So, that's the day-to-day purchasing. It's small amounts, but it's what happens on a day-to-day basis.
 - Q. And presumably, when somebody walks in and buys some

- food, you don't know whether it's being bought for the Office of the Governor or for a private business?
 - A. No, no, not at all.
- Q. So, aside from that, your company didn't at that time have any other contracts with the Government of the Virgin Islands?
- 7 A. No, sir.

3

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- Q. Can I turn to the second resolution which is at 123, please, and which may not be a COVID-specific resolution, but it was again a vote in your favor made on the same day. And what it is is a--it refers to, if I call it an "agreement". it identifies you as the Director in the company known as Shoreside Development BVI Limited, which has a registered office in Mount Sage, registration section of Pockwood Pond, and says that you have received a transfer of Government lease number, so you had a transfer of Government land from an individual as a personal representative of the estate of someone being transferred to Shoreside Development?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, is that—is that a transfer of Crown Land, in effect?
- 22 A. Yes, in effect it is.
- Q. Right.
- 24 And I think for the record, to sort of avoid 25 ambiguities, Mr Vanterpool, what we should put into the record

- 1 because I have taken your Declaration shortly--
- 2 A. Yes.

5

6

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. --is that under paragraph 10 of your Declaration--I can take you to it if you want, just look at page 39.
 - A. Which one is this?
 - Q. It will be 2020, but if you go to page 39.
- 7 A. Oh, 39, yes.
- Q. I just want to, in fairness to you, to put this into the record.
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. What you did do on your Declaration of Interests form of 2020 was to declare that—that transaction, didn't you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Right.
- 15 A. Under "Miscellaneous" there.
- 16 Q. Yes.
- But, in relation to this, what was the reason for Shoreside Development acquiring this Crown Land?
 - A. Shoreside Development was purchasing effectively six acres of property from an individual. Of that six acres, I think, is about .2 of an acre that sat on the shores—on the water, natural water, had a Crown as what you call a "seabed lease". Four years had expired on that lease. And, therefore, in order to consummate the purchase of the property, the Crown Lease would have had to be transferred to Shoreside. Therefore,

- 1 | Shoreside applied to the Government--I don't remember the
- 2 | Shoreside or the seller, I think, applied to the Government, to
- 3 allow them to transfer the lease to Shoreside, and that is how
- 4 it transpired.
- 5 Q. I'm taking to you another area, but the Commissioner
- 6 has received some evidence about Crown Land, if you like.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Now, from the time that the seller of the Shoreside
- 9 made the application to transfer the lease to the time when it
- 10 was done, how long was that?
- 11 A. That's a good question. Probably three months. I
- don't recall the exact time, but it could have been.
- MR RAWAT: If I could just have a moment, please,
- 14 Commissioner.
- 15 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Thank you.
- 16 MR RAWAT: Commissioner, those are my questions.
- 17 Can I conclude, first of all, by apologising to
- 18 Mr Vanterpool for the delay in hearing his evidence, but more
- 19 importantly I hope by thanking him for coming to give evidence
- 20 and also for the way in which he has done so.
- 21 THE WITNESS: My pleasure.
- 22 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Can I echo that. Thank
- 23 you very much for your time. Thank you very much for your
- 24 assistance.
- 25 THE WITNESS: My pleasure, Commissioner. Thank you

1 Thank you. MR RAWAT: 2 (Witness steps down.) 3 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Mr Rawat, that is all for 4 today? 5 MR RAWAT: All for today. And we start at 10:00 on 6 Monday. 7 COMMISSIONER HICKINBOTTOM: Good. Thank you very 8 much. 9 (Whereupon, at 5:18 p.m., the Hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, David A. Kasdan, RDR-CRR, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were stenographically recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewritten form by computer-assisted transcription under my direction and supervision; and that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in this proceeding, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

DAVID A. KASDAN

Davi a. Kle